

04.09.2020

Digital Image Processing (CSE/ECE 478)

Lecture-8: Bilateral Filtering, Linearity Intro to Frequency Domain Processing

Ravi Kiran


Center for Visual Information Technology (CVIT), IIIT Hyderabad




- ❑ Mean: blurs image, removes simple noise, no details are preserved
- ❑ Gaussian: blurs image, preserves details only for small σ .
- ❑ Median: preserves some details, good at removing strong noise




original



3x3 mean




3x3 gaussian



3x3 median


Edge Preserving Filtering

- **Edges** \Rightarrow smooth only along edges
- “Smooth” regions \Rightarrow smooth isotropically



Bilateral Filters - 1D example


1 x 3



It is clear that in weighting this neighborhood,
we would like to preserve the step


Gaussian Weights

□ Gaussian Weights




Filtered Output

- Weighted sum on the $W_c(p)$




Edge loss

- Edge is smoothed/lost



Photometric Weights

□ Introducing Photometric weights



Bilateral Filtering


- Filter Weights derived from both geometric and photometric distances

The diagram illustrates the Bilateral Filtering equation with three callouts: "Denoise" pointing to the geometric distance term, "Feature preserving" pointing to the photometric distance term, and "Normalization" pointing to the denominator. Red arrows highlight the weights and the final output.

$$I'(u) = \frac{\sum_{p \in N(u)} e^{-\frac{\|u-p\|^2}{2\sigma_c^2}} e^{-\frac{|I(u)-I(p)|}{2\sigma_s^2}} I(p)}{\sum_{p \in N(u)} e^{-\frac{\|u-p\|^2}{2\sigma_c^2}} e^{-\frac{|I(u)-I(p)|}{2\sigma_s^2}}}$$


Bilateral Filter

❑ Illustration of bilateral filter changes




Bilateral Filter

❑ Illustration of bilateral filter changes




input

Bilateral Filter




input




W_c


Bilateral Filter



input




W_c




W_s


Bilateral Filter




input



W_c




W_s




$W_s * W_c$

Bilateral Filter


□ Filtering process




input




Output



W_c



W_s



$W_s * W_c$

Bilateral Filter Results



Original

Bilateral Filter Results



$$\sigma_c = 3,$$
$$\sigma_s = 3$$

Bilateral Filter Results




$$\sigma_c = 6,$$
$$\sigma_s = 3$$

Bilateral Filter Results



$$\sigma_c = 12,$$
$$\sigma_s = 3$$

Bilateral Filter Results



$$\sigma_c = 12, \checkmark$$
$$\sigma_s = 6 \checkmark$$




Bilateral Filter Results



$$\sigma_c = 15,$$
$$\sigma_s = 8$$



Linear Spatial Filter

$$I'(u, v) \leftarrow \sum_{j=-1}^1 \sum_{i=-1}^1 I(u+i, v+j) \cdot \boxed{H(i, j)}$$



Linear Spatial Filter

$$I'(u, v) \leftarrow \sum_{j=-1}^1 \sum_{i=-1}^1 I(u+i, v+j) \cdot \boxed{H(i, j)}$$



$$d(i, j, k, l) = \exp\left(-\frac{(i-k)^2 + (j-l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right)$$

	0	1	2	3	4
0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
1	0.005	0.264	0.513	0.264	0.005
2	0.005	0.513	1.000	0.513	0.005
3	0.005	0.264	0.513	0.264	0.005
4	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005


$$g(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{k,l} f(k, l) d(i, j, k, l)}{\sum_{k,l} d(i, j, k, l)}$$

Bilateral Filter

$$g(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{k,l} f(k, l) w(i, j, k, l)}{\sum_{k,l} w(i, j, k, l)}.$$


(3.34)

$f(x, y)$






The weighting coefficient $w(i, j, k, l)$ depends on the product of a *domain kernel* (Figure 3.19c),



$$d(i, j, k, l) = \exp \left(-\frac{(i - k)^2 + (j - l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2} \right),$$



and a data-dependent range kernel (Figure 3.19d),

$$r(i, j, k, l) = \exp \left(-\frac{\|f(i, j) - f(k, l)\|^2}{2\sigma_r^2} \right).$$





$$g(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{k,l} f(k, l) w(i, j, k, l)}{\sum_{k,l} w(i, j, k, l)}.$$

(3.34)

The weighting coefficient $w(i, j, k, l)$ depends on the product of a *domain kernel* (Figure 3.19c),

$$d(i, j, k, l) = \exp \left(-\frac{(i - k)^2 + (j - l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2} \right), \quad (3.35)$$


and a data-dependent *range kernel* (Figure 3.19d),

$$r(i, j, k, l) = \exp \left(-\frac{\|f(i, j) - f(k, l)\|^2}{2\sigma_r^2} \right).$$

When multiplied together, these yield the data-dependent *bilateral weight function*

$$\boxed{w(i, j, k, l)} = \exp \left(-\frac{(i - k)^2 + (j - l)^2}{2\sigma_d^2} - \frac{\|f(i, j) - f(k, l)\|^2}{2\sigma_r^2} \right). \quad (3.37)$$






Usual Gaussian Filtering



Bilateral Filtering

Bilateral Filter

input



output



The kernel shape depends on the image content.





1 Iteration




2 Iterations







4 Iterations

Fig. 2.4 Iterations: the bilateral filter can be applied iteratively, and the result progressively approximates a piecewise constant signal. This effect can help achieve a limited-palette, cartoon-like rendition of images [72]. Here, $\sigma_s = 8$ and $\sigma_r = 0.1$.






mean




Effects of noise

Consider a single row or column of the image



Where is the edge?


Solution: smooth first




$$\frac{d}{dx}(f * g) = f * \frac{d}{dx}g$$

$g(x)$

$g'(x)$



- This saves us one operation:



①

Other Important Filters


► Laplacian of Gaussian

► Noise Suppression


Robert Collins
CSE486

1D Gaussian and Derivatives


$$\underline{g(x)} = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



$$\underline{g'(x)} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} = -\frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



$$\underline{g''(x)} = \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$




Other Important Filters

- ▶ Laplacian of Gaussian
 - ▶ Noise Suppression


Robert Collins
CSE486

Second Derivative of a Gaussian

$$g''(x) = \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



2D
analog
➔



LoG "Mexican Hat"

Other Important Filters

- ▶ Laplacian of Gaussian
 - ▶ Noise Suppression
- ▶ Difference of Gaussian
 - ▶ Band-pass

Robert Collins
CSE486


Efficient Implementation Approximating LoG with DoG

LoG can be approximate by a Difference of two Gaussians (DoG) at different scales


$$\nabla^2 G_\sigma \approx G_{\sigma_1} - G_{\sigma_2}$$



Best approximation when:

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}, \sigma_2 = \sqrt{2}\sigma$$




1D example






Linear System




A system T is **linear** if it satisfies the following two properties:

1) Scaling




2) Additivity



'Linear' Spatial Filtering

Linear System



→ $T[\underline{a}x_1(n) + \underline{b}x_2(n)] = \underline{a}T[x_1(n)] + \underline{b}T[x_2(n)]$

Red curly braces are drawn under the terms $aT[x_1(n)]$ and $bT[x_2(n)]$ on the right side of the equation.

Convolution / Linear Filters

- Smoothing (Average, Gaussian)
- Edge Filters (Prewitt, Sobel, Laplacian)

$$I * f = I'$$

$$I'(u, v) \leftarrow \sum_{j=-1}^1 \sum_{i=-1}^1 I(u+i, v+j) \cdot H(i, j)$$

		j		
i	-1	0	1	
	-1	a	b	c
	0	d	e	f
	1	g	h	i

averaging
 $T[x[n]] = y[n]$

120	190	140	150	200
17	21	30	8	27
89	123	150	73	56
10	178	140	150	18
190	14	76	69	87

x

1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9

=

		98		

$x[n]$

convolution

correlation

References

▶ GW Chapter – 3.4

▶ Convolution:


- <http://www.songho.ca/dsp/convolution/convolution.html>

- http://www.ceri.memphis.edu/people/smalley/ESC17355/Ch6_Linear_Systems_Conv.pdf




Image Processing – Two Paradigms

- ▶ Directly manipulating pixels in spatial domain
- ▶ Manipulating in transform domain



Spatial vs. Transform Domain Processing



Spatial vs. Transform Domain Processing



Bandhani / Bandhej



Tie Dye



Spatial vs. Transform Domain Processing

Transform (Tie)



Process (Dye)

Inverse Transform (Untie)




Image Enhancement in Frequency Domain – Preliminary Concepts

Periodic Signals

- Periodic \rightarrow **Frequency** of occurrence
 - Repetitions/<Unit> (cycles/sec = Hz)

$x(t)$
0



$$x(t) = A \cos(\omega t) = A \cos(\underbrace{2\pi f t}_{\text{Angular frequency } \omega}) = A \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T} t\right)$$

Angular frequency $\omega = 2\pi f$ Fundamental Period




2π

$$f = \frac{1}{T}$$

Simple periodic signals

- $x(t) = A \cos(t)$
- $x(t) = A \cos(\underline{2t})$
- $x(t) = A \cos(\underline{t/2})$




- $x(t) = A \cos(\omega t) = A \cos(2\pi f t) = A \cos(\frac{2\pi}{T} t)$




Angular frequency

Signal and Frequency Domains




Signal and Frequency Domains





Periodic Images

spatial signal
128 x 128 grayscale image




Sinusoid pattern repeats every 16 pixels
 $f = 1/16$ cycles/pixel

$$I(x, y) = 128 \sin(2\pi x/16)$$




$$128 \frac{1}{16}$$

for $y = 1:128$
for $x = 1:128$
 $128 \sin(2\pi x/16)$




Periodic Images


Spatial period = Minimal # of pixels between two identical patterns in a “periodic” image




$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{v_{\max}} = \frac{1}{\text{minimal period}} = \frac{1}{2}$$



$$y = \sin(2\pi s)$$




spatial distance (s)



Frequency (# of cycles per unit distance)

$$I(x, y) = 128 \sin(2\pi x / 16)$$




Sinusoid pattern repeats every 16 pixels
 $f = 1/16$ cycles/pixel

Spatial domain


horizontal orientation

f_y



f_x

Frequency domain



Scribe List

2018101055
2018101058
2018101059
2018101066
2018101069
2018101070