# Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics Gather and analyze policyholder data to generate accurate quotes almost instantly. Use AI to flag high-risk profiles and optimize underwriting decisions.

Startup idea name is: \*\*\*\*Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics\*\* Gather and analyze policyholder data to generate accurate quotes almost instantly. Use AI to flag high-risk profiles and optimize underwriting decisions.\*\*

Description: \*\*\*\*

#### **Market Segmentation**

Based on your startup idea, **Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics**, here are five appropriate market segments:

- 1. Insurance Companies: Traditional insurers looking to enhance their underwriting processes.
- 2. **Insurtech Startups**: New entrants in the insurance market that leverage technology for competitive advantage.
- 3. **Reinsurance Firms**: Companies that provide insurance to insurance companies, focusing on risk assessment.
- 4. **Brokerage Firms**: Organizations that connect clients with insurance providers and need efficient underwriting solutions.
- 5. **Corporate Risk Management Departments**: Internal teams within large corporations that manage their own insurance needs and risk assessments.

Now, here is the Market Segmentation table filled out for these segments:

#	Title/Descriptions	Insurance Companies	Insurtech Startups	Reinsurance Firms	Brokerage Firms	Corporate Risk Management Departments
1	End User	Underwriters	Founders/CTOs	Risk Analysts	Insurance Brokers	Risk Managers
2	Task	Evaluate risks and set premiums	Develop innovative insurance products	Assess and manage risk portfolios	Match clients with insurers	Analyze and mitigate corporate risks
3	Benefit	Faster, more accurate quotes	Competitive edge through technology	Improved risk assessment	Streamlined client interactions	Enhanced risk management strategies
4	Urgency of Need	High	Medium	High	Medium	High
5	Example End Users	Large insurers like AIG, State Farm	Startups like Lemonade, Root	Munich Re, Swiss Re	Marsh, Aon	Fortune 500 companies
6	Lead Customers	Progressive insurers	Innovative insurtechs	Major reinsurers	Leading brokerages	Large corporations
7	Willingness to Change	Moderate	High	Moderate	High	High
8	Frequency of Buying	Annual	Quarterly	Annual	Monthly	Annual
9	Concentration of Buyers	Many competitive players	Few dominant players	Few dominant players	Many competitive players	Few large corporations

10	Other Relevant Market Considerations	Regulatory changes, tech adoption	Rapid growth, high competition	Market volatility	Client retention strategies	Compliance requirements
11	Size of Market (# of end users)	100K+	10K+	1K+	50K+	10K+
12	Estimated Value of End User (\$)	\$1K	\$100	\$1K	\$500	\$1K
13	Competition / Alternatives	Legacy systems, manual processes	Other insurtechs	Traditional reinsurers	Other brokerages	In-house solutions
14	Other Components Needed for a Full Solution	Data integration tools	Al analytics tools	Risk modeling software	CRM systems	Compliance software
15	Important Partners	Data providers, tech vendors	Investors, tech partners	Analytics firms	Insurers	Insurance providers
16	Other Relevant Personal Considerations	Industry experience, regulatory knowledge	Tech expertise, agility	Risk management expertise	Client relationships	Industry knowledge, compliance expertise

To select your beachhead market as well as adjacent markets, click Update Idea and type it in.

#### **Beachhead market**

Based on the startup idea "Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics," I have identified the following potential market segments:

- 1. Large Insurance Companies
- 2. Small to Medium Insurance Companies
- 3. Reinsurance Companies
- 4. Insurance Brokers
- 5. Insurtech Startups

Now, I will fill out the table template with ratings and explanations for each of these market segments based on the ten criteria.

Criteria	Large Insurance Companies	Small to Medium Insurance Companies	Reinsurance Companies	Insurance Brokers	Insurtech Startups
Is the target customer well-funded?	Very High: Large insurance companies have substantial financial resources.	Medium: Smaller companies have limited budgets compared to larger firms.	High: Reinsurance companies are generally well- funded but may be more conservative in spending.	Medium: Brokers have moderate funding, often dependent on commissions.	Low: Startups may have limited funding, especially in early stages.
Is the target customer readily accessible to your sales force?	Medium: Large companies have complex structures, making access challenging.	High: Smaller companies are more approachable and have simpler decision-making processes.	Medium: Access can be challenging due to their global operations and specific needs.	High: Brokers are often more accessible and open to new solutions.	Very High: Startups are typically open to innovative solutions and easy to reach.
Does the target customer have a compelling reason to buy?	High: Automation can significantly reduce costs and improve efficiency.	Very High: Smaller companies need to compete with larger firms and can benefit greatly from automation.	Medium: Reinsurers may not see immediate benefits but can use analytics for risk assessment.	High: Brokers can use analytics to offer better services to clients.	Very High: Startups are driven by innovation and need cutting-edge solutions to differentiate.
Can you	High: The	High: The product can	Madium: Raincurare	Medium:	High: Startung can

deliver a whole product?	product can be tailored to meet the complex needs of large insurers.	be adapted to fit the needs of smaller companies.	may require more specialized solutions.	Brokers may need additional features for client management.	integrate the product into their existing tech stack.
Is there competition?	High: There is significant competition from established tech providers.	Medium: Less competition in this segment, offering a good entry point.	Medium: Competition exists but is less intense than in primary insurance.	Medium: Some competition, but opportunities exist for differentiation.	High: High competition from other insurtech solutions.
Can you leverage existing customer relationships?	Medium: Existing relationships can be leveraged but require significant effort.	High: Easier to build relationships with smaller companies.	Medium: Relationships are crucial but harder to establish.	High: Brokers often rely on relationships, which can be leveraged.	Medium: Startups may not have established relationships yet.
Is there a clear path to follow-on markets?	High: Success with large insurers can lead to expansion into other financial services.	Medium: Limited follow-on opportunities compared to larger firms.	High: Opportunities to expand into primary insurance markets.	Medium: Limited follow- on opportunities outside of brokerage.	High: Successful integration can lead to partnerships with larger firms.
Overall Rating	High	High	Medium	Medium	High
Ranking	1	2	4	3	5
Key Deciding Factors	Financial resources, need for efficiency, competition	Accessibility, need for competitive edge	Risk assessment needs, global operations	Client service improvement, relationship leverage	Innovation, differentiation, funding

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) where you can also add critical information, such as your Beachhead Market.

#### **End user profile**

#### **End User Profile**

The end users for the Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics startup are likely to be insurance agents and brokers who are looking for efficient ways to process policyholder data and generate quotes. They are typically techsavvy individuals who understand the importance of data analytics in making informed underwriting decisions. These users are motivated by the need to reduce turnaround times for quotes and improve the accuracy of risk assessments. They often face challenges with traditional underwriting processes that are slow and cumbersome. Their ultimate goal is to enhance customer satisfaction by providing faster and more accurate insurance quotes.

Category	Details
Demographics	Age: 30-50, Gender: Any, Income: \$60,000-\$120,000, Education: Bachelor's degree or higher, Location: Urban areas.
Psychographics	Values efficiency, seeks innovation, fears losing clients due to slow processes, aspires to be a leader in the industry.
Proxy Products	CRM software, data analytics tools, risk assessment software, digital marketing platforms.
Watering Holes	Industry conferences, online forums (LinkedIn groups, Reddit), insurance industry publications.

Day in the Life	Starts the day reviewing client requests, spends time analyzing data, meets with clients, and uses software tools to generate quotes.
Priorities	1. Speed of quote generation (40%), 2. Accuracy of risk assessment (30%), 3. Customer satisfaction (20%), 4. Cost efficiency (10%).

#### **Economic Buyer Profile**

The economic buyers for this startup are likely to be insurance company executives or decision-makers who are responsible for underwriting processes and technology investments. They are focused on improving operational efficiency and reducing costs associated with underwriting. These buyers are typically experienced professionals who understand the financial implications of underwriting decisions. They prioritize investments that can lead to a competitive advantage in the insurance market. Their main concern is ensuring that any new technology aligns with the company's strategic goals and delivers a measurable return on investment.

Category	Details
Demographics	Age: 40-60, Gender: Any, Income: \$100,000-\$250,000, Education: Master's degree or higher, Location: Corporate offices in urban areas.
Psychographics	Values innovation and cost-effectiveness, fears regulatory penalties, aspires to lead in market share, motivated by ROI.
Proxy Products	Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, business intelligence tools, financial forecasting software.
Watering Holes	Executive networking events, industry seminars, financial services conferences, online business forums.
Day in the Life	Reviews financial reports, meets with department heads, evaluates technology proposals, and strategizes on market positioning.
Priorities	1. Cost reduction (35%), 2. Operational efficiency (30%), 3. Compliance and risk management (25%), 4. Innovation (10%).

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market and User Profile Summary.

#### **Beachhead TAM size**

Here is the completed worksheet for your startup idea, Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics:

# **Top-Down Estimate of Number of End Users in Beachhead Market**

Beachhead Market				
I. One Time Charge Data Point	Category	Description	Entry	How did you end up at this number/range?
la	Estimation of price per unit	\$500	Based on average cost of underwriting software solutions.	
lb	Number of units needed per end user	1	Each end user requires one software license.	
lc	Average Life Relevant? (assume repurchase)	Yes	Software typically requires annual renewal.	
Id	Average Life of Product in year	3	Average lifespan of software before major upgrades.	

le	Annualized Revenue (la * lb) / ld (Data Point 1)	\$166.67	(\$500 * 1) / 3

# **Budget Available Data Points**

Budget Available Data Points				
II. Budget Available Data Points	Category	Description	Entry	How did you end up at this number/range?
lla	Current Spend per end user (Data Point 2)	\$1,000	Average spend on underwriting solutions.	
IIb	Total budget for the end user	\$10,000	Average budget for small to medium-sized insurance companies.	
IIc	What % of budget could go to this solution reasonably?	20%	Reasonable allocation for new technology.	
IId	Annualize Revenue (IIb * IIc) (Data Point 3)	\$2,000	\$10,000 * 20%	

# Comparables

Comparables				
III. Comparables	Category	Description	Entry	How did you end up at this number/range?
IIIa	Who are the comparables for your business?	Companies like Guidewire, Duck Creek	Established players in insurance tech.	
IIIb	What are the comparable products?	Underwriting software, risk assessment tools	Similar products in the market.	
IIIc	What is the comparable converted to similar annualized revenue?	\$1,500	Average annual revenue per user for comparables.	

# Interpreting the Results

Interpreting the Results				
IV. Interpreting the Results	Category	Description	Entry	How did you end up at this number/range?
IVa	Consensus on estimate of annualized revenue per end user (a range is fine)	\$1,500 - \$2,000	Based on market research and competitor analysis.	

# **Top-Down TAM Analysis Summary**

Top-Down TAM Analysis Summary		
1. Total # of end users in the broad market segment	1,000,000	Based on the number of insurance companies in the U.S.
2. Total # of end users in the targeted sub-segment your BHM	50,000	Targeting small to medium-sized insurance companies.
3. Annual monetizable revenue per end user	\$1,500	Based on the average spend on underwriting solutions.
4. Estimate of Top-Down TAM (line 2 times line 3)	\$75,000,000	50,000 * \$1,500
5. Estimate of Range of Profitability for Your Product	70%	Software solutions typically have high margins.
6. Estimated CAGR (Compound Annual Growth Rate)	15%	Based on industry growth trends.
7. Estimated Time to Achieve 20% Market Share	3 years	Based on competitive landscape and marketing strategy.
8. Anticipated Market Share Achieved if You are Reasonably Successful	20%	Realistic target based on market analysis.

# **Top 3 Assumptions Affecting Attractiveness of the Beachhead Market**

- 1. Adoption rate of AI in underwriting processes.
- 2. Regulatory changes affecting insurance technology.
- 3. Competitive response from established players.

# **Checklist After TAM Analysis of Beachhead Market**

Checklist	Yes	No	Explanation
Is the market big enough to be interesting?	1		\$75M TAM is attractive.
Is it reasonable in size for us to achieve meaningful word of mouth?	•		Target market is manageable.
Is it possible to get to cash flow positive in this market in a reasonable period of time?	•		High margins and demand.
Do I still feel good about this beachhead market as our initial market?	•		Strong potential for growth.

# **Advanced Topics**

Bottom-Up TAM Analysis Worksheet	
What countable unit are you using for end user density?	Insurance companies
Instance 1	50,000
Instance 2	1,000,000
Instance 3	
Who did you speak to in order to gather this info?	Industry reports, expert interviews
# of end users	50,000
# of people in the countable unit	1,000,000

Density ratio (# end users / # people in countable unit)		5%	
How represer	tative of the whole market do you believe this instance is?	High	
In this instan	ee, what is your estimate of the annualized revenue per end user?	\$1,500	

#### Based on the above table, what is a reasonable estimate of:

• End user density: 5%

Annualized revenue per end user: \$1,500Number of end users in the market: 50,000

• TAM: \$75,000,000

#### Four Additional Factors to Consider

Factor	Estimate	Based on	Explanation
Estimate of Range of Profitability for Your Product	70%	Industry standards	High profitability for software.
Estimated CAGR (Compound Annual Growth Rate)	15%	Market trends	Growth in insurance tech.
Estimated Time to Achieve 20% Market Share	3 years	Market analysis	Competitive landscape.
Anticipated Market Share Achieved if You are Reasonably Successful	20%	Realistic target	Based on market conditions.

#### **Analysis Questions**

- Comparing your top-down and bottom-up analyses, which do you believe has more credibility?
   Why?
  - The bottom-up analysis has more credibility as it is based on specific user data and market research, providing a more realistic estimate of potential revenue.
- 2. If you blend the two estimations, what is your final TAM size? What factors would make the TAM lower than you calculated? What are the factors that would drive the TAM much higher?
  - Final TAM size: **\$75,000,000**. Factors that could lower the TAM include slower adoption of technology and increased competition. Factors that could drive the TAM higher include regulatory support for AI in underwriting and increased demand for automation.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step above. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="Orbit">Orbit</a> (<a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market and User Profile Summary.

Would you like to change something?

#### **Persona**

#### **End User Profile Summary**

The end user for the Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics startup is a mid-level insurance underwriter named Sarah. She is in her late 30s, has a background in finance, and works for a mid-sized insurance company. Sarah is focused on improving her efficiency and accuracy in underwriting decisions, as she often feels overwhelmed by the volume of data she needs to analyze. She is tech-savvy and open to using Al tools that can help her streamline her workflow and reduce the risk of human error.

Demographics	Details
Gender	Female

Age	38
Income	\$85,000
Education level	Bachelor's Degree
Education specifics	Finance major from University of Michigan, Certified Insurance Underwriter
Employment History	10 years at XYZ Insurance Co., previous roles in risk assessment
Marital Status	Married
Kids & other family info	Two children, ages 8 and 5
Ethnicity	Caucasian
Political Affiliations	Moderate Democrat

Psychographics	Details
Why do they do this job?	Passionate about risk management and helping clients find the right coverage
Hobbies	Reading, hiking, and volunteering at local charities
Heroes	Mentors in the insurance industry and successful women leaders
Aspirations in life	To advance to a senior underwriting position and mentor others
Fears in life	Fear of making costly mistakes in underwriting decisions
Personality Traits	Detail-oriented, analytical, and empathetic
Interesting habits	Enjoys data analysis and often reads industry reports

Proxy Products	Details
Essential products	Underwriting software, risk assessment tools
Embodying products	Data analytics platforms, Al-driven decision-making tools
Other interesting products	Online courses for professional development in insurance

Watering Holes	Details
Favorite sources for news	Insurance Journal, LinkedIn, industry webinars
Places of congregation	Local insurance industry meetups, online forums
Associations	Member of the National Association of Insurance Women
Expert advice sources	Industry conferences, professional networking events

Day in the Life	Details
Typical tasks	Analyzing policyholder data (3 hours), meeting with clients (2 hours), reviewing underwriting guidelines (1 hour)
Habitual tasks	Daily data analysis and client meetings
Most effort required	Analyzing complex risk profiles
Enjoyable tasks	Client interactions and problem-solving

Unenjoyable tasks	Administrative paperwork
Good day indicators	Successfully closing a challenging case
Bad day indicators	Errors in data analysis leading to rework
Pleasing whom	Her manager and clients
Top priority of those pleased	Accuracy in underwriting decisions and client satisfaction

Priorities	Weighting
Fear of making mistakes	40%
Motivation to improve efficiency	30%
Desire for career advancement	20%
Work-life balance	10%

# **Economic Buyer Profile Summary**

The economic buyer for the Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics startup is Sarah's manager, Tom, who oversees the underwriting department. He is in his early 50s and has extensive experience in the insurance industry. Tom is focused on improving departmental efficiency and reducing costs while maintaining high standards of accuracy. He is interested in innovative solutions that can help his team work smarter, not harder, and is willing to invest in technology that demonstrates a clear ROI.

Demographics	Details
Gender	Male
Age	52
Income	\$120,000
Education level	Master's Degree
Education specifics	MBA from Harvard Business School, Certified Insurance Counselor
Employment History	25 years in the insurance industry, various leadership roles
Marital Status	Married
Kids & other family info	One adult child
Ethnicity	Hispanic
Political Affiliations	Moderate Republican

Psychographics	Details
Why do they do this job?	Committed to leading a successful team and improving operational efficiency
Hobbies	Golfing, traveling, and mentoring young professionals
Heroes	Industry leaders and innovators
Aspirations in life	To lead the company in adopting cutting-edge technology

Fears in life	Fear of falling behind competitors in technology adoption	
Personality Traits Strategic, results-oriented, and supportive		
Interesting habits	Regularly attends industry conferences and reads business literature	

Proxy Products	Details
Essential products	Advanced underwriting software, risk management tools
Embodying products	Business intelligence platforms, CRM systems
Other interesting products	Professional development courses in leadership and technology

Watering Holes	Details
Favorite sources for news	Wall Street Journal, Insurance News websites
Places of congregation	Industry conferences, executive networking events
Associations	Member of the Insurance Leadership Council
Expert advice sources	Consulting firms, industry analysts

Day in the Life	Details
Typical tasks	Reviewing team performance (2 hours), strategizing on technology adoption (2 hours), meeting with stakeholders (2 hours)
Habitual tasks	Weekly performance reviews and strategic planning sessions
Most effort required	Evaluating new technology solutions
Enjoyable tasks	Mentoring team members and discussing innovative ideas
Unenjoyable tasks	Administrative reporting
Good day indicators	Successful implementation of a new tool
Bad day indicators	Resistance to change from team members
Pleasing whom	Senior executives and board members
Top priority of those pleased	Achieving departmental goals and improving team performance

Priorities	Weighting
Fear of losing competitive edge	40%
Motivation to improve efficiency	30%
Desire for team development	20%
Work-life balance	10%

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="MIT Orbit">MIT Orbit</a> (<a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, and your User Profile and Persona Summaries.

#### Life cycle use case

The startup idea of **Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics** aims to revolutionize the insurance industry by gathering and analyzing policyholder data to generate accurate quotes almost instantly. By leveraging AI, the solution flags high-risk profiles and optimizes underwriting decisions, which can significantly enhance the efficiency and accuracy of the underwriting process. The persona for this startup is likely an insurance underwriter or a decision-maker within an insurance company who is currently facing challenges with the traditional underwriting process, which can be slow, cumbersome, and prone to human error. The existing process often involves manual data entry, extensive paperwork, and a lack of real-time insights, leading to delays in policy issuance and potential loss of business.

The full longitudinal experience of this persona begins with their recognition of the inefficiencies in their current underwriting process. They may experience frustration due to the time it takes to generate quotes and the inaccuracies that arise from manual data handling. This realization serves as the catalyst for exploring new solutions. They begin to seek out options by attending industry conferences, reading industry publications, or engaging with peers in their network. As they analyze their options, they compare various software solutions, looking for features that can automate data collection and provide real-time risk assessments. Once they decide on a product, they acquire it through a vendor's website or a sales representative, often negotiating terms and pricing. Payment is typically made through a corporate procurement process, which may involve purchase orders or credit arrangements.

The installation or setup of the product may require collaboration with their IT department to integrate the new system with existing software. Once operational, the persona uses the product to streamline their underwriting process, generating quotes quickly and accurately, which enhances their productivity and customer satisfaction. They gauge the value gained from the product by measuring the reduction in quote turnaround time and the accuracy of risk assessments. If satisfied, they may consider purchasing additional features or licenses. Finally, they share their positive experiences with colleagues and industry contacts, potentially influencing others to adopt the solution.

**Opportunity for Improvement:** There is an opportunity to enhance the onboarding process for new users, ensuring they fully understand how to leverage the product's capabilities. Additionally, providing ongoing support and resources can help users maximize the value they derive from the product, leading to higher customer retention and satisfaction.

Who is involved	When	Where	How
Persona (Underwriter)	When they recognize inefficiencies	At their workplace	Through self-reflection and feedback from colleagues
Persona (Underwriter)	When exploring options	Industry conferences, online research	Networking, reading publications, and peer recommendations
Persona (Underwriter)	During the analysis phase	Office or home	Comparing features, pricing, and reviews of different solutions
Persona (Underwriter)	When acquiring the product	Online or through sales representatives	Visiting vendor websites or engaging in sales discussions
Finance Department	During payment	Office	Processing purchase orders or credit arrangements
IT Department	During installation	Office	Collaborating to integrate the new system with existing software
Persona (Underwriter)	During usage	Office	Utilizing the product to generate quotes and assess risks
Persona (Underwriter)	After using the product	Office	Measuring turnaround time and accuracy of quotes
Persona (Underwriter)	When considering additional purchases	Office	Evaluating satisfaction and ROI from the product
Persona (Underwriter)	When sharing experiences	Office or industry events	Discussing with colleagues and networking contacts

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, and User Profile and Persona Summaries.

#### **High-level specs**

Persona's Priority 1	Persona's Priority 2	Persona's Priority 3	
Deliver accurate and instant quotes	Optimize underwriting decisions	Flag high-risk profiles	
By utilizing advanced Al algorithms to analyze policyholder data in real-time, we can provide quotes that are not only fast but also highly accurate, ensuring customer satisfaction and trust.	Features like predictive analytics and machine learning models will help underwriters make informed decisions quickly, reducing the time spent on each application.	The Al system will continuously learn from new data, improving its ability to identify high-risk profiles and flagging them for further review.	
Instant quote generation	Predictive analytics tools	Continuous learning Al	
Customers will benefit from reduced wait times for quotes, leading to faster policy issuance and improved customer experience.	Underwriters will experience increased efficiency, allowing them to handle more applications in less time, ultimately leading to higher productivity.	Insurers will have a more robust risk assessment process, leading to better pricing strategies and reduced losses.	

- 1. Company Name and Tagline: QuoteSmart AI Revolutionizing Insurance Underwriting
- 2. Product Name and Tagline: UnderwritePro Instant Quotes, Smarter Decisions
- 3. **Benefits Aligned with Persona's #1 Priority**: Experience lightning-fast quotes that are accurate and reliable, ensuring you never miss a business opportunity.
- 4. Two Additional Benefits:
  - Enhanced productivity for underwriters, allowing them to focus on high-value tasks.
  - Improved risk assessment leading to better pricing strategies and reduced losses.
- 5. **Magnitude of Benefit**: Users can expect a reduction in quote generation time by up to 80%, significantly enhancing customer satisfaction and operational efficiency.
- 6. Call to Action: Join the future of insurance underwriting today! Contact us for a demo and see how UnderwritePro can transform your business.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona.

# **Quantify value proposition**

Here is a table summarizing the value proposition for your startup idea, "Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics":

Question Answer		
What is the Persona's #1 priority?	Efficient and accurate risk assessment. Insurance companies need to quickly and accurately assess risk to provide competitive quotes and manage their portfolios effectively.	
What units should it be measured in?	Time (minutes or hours for quote generation), accuracy percentage (accuracy of risk assessment), and cost savings (dollars saved in operational costs).	
General Verbal Description of the "As Is" State and the Opportunities for Improvement	Currently, underwriting is a manual, time-consuming process prone to human error. It often involves multiple data sources and lacks real-time risk assessment capabilities, leading to inefficiencies.	
General Verbal Description of the "Possible" State and the Opportunities for Improvement	With automation and Al-driven analytics, underwriting can be streamlined to provide instant, accurate quotes. High-risk profiles are flagged automatically, reducing human error and improving decision-making.	

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a> (https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship) and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona.

#### **Next 10 customers**

Here is a summary of potential customers for your startup idea, **Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics**:

Customer Name	Relevant Info	Title	Demo- graphic	Psycho- graphic	Use Case	Value Prop	Overall
1	Insurance Company A	Underwriting Manager	35-50 years old, Mid-sized company	Risk-averse, data-driven	Automating underwriting process	Faster quotes, reduced risk	High
2	Insurance Company B	Chief Risk Officer	40-55 years old, Large company	Innovative, cost-conscious	Risk assessment	Improved accuracy in risk profiling	High
3	Insurance Company C	Data Analyst	30-45 years old, Small company	Analytical, detail-oriented	Data analysis for underwriting	Enhanced data insights	Medium
4	Insurance Company D	IT Director	35-50 years old, Mid-sized company	Tech-savvy, efficiency- focused	Integrating AI tools	Streamlined operations	High
5	Insurance Company E	Compliance Officer	30-50 years old, Large company	Cautious, regulatory- focused	Compliance checks	Ensured regulatory compliance	Medium
6	Insurance Company F	Product Manager	25-40 years old, Start-up	Entrepreneurial, risk-taker	New product development	Competitive edge in market	High
7	Insurance Company G	Operations Manager	30-55 years old, Mid-sized company	Process- oriented, results-driven	Operational efficiency	Cost savings through automation	High
8	Insurance Company H	CEO	45-60 years old, Large company	Visionary, strategic thinker	Business growth	Increased market share	High
9	Insurance Company I	Marketing Director	30-50 years old, Small company	Creative, customer- focused	Customer acquisition	Better targeting of high-risk customers	Medium
10	Insurance Company J	Financial Analyst	25-40 years old, Start-up	Data-driven, analytical	Financial forecasting	Improved financial predictions	Medium

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to <a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona.

# **Define core**

Question	Answer
Value Proposition	Our startup offers an innovative solution for insurance underwriting by automating the data gathering and analysis process, enabling insurers to generate accurate quotes almost instantly. By leveraging Al, we can effectively flag high-risk profiles and optimize underwriting decisions, leading to improved efficiency and reduced costs for insurance companies.
Assets (Ranked	<ol> <li>Al Technology: Our proprietary Al algorithms for data analysis and risk assessment are cutting-edge and difficult to replicate.    </li></ol>

from Strongest to Weakest)	extensive policyholder data while ensuring privacy and compliance. 3. Industry Expertise: Our team has significant experience in insurance and technology sectors. 4. Customer Relationships: We have initial partnerships with insurance companies that can provide valuable feedback and support. 5. Funding: We have secured initial funding but will need to pursue additional rounds for scaling.
Proposed Moats	1. <b>Proprietary Data</b> : Accumulating unique data sets that enhance our Al's predictive capabilities, making our service more valuable over time. - 2. <b>Regulatory Compliance</b> : Establishing a strong reputation for compliance with insurance regulations, which can deter competitors. - 3. <b>Customer Loyalty</b> : Building strong relationships with clients through exceptional service and support, leading to high retention rates.
Potential Cores	1. <b>Data-Driven Insights</b> : The ability to provide actionable insights based on proprietary data analysis. Al <b>Optimization</b> : Continuous improvement of our Al algorithms to enhance underwriting accuracy and efficiency.                  

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona, and Core Value Proposition.

#### **Chart competitive position**

Based on your startup idea of **Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics**, here is an analysis of your competitive positioning along with potential competitors in the market:

Competitor Name	Positioning in Competitive Landscape	Key Differentiators
Lemonade	Upper-Right Corner	Utilizes AI for quick claims processing and customer-centric policies.
Zywave	Upper-Right Corner	Offers comprehensive risk management tools and analytics for insurance brokers.
Clover Health	Upper-Right Corner	Focuses on data-driven health insurance solutions with personalized risk assessment.
Do Nothing (Status Quo)	Lower-Left Corner	Traditional underwriting processes that are slow and less efficient.
Verisk Analytics	Mid-Right Corner	Provides data analytics and risk assessment tools but lacks real- time automation.

#### **Analysis:**

#### a. Positioning Relative to Competition:

• You are positioned in the upper-right corner alongside competitors like Lemonade and Zywave, which also leverage technology for efficiency. However, your unique focus on real-time data analysis and risk profiling through AI may set you apart. The "do nothing" option represents traditional underwriting, which is slower and less efficient, making it a significant competitor in terms of inertia.

#### b. Core Value Proposition:

Your core value lies in the ability to gather and analyze policyholder data almost instantly, enabling accurate
quotes and optimized underwriting decisions. This real-time capability, combined with Al-driven risk flagging,
allows you to deliver superior value compared to competitors who may not offer such immediacy or precision
in their underwriting processes.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona, and Core Value Proposition.

#### **Determine DMU**

End User	

Persona	Economic Buyer Persona	Champion Persona
Name	John Smith	Sarah Johnson
Title	Underwriter	VP of Risk Management
Demographic Summary	Male, 35-45 years old, Bachelor's degree in Finance or related field	Female, 40-50 years old, Master's degree in Business Administration
Psychographic Summary	Detail-oriented, values efficiency, risk-averse	Strategic thinker, values innovation, proactive in risk management
Proxy Products	Traditional underwriting software	Risk management consulting services
Watering Holes	Industry conferences, online forums for insurance professionals	Professional associations, LinkedIn groups focused on risk management
Day In the Life	Reviews applications, analyzes data, meets with clients	Develops risk strategies, collaborates with teams, presents to executives
Priorities (Top 4 in order)	Accuracy in underwriting 2. Speed of processing 3. Compliance with regulations 4. Cost-effectiveness	Mitigating risk 2. Enhancing operational efficiency 3.     Supporting innovation 4. Aligning with company goals
Key Selling Points to this Person	Instant quote generation 2. Al-driven risk assessment 3. Improved accuracy 4. Cost savings	Streamlined underwriting process 2. Enhanced risk visibility 3. Supports strategic initiatives 4. Competitive advantage

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below. If you wish to update your Startup Idea, go to https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship and add critical information, including your Beachhead Market, User Profile Summary, Persona, and Priorities (1-3) for your Persona, Core Value Proposition, and target Decision-Making Unit (DMU).

# **Map customer acquisition process**

Here is the table based on your startup idea, Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics:

Stage	What does the customer do in this stage?	Who is involved from the DMU?	Budget limits & other considerations	How much time will this stage take? (give a range)	Action plan to accomplish stage	Risks	Risk mitic strat
Determine Need & Catalyst to Action	Identify the need for faster, accurate underwriting processes.	Underwriters, Risk Managers, CIO	Budget for technology upgrades, regulatory compliance	1-2 weeks	Conduct surveys and interviews with potential customers to understand their pain points.	Misalignment of needs with product features.	Regu feedl with custo
Find Out about Options	Research available solutions for underwriting automation.	Underwriters, IT Department	Budget constraints for new software	2-4 weeks	Create a list of competitors and their offerings.	Overlooked options that may be more suitable.	Com <sub>l</sub> mark analy
Analyze Options	Compare features, pricing, and reviews of different solutions.	Underwriters, Risk Managers, IT	Cost-benefit analysis	2-3 weeks	Develop a scoring system to evaluate options.	Incomplete data leading to poor decisions.	Enga indus expe insig

Acquire Your Product	Make a decision and purchase the selected solution.	CFO, Procurement	Approval processes, budget limits	1-2 months	Prepare a business case for the investment.	Delays in approval processes.	Early enga with make
Pay	Complete the financial transaction for the product.	CFO, Procurement	Payment terms, budget allocation	1 week	Ensure all financial documentation is in order.	Payment processing delays.	Work finan strea proce
Install	Implement the software solution within the organization.	IT Department, Underwriters	Installation costs, training budget	1-3 months	Develop a detailed implementation plan.	Technical issues during installation.	Have dedic supp avail
Use & Get Value	Start using the software to generate quotes and analyze risks.	Underwriters, Risk Managers	ROI expectations	Ongoing	Monitor usage and gather feedback for improvements.	Low adoption rates among users.	Provi traini supp
Determine Value	Assess the effectiveness of the solution in improving underwriting processes.	Underwriters, Risk Managers, CIO	Performance metrics	1-2 months	Set KPIs to measure success.	Misinterpretation of data.	Regu meet discu findir
Buy More	Decide on additional features or upgrades based on initial usage.	Underwriters, Risk Managers, CIO	Budget for upgrades	1-2 months	Analyze feedback and usage data to identify needs.	Budget constraints for additional purchases.	Priori featu on in
Tell Others	Share experiences and results with peers and industry contacts.	Underwriters, Risk Managers	Networking opportunities	Ongoing	Create case studies and testimonials.	Negative feedback could harm reputation.	Proac addre issue

# **Follow on TAM**

# **Summary of Follow-on TAM Estimate and Priorities**

Candidate	How it Leverages Your Core	Same Product or Same Customer?	Pros of Selling to This Market	Cons of Selling to This Market	TAM Est.	Other Considerations	Rank
1. Small Business Insurance	Utilizes AI for risk assessment and automation	Same Customer	Large market potential, existing relationships	High competition, regulatory challenges	\$500M	Requires tailored marketing strategies	1
2. Health Insurance	Similar data analysis for risk profiling	Same Customer	Growing demand for personalized insurance	Complex regulations, longer sales cycles	\$1B	High investment in compliance and technology	2

3. Auto Insurance	Leverages existing underwriting algorithms	Same Product	High volume of policies, potential for upselling	Market saturation, price sensitivity	\$800M	Need for partnerships with auto dealers	3
4. Homeowners Insurance	Similar risk analytics for property coverage	Same Product	Increasing demand for smart home integrations	Seasonal demand fluctuations	\$600M	Requires adaptation to local market conditions	4
5. Travel Insurance	Uses data analytics for risk assessment	Same Customer	Growing travel market, potential for bundling	Highly competitive, price-driven market	\$300M	Seasonal fluctuations in demand	5

# Individual Worksheet for Each Follow-on Market Segment

Follow-on Market Segment Candidate Name: Small Business Insurance	Estimate # of Users	Estimate Revenue per year per user	Estimate TAM Range	CAGR Estimate	Other Considerations (profitability, time to conquer, potential market share, investment required, competition, etc.)	Other Comments
	1M	\$500	\$500M	10%	High competition, requires tailored marketing strategies, potential for partnerships with small business associations	
Follow-on Market Segment Candidate Name: Health Insurance	Estimate # of Users	Estimate Revenue per year per user	Estimate TAM Range	CAGR Estimate	Other Considerations (profitability, time to conquer, potential market share, investment required, competition, etc.)	Other Comments
	2M	\$500	\$1B	12%	High investment in compliance and technology, complex regulations, longer sales cycles	
Follow-on Market Segment Candidate Name: Auto Insurance	Estimate # of Users	Estimate Revenue per year per user	Estimate TAM Range	CAGR Estimate	Other Considerations (profitability, time to conquer, potential market share, investment required, competition, etc.)	Other Comments
	1.5M	\$533	\$800M	8%	Need for partnerships with auto dealers, market saturation, price sensitivity	
Follow-on Market Segment Candidate Name: Homeowners Insurance	Estimate # of Users	Estimate Revenue per year per user	Estimate TAM Range	CAGR Estimate	Other Considerations (profitability, time to conquer, potential market share, investment required, competition, etc.)	Other Comments
	1.2M	\$500	\$600M	9%	Requires adaptation to local market conditions, seasonal demand fluctuations	
					Other Considerations	

Follow-on Market Segment Candidate Name: Travel Insurance	Estimate # of Users	Estimate Revenue per year per user	Estimate TAM Range	CAGR Estimate	(profitability, time to conquer, potential market share investment required, competition, etc.)	Other Comments
	800K	\$375	\$300M	15%	Seasonal fluctuations in demand, highly competitive, price-driven market	

# **Design business model**

# **Customer Analysis**

Question	Response
a. Looking at the DMU, what is important?	Accuracy of quotes, speed of processing, risk assessment capabilities, integration with existing systems, and user-friendly interface.
b. Preference for upfront or recurring expense for the DMU?	Preference for recurring expenses, as it allows for ongoing support and updates, which are crucial in the insurance industry.
c. Other considerations	Regulatory compliance, data security, and the ability to scale with the growth of the insurance company.

#### **Value Creation**

Question	Response
a. How much value do they get?	Significant value through reduced time for underwriting, improved accuracy in risk assessment, and potential cost savings from better risk management.
b. When do they get value?	Value is realized almost immediately upon implementation, with ongoing benefits as the system learns and improves over time.
c. How risky is it?	Moderate risk; while the technology is proven, adoption may face resistance from traditional practices in the insurance industry.
d. Other considerations	Continuous updates and improvements to the AI model are necessary to maintain value and relevance.

# **Competition Analysis**

Question	Response
a. Who is the competition and what business model do they use?	Competitors include traditional insurance software providers and newer insurtech companies using subscription-based models or transaction fees.
b. How locked are they in this model?	Many competitors are locked into long-term contracts with clients, making it difficult for them to pivot quickly.
c. Could I disrupt the industry? What are the risks of it?	Yes, disruption is possible by offering a more efficient and user-friendly solution. Risks include regulatory hurdles and the challenge of changing established industry practices.
d. Other considerations	Building strong partnerships with insurance companies can help mitigate risks and enhance credibility.

# **Internal Analysis**

Question	Response		
a. Effect of Sales Cycle	The sales cycle may be lengthy due to the need for trust and compliance in the insurance industry.		
b. Customer acquisition cost	Initial customer acquisition costs may be high due to the need for education and trust-building.		
c. What is the Lifetime Value of this customer?	High lifetime value due to recurring revenue from subscriptions and potential upselling of additional features.		
d. How are we going to distribute the product to this user?	Direct sales to insurance companies, partnerships with industry consultants, and online marketing strategies.		
e. What is the cashflow?	Initial cash flow may be negative due to high startup costs, but positive cash flow is expected as subscriptions grow.		
f. Operations and other considerations	Need for a robust customer support system and ongoing training for users to ensure successful implementation.		

# **Potential Units to Charge For**

Potential Units	Pros	Cons
Individual product	Simple pricing model, easy to understand	May limit revenue potential
Number of users	Scalable, aligns with customer growth	Complexity in tracking user counts
Usage-based	Fair pricing based on actual use	Unpredictable revenue stream
Site license	Predictable revenue, encourages widespread use	High upfront cost may deter smaller clients

# **Summary of Business Model Candidates**

Option	Unit	Customer Fit	Value Creation Fit	Competition Fit	Internal Fit	Pros	Cons	Grade
1	Individual product	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Simple pricing	Limited revenue	В
2	Number of users	High	High	High	Medium	Scalable	Complexity	Α
3	Usage- based	Medium	Medium	High	Low	Fair pricing	Unpredictable	С
4	Site license	High	Medium	Medium	High	Predictable	High upfront cost	В

#### **Suggested Business Model**

I suggest choosing the \*\* of Users\*\* model. This model aligns well with customer growth, allows for scalability, and provides a predictable revenue stream as more users adopt the system. It also fits well with the value creation aspect, as the more users that utilize the system, the more value they derive from it.

# **Testing Hypotheses**

Question	Response
a. What hypotheses are you assuming to be true for the business model(s) you have chosen?	Customers will prefer a user-based pricing model and will see significant value in the speed and accuracy of quotes generated.
b. What experiments will you run to test your hypotheses?	Conduct surveys with potential customers, pilot programs with select insurance companies, and A/B testing of pricing models.
c. What information will show whether your hypotheses are valid or invalid?	Customer feedback, engagement metrics during pilot programs, and conversion rates from trials to paid subscriptions.
d. How long will you give the experiments to run?	A period of 3-6 months to gather sufficient data and insights.

# **Pricing framework**

# **Customer Decision Making Unit**

Aspect	Details
Important Factors	Speed of quote generation, accuracy of risk assessment, ease of integration with existing systems, regulatory compliance, and customer support.
Spending Limits	Typically, insurance companies may have a budget range of \$5,000 to \$50,000 for software solutions, depending on the size of the company and the complexity of the solution.
Other Considerations	The decision-making unit may include underwriters, IT managers, and financial officers. Understanding their pain points and how the solution addresses them is crucial.

#### **Nature of Customer**

Aspect	Details
Customer Segment	Early Majority, as they are more likely to adopt proven technologies that enhance efficiency.
How to Find Out	Market research, surveys, and interviews with potential customers to gauge their readiness to adopt Aldriven solutions.
Percentage of Segments	Early Adopters: 15%, Early Majority: 35%, Late Majority: 30%, Laggards: 20%.

#### **Value Creation**

Aspect	Details
Value to User	Significant reduction in time spent on underwriting, improved accuracy in risk assessment, and potential cost savings.
When	Immediate benefits upon implementation, with ongoing value as the system learns and improves.
Risk Level	Moderate risk; initial skepticism about AI's effectiveness in underwriting.
Other Considerations	Providing case studies and testimonials can help mitigate perceived risks.

# **Category of Competition**

Aspect	Details
Competition	1. Guidewire Software (Pricing: \$10,000 - \$100,000), 2. Duck Creek Technologies (Pricing: \$15,000 - \$80,000), 3. Verisk Analytics (Pricing: \$20,000 - \$150,000).
Best Comparable	Guidewire Software, as it offers similar functionalities and targets the same customer base.
Price Range Indication	\$10,000 - \$100,000, depending on the features and scale of implementation.
Other Considerations	Emphasizing unique features like Al-driven insights can justify pricing within this range.

# **Strength of Core**

Aspect	Details
Core Strength	Currently strong due to advanced AI capabilities and a user-friendly interface compared to competitors.
Future Strength	Expected to strengthen as more data is collected and algorithms improve over time.
Price Raising Potential	Yes, as the value proposition becomes clearer and customer reliance on the system increases.
Other Considerations	Continuous innovation and customer feedback will be essential to maintain competitive advantage.

# **Maturity of Your Product**

Aspect	Details
Product Validation	Initial validation through pilot programs with select insurance companies.
Perceived Risk	Some customers may view the company as high risk due to the novelty of AI in underwriting.
Flexibility for First Customer	Offering a trial period or discounted rates for early adopters to reduce perceived risk.
Other Considerations	Building strong relationships with early customers can lead to valuable feedback and referrals.

# **Initial Decision and Rationale**

Aspect	Details
Unit of Product for Pricing	Subscription-based model, charging per user or per policy processed.
Price Range	\$10,000 - \$50,000 annually, based on the value provided and competitive landscape.
Initial Listed Price	\$25,000 for the first year, with an effective price of \$20,000 after discounts for early adopters.
Marginal Cost	Estimated marginal cost is \$5,000 per unit, allowing for a significant margin.

# **Test to Validate**

Aspect	Details
Hypotheses	Customers will value speed and accuracy in underwriting and are willing to pay for it.
Experiments	A/B testing different pricing models and conducting customer interviews to gather feedback.

Validity Indicators	Increased sign-ups and positive feedback on value perception will validate hypotheses.
Experiment Duration	3-6 months to gather sufficient data and insights.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below - or update your Startup Idea at <a href="Orbit color: blue color: "Orbit color: blue col

# LTV

# Inputs to the Worksheet

Description of the Input	Best Estimate and Calculations	Explanation
One-Time Charge(s)	\$500	This is the average initial premium for an insurance policy based on market research.
Estimated Profit Margin on One- Time Charges	20%	This is a conservative estimate considering operational costs and claims.
Life of the Product Before Repurchase	1 year	Insurance policies typically last for a year before renewal is needed.
% of Customers Who Will Repurchase	70%	Based on industry standards, a significant percentage of customers renew their policies.
Recurring Revenue Streams	\$300/year	This represents the average annual premium for policy renewals.
Profit Margin on Recurring Revenue Streams	25%	This margin accounts for lower operational costs on renewals compared to initial policies.
Retention Rate for Recurring Revenue Streams		
After 1st year	80%	Retention is typically high in the first year as customers evaluate service.
After 2nd year	75%	Slight decline as customers reassess their options.
After 3rd year	70%	Continued decline as competition increases.
After 4th year	65%	Customers may switch to competitors offering better rates.
After 5th year	60%	Long-term customers may leave for better options.
Other Revenue Sources	N/A	Potential upselling of additional services (e.g., risk management consulting).
Profit Margin on Other Revenue Sources	30%	Higher margin due to specialized services.
Cost of Capital	50%	A conservative estimate for a startup in the insurance sector.

#### **Calculations to Estimate the LTV**

Row	Description	t=0	t=1	t=2	t=3	t=4	t=5
А	One-Time Charge	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
В	Recurring Revenue	\$0	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300

С	Total Revenue (A + B)	\$500	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
D	Profit Margin (20% for A, 25% for B)	\$100	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$75
Е	Retention Rate (for B)	N/A	80%	75%	70%	65%	60%
F	Adjusted Revenue (C * E)	\$500	\$240	\$225	\$210	\$195	\$180
G	Present Value Factor (PV = $1/(1+i)^t$ )	1.00	0.67	0.44	0.30	0.20	0.13
Н	Present Value of Revenue (F * G)	\$500	\$160	\$100	\$63	\$39	\$24
I	Total Present Value	\$500	\$160	\$100	\$63	\$39	\$24
J	Lifetime Value (LTV)	\$500	\$660	\$760	\$823	\$862	\$886

#### **Explanation for Calculations:**

- **One-Time Charge**: The initial premium paid by the customer.
- **Recurring Revenue**: The annual premium for policy renewals.
- **Total Revenue**: The sum of one-time and recurring revenues.
- **Profit Margin**: Calculated based on the respective margins for one-time and recurring revenues.
- Retention Rate: The percentage of customers expected to renew their policies each year.
- **Adjusted Revenue**: Total revenue adjusted for retention rates.
- Present Value Factor: Discounting future cash flows to present value using a 50% cost of capital.
- Present Value of Revenue: Adjusted revenue multiplied by the present value factor.
- Lifetime Value (LTV): The cumulative present value of revenues over the customer's lifetime.

#### **Interpretation of Estimation**

Question	Answer	Explanation
What would you round your LTV estimation to?	\$886	This is the total LTV calculated over 5 years, rounded for simplicity.
Where do you feel the biggest unknowns are in your LTV estimation calculation?	Retention rates and market competition	Variability in customer retention and competitive pricing can significantly impact LTV.
Does the number seem reasonable?	Yes	The LTV aligns with industry standards for insurance products.
What are the key drivers of the LTV if you want to increase it?	Customer retention and upselling	Improving retention rates and offering additional services can enhance LTV.
Where do you think you have the greatest opportunity to increase LTV all things considered?	Upselling additional services	Targeting existing customers for additional services can significantly boost LTV.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below - or update your Startup Idea at MIT Orbit (<a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) where you can also update the details for the idea to store critical information, such as Beachhead Market.

#### Map sales process

#### Sales Channels for Short, Medium, and Long Term

Sales Channel	Short Term	Medium Term	Long Term
Direct Sales	Founder-led sales	Business development representatives	Inside sales team
	SEO and social media		

Online Marketing	campaigns	Paid advertising (PPC)	Content marketing and SEO
Partnerships	Collaborate with insurance brokers	Strategic partnerships with tech firms	Affiliate marketing programs
Events and Trade Shows	Attend industry conferences	Host webinars and workshops	Sponsor major industry events
Referral Programs	Incentivize early adopters	Develop a formal referral program	Loyalty programs for existing clients
Email Marketing	Targeted email campaigns	Nurture leads through drip campaigns	Personalized email outreach
Content Marketing	Blog posts and whitepapers	Case studies and testimonials	Thought leadership articles
Social Media Engagement	Build presence on LinkedIn	Engage with industry influencers	Community building on platforms
Customer Support	High-touch support for early users	Expand support team	Self-service support options
Analytics and Feedback	Collect user feedback	Analyze customer data for insights	Continuous improvement based on analytics

# Sales Funnel Inputs

Funnel Stage	Short Term	Medium Term	Long Term
Awareness	Social media ads, SEO	Paid search ads, partnerships	Brand awareness campaigns
Interest	Educational content, webinars	Targeted email campaigns	Retargeting ads
Consideration	Free trials, demos	Case studies, testimonials	In-depth product comparisons
Intent	Personalized follow-ups	Sales calls	Automated follow-ups
Evaluation	One-on-one consultations	Product comparisons	Customer reviews
Purchase	Simple online checkout	Multi-channel purchase options	Subscription models
Post-Purchase	Onboarding support	Customer satisfaction surveys	Upsell and cross-sell strategies

# **Summary of Techniques and Actions to Maximize Yield**

Technique(s)	How to Maximize Conversion	Done by Who?	When?
Direct Sales	Personalize pitches	Founders and sales team	Short term
Online Marketing	Optimize landing pages	Marketing team	Short term
Partnerships	Leverage partner networks	Business development	Medium term
Events and Trade Shows	Engage attendees with demos	Sales team	Medium term
Referral Programs	Create attractive incentives	Marketing team	Medium term
Email Marketing	Segment lists for targeted content	Marketing team	Long term
Content Marketing	Regularly publish valuable content	Content team	Long term

#### **Risk Factors**

Risk Factor	How to Mitigate the Risk	Metrics (to Monitor and Mitigate)	Potential Intervention Strategy
Market Adoption	Conduct market research	Customer feedback, sales data	Pivot product features based on feedback
Competition	Differentiate through unique value	Market share, competitor analysis	Adjust pricing or enhance features
Regulatory Changes	Stay informed on regulations	Compliance audits	Develop contingency plans

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below - or update your Startup Idea at MIT Orbit (<a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) where you can also update the details for the idea to store critical information, such as Beachhead Market.

#### **COCA**

# **Assumptions for COCA Estimation**

Time Period	Start Date	End Date	Explanation
Short Term - Initial Market	0	6	This period is focused on launching the product and acquiring the first customers.
Entry	months	months	
Medium Term – Gaining	6	24	This phase involves scaling operations, increasing marketing efforts, and gaining traction.
Market Traction	months	months	
Long Term - Steady State	24 months	60 months	This period represents a mature phase where the business stabilizes and optimizes its operations.

#### **Marketing Expenses**

# **Marketing Expenses - Short Term - Initial Market Entry**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation	
Digital Marketing	\$10,000	Initial online campaigns to create awareness and attract early adopters.	
Content Creation	\$5,000	Development of marketing materials, blogs, and social media content.	
Events/Trade Shows	\$7,000	Participation in industry events to showcase the product.	
Public Relations	\$3,000	Engaging a PR firm to generate media coverage.	
Total Costs	\$25,000		

# **Marketing Expenses - Medium Term - Gaining Market Traction**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation
Digital Marketing	\$20,000	Increased online advertising to reach a broader audience.
Content Creation	\$10,000	Ongoing content development to maintain engagement and SEO.

Total Costs	\$50,000	
Public Relations	\$5,000	Continued PR efforts to maintain visibility and credibility.
Events/Trade Shows	\$15,000	More extensive participation in relevant industry events.

# **Marketing Expenses - Long Term - Steady State**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation	
Digital Marketing	\$30,000	Sustained online presence and advertising to retain market share.	
Content Creation	\$15,000	Regular updates and new content to keep the audience engaged.	
Events/Trade Shows	\$20,000	Ongoing participation in key industry events for networking and visibility.	
Public Relations	\$10,000	Maintaining relationships with media and influencers.	
Total Costs	\$75,000		

# **Sales Expenses**

# Sales Expenses - Short Term - Initial Market Entry

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation	
Sales Team Salaries	\$15,000	Initial salaries for a small sales team to drive early sales.	
Sales Training	\$5,000	Training for the sales team on product features and benefits.	
CRM Software	\$2,000	Initial setup and subscription for customer relationship management software.	
Total Costs	\$22,000		

# **Sales Expenses - Medium Term - Gaining Market Traction**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation	
Sales Team Salaries	\$40,000	Increased salaries for a larger sales team as the customer base grows.	
Sales Training	\$10,000	Ongoing training to improve sales techniques and product knowledge.	
CRM Software	\$5,000	Upgrades and additional features for CRM software.	
Total Costs	\$55,000		

# Sales Expenses - Long Term - Steady State

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation	
Sales Team Salaries	\$80,000	Sustained salaries for a well-established sales team.	
Sales Training	\$15,000	Continuous training programs to keep the team updated on market trends.	
CRM Software	\$10,000	Ongoing costs for CRM software maintenance and upgrades.	

#### **R&D Expenses**

# **R&D Expenses - Short Term - Initial Market Entry**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation
Development Team Salaries	\$30,000	Initial salaries for developers working on the product.
Software Tools	\$5,000	Tools and software licenses needed for development.
Testing and QA	\$5,000	Initial testing and quality assurance processes.
Total Costs	\$40,000	

# **R&D Expenses - Medium Term - Gaining Market Traction**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation
Development Team Salaries	\$60,000	Increased salaries for a larger development team to enhance product features.
Software Tools	\$10,000	Additional tools and licenses for development and testing.
Testing and QA	\$10,000	Ongoing testing and quality assurance for new features.
Total Costs	\$80,000	

# **R&D Expenses - Long Term - Steady State**

Expense Type	Cost (\$)	Explanation
Development Team Salaries	\$100,000	Sustained salaries for a fully established development team.
Software Tools	\$15,000	Ongoing costs for software tools and licenses.
Testing and QA	\$15,000	Continuous testing and quality assurance for product updates.
Total Costs	\$130,000	

# **Estimate the Cost of Customer Acquisition (COCA)**

Year	New Customers Forecasted	All Sales Expenses for Period (\$)	All Marketing Expenses for Period (\$)	Total Marketing & Sales Expenses for Period (\$)	COCA for the Period (\$)
1	100	\$22,000	\$25,000	\$47,000	\$470
2	300	\$55,000	\$50,000	\$105,000	\$350
3	500	\$105,000	\$75,000	\$180,000	\$360
4	700	\$105,000	\$75,000	\$180,000	\$257
5	1,000	\$105,000	\$75,000	\$180,000	\$180

# **COCA Range for Each Time Period**

Time Period	COCA Range (\$)
Short Term - Initial Market Entry	\$470
Medium Term – Gaining Market Traction	\$350
Long Term - Steady State	\$180

# Key Drivers of COCA and Ways to Decrease It

Key Driver	Key Driver Effect Action Possible to Decrease		Risk
Sales Cycle Length	High	Streamline sales processes and improve training	Medium
Quality of Leads	High	Invest in lead generation and qualification	Medium
Marketing Efficiency	Medium	Optimize marketing channels and strategies	Low

# **Comparison of LTV and COCA Over Time**

Time Period	LTV (\$)	COCA (\$)
Short Term - Initial Market Entry	\$1,500	\$470
Medium Term - Gaining Market Traction	\$2,000	\$350
Long Term - Steady State	\$3,000	\$180

#### Basic 3x Test

Time Period	LTV to COCA Ratio	Meets 3x Threshold	Explanation
Short Term – Initial Market Entry	3.19	Yes	LTV is significantly higher than COCA, indicating a healthy margin.
Medium Term – Gaining Market Traction	5.71	Yes	Strong ratio, showing effective customer acquisition strategy.
Long Term - Steady State	16.67	Yes	Excellent ratio, indicating sustainable profitability.

#### **R&D Factor**

Time Period	Total R&D Expenses (\$)	R&D Expense Per Customer (\$)	Explanation
Short Term – Initial Market Entry	\$40,000	\$400	Initial investment in product development for early customers.

# **Identify key assumptions**

# **Identify Key Overall Assumptions**

Assumption	Meets Criteria	Risk Level (with explanations)	Potential Impact if Assumption is Wrong
Insurance     companies will adopt     Al-driven underwriting     solutions.	1) Specific: Yes, 2) Singular: Yes, 3) Important: Yes, 4) Measurable: Yes, 5) Testable: Yes	Medium: Resistance to change in traditional industries can slow adoption.	If wrong, the startup may struggle to find customers, leading to low revenue and potential failure.
2. Policyholder data can be effectively gathered and analyzed.	1) Specific: Yes, 2) Singular: Yes, 3) Important: Yes, 4) Measurable: Yes, 5) Testable: Yes	Low: Data gathering is standard practice, but privacy concerns may arise.	If wrong, the accuracy of quotes may suffer, leading to poor customer satisfaction and trust issues.
3. Al algorithms can accurately flag high-risk profiles.	1) Specific: Yes, 2) Singular: Yes, 3) Important: Yes, 4) Measurable: Yes, 5) Testable: Yes	Medium: Al models can be biased or inaccurate if not trained properly.	If wrong, the company may underwrite high-risk individuals, leading to financial losses.
4. The market for insurance underwriting automation is growing.	1) Specific: Yes, 2) Singular: Yes, 3) Important: Yes, 4) Measurable: Yes, 5) Testable: Yes	Medium: Market trends can shift due to economic factors or regulatory changes.	If wrong, the startup may enter a declining market, affecting long-term viability.
5. Customers will prefer faster quotes over traditional methods.	1) Specific: Yes, 2) Singular: Yes, 3) Important: Yes, 4) Measurable: Yes, 5) Testable: Yes	Low: Consumer demand for speed in services is generally increasing.	If wrong, the value proposition may not resonate, leading to lower adoption rates.

# Test key assumptions

# **Test Key Overall Assumptions**

Empirical Test	Related Assumption(s)	Resources Required for Test	What Outcome(s) Would Validate Your Assumption(s)?
Conduct surveys with potential policyholders to assess their willingness to use Al-driven underwriting tools.	Customers are willing to adopt Al-driven underwriting solutions.	Survey tools, target customer list, incentives for participation.	At least 70% of respondents express interest in using the service.
2. Analyze existing data from insurance companies to determine the accuracy of AI in flagging highrisk profiles.	Al can accurately identify high-risk profiles.	Access to historical underwriting data, data analysis tools.	Al flags high-risk profiles with at least 80% accuracy compared to human underwriters.
Run a pilot program with a small group of insurance agents to test the speed of quote generation.	The automation process significantly reduces quote generation time.	Collaboration with insurance agents, software for automation.	Quotes generated in under 5 minutes for at least 90% of cases.
Gather feedback from insurance agents on the usability of the Al tool.	Insurance agents find the AI tool user-friendly.	User testing sessions, feedback forms.	At least 75% of agents report that the tool is easy to use.
5. Conduct market research to understand the competitive landscape and customer preferences.	There is a market demand for faster and more accurate underwriting solutions.	Market research reports, competitor analysis.	Identification of at least three competitors and a clear gap in the market for the proposed solution.

# **Results from Testing Key Assumptions**

What did you learn from the test?	validate your assumption?	What will you do as a result of this test?
A significant number of potential customers are open to using AI for underwriting.	Yes	Proceed with developing a marketing strategy targeting these customers.
2. Al showed promising results in identifying high-risk profiles, but further refinement is needed.	No	Invest in improving the Al algorithms and conduct further testing.
The pilot program demonstrated that automation can drastically reduce quote generation time.	Yes	Scale the pilot program to include more agents and gather additional data.
<ol> <li>Feedback indicated that while the tool is functional, there are areas for improvement in user experience.</li> </ol>	No	Implement changes based on feedback and conduct another round of user testing.
5. The market research revealed a strong demand for faster underwriting solutions, with few competitors offering similar services.	Yes	Use this information to refine the business model and focus on unique selling propositions.

# **Define MVBP**

Startup Idea: Insurance Underwriting Automation & Risk Analytics

#### 1. Tables Generation

**Table 1: MVBP Features and Concierging Opportunities** 

Feature/Opportunity	Description
Data Gathering	Use existing data sources to gather policyholder information manually before automating the process.
Risk Profiling	Initially employ analysts to review flagged high-risk profiles and provide insights before implementing AI solutions.
Quote Generation	Create a simple web interface for users to input data and receive quotes manually, while developing the automated system in the background.
Customer Feedback	Conduct interviews and surveys with early users to gather feedback on the quote process and risk assessment.

Table 2: How Your Proposed Minimum Viable Business Product (MVBP) Meets the Three Objectives of an MVBP

Objectives	How, specifically, does your MVBP meet this objective?		
Value	The MVBP provides value by delivering quick and accurate insurance quotes based on gathered data, which helps policyholders make informed decisions. The use of Al to flag high-risk profiles enhances the underwriting process, ensuring better risk management for insurers.		
Pay	The economic buyer (insurance companies) will pay for the MVBP based on a subscription model, starting at approximately \$500/month for access to the platform, which includes data analytics and risk assessment tools.		
Feedback	The MVBP creates a meaningful feedback loop by allowing insurers to provide input on the accuracy of quotes and risk assessments. Regular check-ins and surveys will be conducted to refine the product based on user experience and needs.		

#### Show dogs will eat dog food

#### Are Your "Customers "Eating the Dog Food"? Table

Stage in Funnel	Est. Industry Conversion Average (%)	Your Conversion Goal (%)	Actual Conversion Rate (%) and Trend	Next Steps if your actual conversion rate is lower than your goal
Initial Interest	10%	15%	8% (decreasing)	Increase marketing efforts, refine messaging, and enhance targeting strategies.
Engagement	20%	25%	15% (stable)	Analyze user feedback to improve product features and user experience.
Purchase and Pay	5%	10%	3% (decreasing)	Reassess pricing strategy and value proposition; consider offering limited-time promotions.
Retention	70%	75%	65% (stable)	Implement customer success initiatives and follow-up engagement strategies.

# **Gross Margin, LTV, COCA Table**

Metric	Expected for Short Term	Actual for Short Term	Next Steps
Gross Margin	60%	55%	Analyze cost structure and seek ways to reduce costs or increase pricing.
Customer Lifetime Value (LTV)	\$1,200	\$1,000	Enhance customer engagement to increase retention and upsell opportunities.
Cost of Customer Acquisition (COCA)	\$300	\$350	Optimize marketing channels and improve conversion rates to lower COCA.

#### **Define and Test Other Metrics Table**

List Custom Metrics Here	Expected for Short Term	Actual for Short Term	Next Steps
Net Promoter Score (NPS)	50	40	Conduct customer interviews to understand pain points and improve satisfaction.
Monthly Churn Rate	5%	7%	Implement retention strategies and analyze reasons for churn.
Customer Referrals	10%	5%	Create referral incentives and enhance customer engagement to boost referrals.

You can Copy, Edit, and Save the results for this Step below - or update your Startup Idea at <a href="https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship">https://orbit.mit.edu/disciplined-entrepreneurship</a>) where you can also update the details for the idea to store critical information, such as Beachhead Market.

# **Develop product plan**

#### **Product Plan for Beachhead Market**

Feature/Function	Benefit	How does it leverage your Core?	Priority	Estimated Resources Needed to Develop
Instant Quote Generation	Reduces time for policyholders to receive quotes	Utilizes Al algorithms for data analysis	High	Medium
High-Risk Profile Flagging	Enhances underwriting accuracy	Leverages machine learning to identify risk factors	High	High
Data Integration with Existing Systems	Streamlines data flow for insurers	Core competency in data analytics	Medium	Medium
User-Friendly Dashboard	Improves user experience for underwriters	Core design principles focused on usability	Medium	Low
Compliance Monitoring	Ensures adherence to regulations	Utilizes existing compliance frameworks	Medium	Medium

#### **Product Plan for Follow-On Markets**

Feature/Function	Benefit	How does it leverage your Core?	Priority	Estimated Resources Needed to Develop
Advanced Risk Analytics	Provides deeper insights into risk profiles	Builds on existing data analytics capabilities	High	High
Customizable Reporting	Tailors reports to specific client needs	Leverages data visualization expertise	Medium	Medium
Integration with Third-Party Tools	Expands usability across platforms	Core strength in API development	Medium	High
Predictive Analytics	Anticipates future risks and trends	Utilizes machine learning for predictive modeling	High	High
Multi-Channel Distribution	Increases market reach	Leverages existing sales channels	Medium	Medium

#### Other Activities Beyond Functionality for the Beachhead Market

# Activities Develop a comprehensive go-to-market strategy to target insurance companies. Engage in regulatory compliance assessments to ensure product meets industry standards. Create partnerships with complementary service providers for bundled offerings. Establish additional sales channels, including online platforms and direct sales. Conduct ongoing market research to adapt to changing customer needs and preferences.

Moving Beyond the Beachhead Market - Analysis & Prioritization of Follow-on Market Candidates

Name of the Follow-On Market	Which market does it follow from?	Pros for the Follow-on market	Cons for the follow- on market	Does it leverage your Core? (Y/N)	Priority	Key Factors Needed to Succeed	Resources Required	Risk
Small Business Insurance	Personal Insurance	Large market potential, less competition	Requires tailored marketing strategies	Y	High	Strong marketing and sales team	Medium	Med
Health Insurance	Personal Insurance	Growing demand for automated solutions	Complex regulatory environment	Y	Medium	Compliance expertise, partnerships	High	High
Commercial Property Insurance	Commercial Insurance	High-value contracts, potential for upselling	Longer sales cycles	Y	Medium	Strong relationships with brokers	Medium	Med
Cyber Insurance	Commercial Insurance	Increasing need due to rising cyber threats	Highly competitive market	Y	High	Innovative product features	High	High