

# Solution for Exercise sheet 9

Yikai Teng, You Zhou

Exercise session: Thu. 8-10

**Exercise 9.1** Following the hint, we can attach  $k$ -cells ( $k \geq n+2$ ) to  $X$  to get a relative CW-complex  $(Y, X)$  such that  $\pi_i(Y, x) \cong \pi_i(X, x)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\pi_i(Y, x) = 0$  for  $i \geq n+1$ . By checking definitions we get the following commutative diagram (The coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}$  in homology groups will be omitted for short.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+2}(Y, X, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(X, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(Y, x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1}(Y, X, x) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow h_3 & & \downarrow h_4 & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n+2}(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are all Hurewicz maps. By our construction and cellular approximation theorem we get  $\pi_i(Y, X, x) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , so  $h_4$  is injective. Exercise 8.3 shows that  $H_{n+1}(Y, X) = 0$ , so  $h_3$  is surjective. Since  $n \geq 2$  we can use the relative Hurewicz theorem to conclude that  $h_1$  is surjective. So by the five lemma  $h_2$  is surjective.

## Exercise 9.2

(a)

**Well-definedness** Two polynomials  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  represent a same element in  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  if and only if  $f = \lambda g$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . So for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\mu(\mathbb{C}\lambda_1 f, \mathbb{C}\lambda_2 g) = \mathbb{C}(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 fg) = \mathbb{C}(fg) = \mu(\mathbb{C}f, \mathbb{C}g).$$

**Continuity** If we set  $\mathbb{C}_0^\infty := \{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mid x_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } i \text{ and only finitely many terms are nonzero}\}$  and give it the usual metric topology, then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}_0^\infty \times \mathbb{C}_0^\infty & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_0^\infty \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{C}P^\infty
 \end{array}$$

The upper map is continuous because every component of it is a polynomial of finitely many variables. The two vertical maps are quotient maps, hence continuous. So  $\mu$ , as their composition, is also continuous.

**Associativity, Commutative and Unital** All obvious by the corresponding properties of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ .

(b) We need to show that the diagram on the left below commutes. Let  $\alpha, \beta: (I^2, \partial I^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1)$  be based maps representing elements in  $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1)$ . Choose  $\varphi: \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$ . Then it is shown on the right below how an element is mapped in two ways.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}P^\infty, (\mathbb{C} \cdot 1, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*} & \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1) \times \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1) & & \\
 \downarrow \varphi \times \varphi & & \\
 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{+} & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 [(\alpha, \beta)] & \longmapsto & [\mu \circ (\alpha, \beta)] \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 ([\alpha], [\beta]) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (\varphi([\alpha]), \varphi([\beta])) & \longmapsto & \varphi([\alpha] + [\beta]) = \varphi([\mu \circ (\alpha, \beta)])
 \end{array}$$

The equality  $\varphi([\alpha] + [\beta]) = \varphi([\mu \circ (\alpha, \beta)])$  can be seen as follows: choose a representative  $\gamma: (I^2, \partial I^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1)$  for  $[\alpha] + [\beta]$ , say

$$\gamma(x, y) = \begin{cases} \alpha(2x, y), & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \beta(2x - 1, y), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases} \text{ for } (x, y) \in I^2.$$

We can construct linear homotopies between each coordinate of  $\gamma$  and  $\mu \circ (\alpha, \beta)$ , which is constant on  $\partial I^2$ . Then by putting them together we can get a homotopy between  $\gamma$  and  $\mu \circ (\alpha, \beta)$ .

(d) By definition  $[f] + [g] = [\mu \circ (f, g)]$  is sent to

$$\begin{aligned} [(f, g)^* \mu^*(\gamma)] &= [(f, g)^*(p_1^*(\gamma) \otimes p_2^*(\gamma))] \\ &= [(f, g)^* p_1^*(\gamma) \otimes (f, g)^* p_2^*(\gamma)] \\ &= [f^*(\gamma) \otimes g^*(\gamma)]. \end{aligned}$$

(e) The well-definedness and continuity of  $i$  can be proved in a similar way as in (a). For every  $[f] \in \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathbb{C} \cdot 1)$  we have

$$[f] + i_*([f]) = [\mu(f, \bar{f})] = [\mathbb{C} \cdot 1].$$

so  $i$  induces the additive inverse. To construct an isomorphism we denote by  $E_\gamma$  the total space of  $\gamma$  and consider the following diagram which commutes without the dashed arrow.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & & & & \\ & \searrow^{z \mapsto (\mathbb{C} \cdot f(z), f(z))} & & & \\ & & \bar{\gamma} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E_\gamma \\ & \searrow_{z \mapsto g(z)} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ & & \mathbb{C}P^\infty & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{C}P^\infty \end{array}$$

We want to show that there is a unique  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$  such that the whole diagram commutes. This is clear because the commutativity forces  $\varphi$  to map any  $z \in Z$  to the pair  $(\mathbb{C}f(z), \overline{g(z)}) \in \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathbb{C}[x]$ , which is well-defined by the commutativity from our assumption. So  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the fiber product  $E_\gamma \times_{\mathbb{C}P^\infty} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  and hence there is an isomorphism  $i^*(\gamma) \cong \bar{\gamma}$ .