

Exercise Guide for *Linear Algebra* by Peter Lax

Muthu Chidambaram

Last Updated: June 15, 2019

Contents

1	Fundamentals	5
1.1	Exercise 1	5
1.2	Exercise 2	5
1.3	Exercise 3	5
1.4	Exercise 4	5
1.5	Exercise 5	5
1.6	Exercise 6	5
1.7	Exercise 7	5
1.8	Exercise 8	5
1.9	Exercise 9	5
1.10	Exercise 10	5
1.11	Exercise 11	5
1.12	Exercise 12	6
1.13	Exercise 13	6
1.14	Exercise 14	6
1.15	Exercise 15	6
1.16	Exercise 16	6
1.17	Exercise 17	6
2	Duality	7
2.1	Exercise 1	7
2.2	Exercise 2	7
3	Linear Mappings	8
3.1	Exercise 1	8
3.2	Exercise 2	8
3.3	Exercise 3	8
3.4	Exercise 4	8
3.5	Exercise 5	8
3.6	Exercise 6	9
3.7	Exercise 7	9

4	Matrices	10
4.1	Exercise 1	10
4.2	Exercise 2	10
5	Determinant and Trace	11
5.1	Exercise 1	11
5.2	Exercise 2	11
5.3	Exercise 3	11
5.4	Exercise 4	11
5.5	Exercise 5	11
5.6	Exercise 6	11
5.7	Exercise 7	12
5.8	Exercise 8	12
5.9	Exercise 9	12
5.10	Exercise 10	12
6	Spectral Theory	13
6.1	Exercise 1	13
6.2	Exercise 2	13
6.3	Exercise 3	13
6.4	Exercise 4	13
6.5	Exercise 5	13
6.6	Exercise 6	13
6.7	Exercise 7	13
6.8	Exercise 8	13
6.9	Exercise 9	14
6.10	Exercise 10	14
7	Euclidean Structure	15
7.1	Exercise 1	15
7.2	Exercise 2	15
7.3	Exercise 3	15
7.4	Exercise 4	15
7.5	Exercise 5	16
7.6	Exercise 6	16
7.7	Exercise 7	16
7.8	Exercise 8	16
7.9	Exercise 9	16
7.9.1	Theorem 4	16
7.9.2	Theorem 5	16
7.9.3	Theorem 6	17
7.9.4	Theorem 7	17
7.10	Exercise 10	17
7.10.1	Theorem 8	17
7.10.2	Theorem 9	17
7.11	Exercise 11	17

7.12	Exercise 12	17
7.13	Exercise 13	17
7.14	Exercise 14	17
7.15	Exercise 15	17
7.16	Exercise 16	18

About

“A modern mathematical proof is not very different from a modern machine, or a modern test setup: the simple fundamental principles are hidden and almost invisible under a mass of technical details.”

- Hermann Weyl

These notes contain short summaries of my proof ideas for exercises from the book *Linear Algebra* by Peter Lax. Note that this is not the same book as Lax's more recent *Linear Algebra And Its Applications*. I think the latter book has solutions floating around, but I could not find solutions for this older book... So perhaps someone will find something useful in what follows.

1 Fundamentals

1.1 Exercise 1

$$x + z = x = x + z' \implies z = z'.$$

1.2 Exercise 2

$$0x + x = (0 + 1)x = x.$$

1.3 Exercise 3

Coefficients can be represented as row vectors.

1.4 Exercise 4

Function can be represented as row vector by letting $a_i = f(s_i)$ for each $s_i \in S$.

1.5 Exercise 5

Follows from exercises 3 and 4.

1.6 Exercise 6

$$y_1 + z_1 + y_2 + z_2 = (y_1 + y_2) + (z_1 + z_2) \text{ and } k(y_1 + z_1) = ky_1 + kz_1.$$

1.7 Exercise 7

$$a \in Y \cap Z \implies ka \in Y, ka \in Z \implies ka \in Y \cap Z.$$

1.8 Exercise 8

$$k0 = 0, 0 + 0 = 0.$$

1.9 Exercise 9

If S contains x_i then it must contain kx_i .

1.10 Exercise 10

If $x_i = 0$, k_i can be anything.

1.11 Exercise 11

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{\dim Y_i} y_j^{(i)}.$$

1.12 Exercise 12

Complete basis for W to U and V . Use W basis vectors and additional U and V basis vectors to get $\dim X = \dim U - \dim W + \dim V - \dim W + \dim W$.

1.13 Exercise 13

Send i^{th} basis vector to e_i , where e_i is vector of all zeroes except a one in the i^{th} place. Can permute mapping to get different isomorphisms.

1.14 Exercise 14

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_2 - x_3 = x_1 - x_3.$$

1.15 Exercise 15

$$x' = x + z_x, y' = y + z_y \implies x' + y' = x + y + (z_x + z_y).$$

1.16 Exercise 16

$$x \in X_1 \oplus X_2 \implies x = (x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 0) + (0, x_2).$$

1.17 Exercise 17

Construct a basis for X from Y : $y_1, \dots, y_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n$.
Then $X/Y = \text{span}\{x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

2 Duality

Theorem 1

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \implies k_i(x) = a_i.$$

2.1 Exercise 1

$$l_1, l_2 \in Y^\perp \implies l_1(y) + l_2(y) = 0 = (l_1 + l_2)(y).$$

2.2 Exercise 2

$$\forall \xi \in Y^{\perp\perp} \implies \forall l \in Y^\perp, \xi(l) = 0 = l(y) \forall y \in Y.$$

3 Linear Mappings

3.1 Exercise 1

- (a) $x \in X \implies x = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i \implies T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i T(x_i) \in U$.
(b) $T(x), T(y) \in U \implies T(x+y) \in U \implies x+y \in X$.

Theorem 1

$$x \in X, y \in N_T \implies T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) = T(x).$$

3.2 Exercise 2

- (a) Differentiation constant and sum rules imply linearity, and multiplication by s is distributive. Take $p(s) = 1$ to see that $ST \neq TS$.
(b) Rotation by 90 degrees amounts to swapping and negating coordinates, which is linear. Take $p = (1, 1, 0)$ to see that $ST \neq TS$.

3.3 Exercise 3

- (i) $T^{-1}(T(a+b)) = T^{-1}(T(a)+T(b)) = a+b = T^{-1}(T(a)) + T^{-1}(T(b))$.
(ii) Composition of isomorphisms is an isomorphism, hence ST is invertible.

3.4 Exercise 4

- (i) Let $T : X \rightarrow U$, $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $l_v \in V'$. Then $(ST)'(l_v) = l_v(ST) = (l_v S)T = (S'l_v)T = T'S'l_v$, since $S'l_v \in U'$.
(ii) Follows from linearity of transpose (definition).
(iii) Let $T : X \rightarrow U$ be an isomorphism. Then $l_x = l_u T \implies l_x T^{-1} = l_u$ for $l_u \in U'$, $l_x \in X'$.

3.5 Exercise 5

$T''(l_{x'}) = l_{x'} T'$ where $l_{x'} \in X''$ and $l_{x'} T' \in U''$. Since we can identify elements in X'' and U'' with elements in X and U respectively, we have that T'' assigns elements of U to X .

Theorem 2'

Since $T' : U' \rightarrow X'$ we have $l_u \in N_{T'} \implies T'(l_u) = l_u T = 0$. $N_{T'}^\perp$ consists of elements $l_{u'} | l_{u'}(l_u) = 0$. From $l_u T x = 0$ we have that each $l_{u'}$ is identified with a $u \in R_T$.

3.6 Exercise 6

The first two elements of x are already 0 after applying P , so $P^2 = P$. Linearity follows from linearity of vector addition.

3.7 Exercise 7

P is linear since function addition is linear. $P^2 f = \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{4} + \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{4} = Pf$.

4 Matrices

4.1 Exercise 1

$$(P + T)_{ij} = ((P + T)e_j)_i = (Pe_j + Te_j)_i = P_{ij} + T_{ij}.$$

4.2 Exercise 2

Represent A as a column of row vectors A_i and B as a row of column vectors B_i . Denote blocks by parenthesized subscripts. Then the first block of AB looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_{(11)} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 B_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_k B_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,:(k+1)} B_{1,:(k+1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_{k,:(k+1)} B_{k,:(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,(k+1):} B_{1,(k+1):} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_{k,(k+1):} B_{k,(k+1):} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= A_{(11)} B_{(11)} + A_{(12)} B_{(21)} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,:(k+1)} B_{i,:(k+1)} &= \sum_{j=1}^k A_{i,j} B_{i,j} \\ A_{i,(k+1):} B_{i,(k+1):} &= \sum_{j=k+1}^n A_{i,j} B_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

The rest follow similarly.

5 Determinant and Trace

5.1 Exercise 1

(a) The discriminant already has ordered versions of all the (i, j) difference terms. Applying a permutation only changes the signs of some of the difference terms, hence $\sigma(p) = 1, -1$.

(b) $\sigma(p_1 \circ p_2) = \text{sign}(P(p_1 \circ p_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))) = \sigma(p_1) \text{sign}(P(p_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)))$.

5.2 Exercise 2

(c) A transposition swaps two indices, and hence flips the sign of their associated difference term in the discriminant.

(d) If $p(i) = j$, then we can start with the permutation $(i\ j)$. Next, if $p(j) = k$, we can compose with $(i\ k)$ to get $(i\ k) \circ (i\ j)$. We can do this until we have completely reconstructed the permutation using transpositions.

5.3 Exercise 3

By starting with a different i in Exercise 2 (d), we can obtain a different decomposition of transpositions. However, the parity of the decomposition must be the same, as otherwise $\sigma(p)$ will take on two different values for the same p .

5.4 Exercise 4

(Property II): Each term in $D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ contains exactly one element from each of the a_i . Thus, scaling any of the a_i by k scales the entire determinant by k . Similar logic for vector addition.

(Property III): The only non-zero term in $D(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is associated with the identity permutation, hence $D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$.

(Property IV): Swapping two arguments is the same as applying a transposition to each of the terms in $D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, which flips the sign of D .

5.5 Exercise 5

Suppose $a_1 = a_2$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= -D(a_2, a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + D(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

5.6 Exercise 6

We can swap rows and columns until A is in the same form as in Lemma 2. Since each row and column swap is equivalent to applying a transposition, we

get that $\det A = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}$.

5.7 Exercise 7

Each term in the sum $D(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum \sigma(p) a_{p_1 1} \dots a_{p_n n}$ consists of exactly one element from each column and each row; swapping rows and columns does not change the terms in the sum. However, the permutation associated with each term is changed. The permutation p that sends $1 \rightarrow p_1$ becomes p' sending $p_1 \rightarrow 1$. This p' is exactly p^{-1} . Since $\sigma(1) = \sigma(p^{-1} \circ p) = \sigma(p^{-1})\sigma(p)$, $\sigma(p^{-1}) = \sigma(p)$ we are done.

5.8 Exercise 8

P is the linear transformation such that $P(e_j) = e_i$; in other words, P rearranges the representation of x by applying p to the components of x . We also have that $PQx = Pq(x) = p \circ q(x)$, since Qx permutes the components of x to produce $q(x)$, and $Pq(x)$ permutes the components of $q(x)$ to produce $p \circ q(x)$.

5.9 Exercise 9

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } AB &= \sum_{i=1}^m (AB)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ \text{Tr } BA &= \sum_{j=1}^n (BA)_{jj} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ji} a_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

5.10 Exercise 10

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } AA^\top &= \sum (AA^\top)_{ii} = \sum \sum a_{ij} a_{ji}^\top \\ &= \sum \sum a_{ij}^2 \end{aligned}$$

6 Spectral Theory

6.1 Exercise 1

(a) We can re-express h as a linear combination of its eigenvectors, from which we can see that A^n causes all of these components to go to 0.

(b) Same as in part (a), except all of the components now go to ∞ .

6.2 Exercise 2

$$A(A^N f) = A(a^N f + Na^{N-1}h) = a^{N+1}f + a^N h + Na^N h.$$

6.3 Exercise 3

Suppose $q(A) = \sum_{i=0}^N q_i A^i$. Then $q_i A^i f = q_i a^i f + q_i i a^{i-1} h$ by Exercise 2. From the linearity of the derivative it then follows that $q(A)f = q(a)f + q'(a)h$.

6.4 Exercise 4

Applying Lemma 9 to $p_1 \dots p_k$ and p_{k+1} gives the desired result.

6.5 Exercise 5

$$(A - aI)^d x = 0 \implies A(A - aI)^d x = 0 \implies (A - aI)^d (Ax) = 0 \implies Ax \in N_d.$$

6.6 Exercise 6

Since m_A divides the characteristic polynomial of A (by definition of d_i), $m_A(A) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton. Suppose there is some polynomial $q(A) = 0$ with $\deg(q) < \deg(m_A)$. The roots of q must contain all of the eigenvalues of A , since for any eigenvector h we have that $q(A)h = q(a_h)h$ (where a_h denotes the eigenvalue associated with h). Thus, the roots of q can only differ in multiplicity from m_A . However, if any root of q has multiplicity $d'_i < d_i$, then we can choose an element $x \in N_{d_i}$ such that $q(A)x \neq 0$, which is a contradiction (since $N_{d'_i} \subset N_{d_i}$).

6.7 Exercise 7

The columns of A are Ax_i .

6.8 Exercise 8

By induction.

6.9 Exercise 9

The minimal polynomial of A divides the minimal polynomial of A^\top , and vice versa, so they must be the same. Thus, the indices of each eigenvalue of A and A^\top must be the same, which means we can apply Theorem 12 to see that they are similar.

6.10 Exercise 10

$(\xi^{(i)}, x) = \sum k_j (\xi^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = k_i (\xi^{(i)}, x^{(i)})$ since $(\xi^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = 0$ by Theorem 17.

7 Euclidean Structure

7.1 Exercise 1

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz gives that $(x, y) \leq \|x\|\|y\| = \|x\|$, which yields the desired result.

7.2 Exercise 2

Both of these just follow from the fact that a linear space with Euclidean structure is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k , which has the desired properties. The following feel like cop-out solutions, but I feel they're fair given this isn't supposed to be a real analysis text.

(i) Let $x_k = \sum_i a_i^{(k)} x^{(i)}$ and $x_j = \sum_i a_i^{(j)} x^{(i)}$. Then we have that $\|x_k - x_j\| \rightarrow 0 \implies \left| a_i^{(k)} - a_i^{(j)} \right| \rightarrow 0$. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, $a_i^{(n)} \rightarrow a_i$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $x_k \rightarrow x = \sum a_i x^{(i)}$.

(ii) Same logic as (i): the individual $a_i^{(n)}$ have convergent subsequences.

7.3 Exercise 3

We also need to assume X is finite dimensional (I think).

(i) Since X is finite dimensional, we have that $x = \sum a_i e^{(i)}$ for some basis $e^{(i)}$. Let v be the vector whose components are $v_i = \|Ae^{(i)}\|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Ax\| &= \left\| \sum a_i Ae^{(i)} \right\| \\ &= \|(x, v)\| \\ &\leq \|x\|\|v\|\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|v\|$ is a constant, $\|Ax\|$ is bounded on the unit sphere.

(ii) We have that $\|A\| = \max_x \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \max_x \|Ax\|$. The result then follows from $(Ax, y) \leq \|Ax\|$.

(iii) Let v be as in (i). Then $\|Ax_i - Ax_j\| \leq \|A\|\|x_i - x_j\|$. Since $\|A\| \leq \|v\|$ from (i), we are done (we can make $\|x_i - x_j\|$ as small as we'd like).

7.4 Exercise 4

Follows immediately from $(Ax, y) = (x, A^*y)$ and Exercise 3 (ii).

7.5 Exercise 5

Let $x = y_1 + y_1^\perp$ and $z = y_2 + y_2^\perp$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(P_Y x, z) &= (y_1, y_2 + y_2^\perp) \\ &= (y_1, y_2) + 0 \\ &= (y_1, y_2) + (y_1^\perp, y_2) \\ &= (x, P_Y z)\end{aligned}$$

7.6 Exercise 6

Reflection across $x_3 = 0$ sends $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2, -x_3)$. Hence it can be represented as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which has determinant -1 (product of diagonal terms).

7.7 Exercise 7

(a) If A is orthogonal, then $A^*A = I$. Since $A^* = A^\top$ in this case, we immediately get that the column vectors of A are pairwise orthogonal unit vectors. Similarly, a matrix A with pairwise orthogonal unit vectors satisfies $A^*A = I$, implying that it is orthogonal.

(b) $A^*A = I \implies A^* = A^{-1} \implies AA^* = I$ so A orthogonal implies A^* orthogonal. The result then follows from plugging A^* into (a).

7.8 Exercise 8

The proof is almost identical to the non-complex case.

$$\begin{aligned}(x + ty, x + ty) &= (x, x) + (ty, x) + (x, ty) + \|t\|^2(y, y) \\ &= (x, x) + t(y, x) + \bar{t}(x, y) + \|t\|^2(y, y)\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $t = \frac{(x, y)}{(y, y)}$ and using the fact that $(x + ty, x + ty) \geq 0$ for all complex t gives the desired inequality.

7.9 Exercise 9

7.9.1 Theorem 4

The proof of Theorem 4 is the same, except we use $y = \sum \bar{b}_k x^{(k)}$ instead.

7.9.2 Theorem 5

No changes need to be made to the proof of Theorem 5.

7.9.3 Theorem 6

Again, no changes need to be made.

7.9.4 Theorem 7

No changes need to be made here either, since $(y, y^\perp) = 0 \implies \overline{(y, y^\perp)} = 0$.

7.10 Exercise 10

7.10.1 Theorem 8

Parts (i)-(iii) remain the same. For part (iv), we see that

$$(Ax, y) = (x, A^*y) = \overline{(A^*y, x)} = \overline{(y, A^{**}x)} = (A^{**}x, y)$$

7.10.2 Theorem 9

(i) $\|kA\| = \max_x \frac{\sqrt{(kAx, kAx)}}{\|x\|} = \sqrt{kk}\|A\| = \|k\|\|A\|.$

(ii) Applying triangle inequality to the definition of norm gives the result.

(iii) Comes immediately from $\|A(Bx)\| \leq \|A\|\|Bx\|.$

7.11 Exercise 11

Follows from $\|M(x) - M(y)\| = (\bar{x} - \bar{y})(x - y) = \|x - y\|.$

7.12 Exercise 12

Same idea as proof of Theorem 10.

7.13 Exercise 13

As in Exercise 7, $M^*M = I \implies M^* = M^{-1} \implies MM^* = I$. Similarly, $M^* = M^{-1} \implies (M^*)^{-1}M^{-1} = I$.

7.14 Exercise 14

Associativity follows from associativity of composition. From Exercise 13, if M is unitary, so is M^{-1} . Finally, I is also unitary, so the unitary maps are a group with unit I .

7.15 Exercise 15

Again, same idea as in Theorem 10: $\det M^* \det M = 1$.

7.16 Exercise 16

$$(Mf, Mg) = \int_{-1}^1 m^2(s) f(s) \bar{g}(s) = \int_{-1}^1 f(s) \bar{g}(s)$$