New Static Analysis Techniques to Detect Entropy Failure Vulnerabilities

Andrew Russell & Rushi Shah December 12, 2018

The University of Texas at Austin

Entropy Failures: A Historical Perspective

- 1. OpenSSL
- 2. FreeBSD

How Could This Have Been Avoided?

- Audit code once, then use relational verification to prove you haven't introduced bugs with small changes to program.
- This differential approach works well with the way software is written (CI, etc.)

Background/Initial Approach

Taint Analysis

- Definition, terminology, uses, etc.
- Sources are legitimate sources of entropy (/dev/random/)
- Sinks are things like cryptographic algorithms (KDF)
- But taint analysis is unsound when used on two versions of the program (overapproximation)

Predicate Abstraction

- Finer grained version of taint analysis across versions of a program.
- Taint set of variable in program two should be a superset of taint set of variable in program one.

Predicate Abstraction

- Finer grained version of taint analysis across versions of a program.
- Taint set of variable in program two should be a superset of taint set of variable in program one.
- Weaker version: if v_1 is the taint set of variable v passed to sink in program one and v_2 is the taint set of v in program two, then we would like

$$assert(v_1 == v_2)$$

Predicate Abstraction

- Off-the-shelf state-of-the-art: CPAChecker

Product Programs

- Sequential Composition

$$S_1$$
; S_2

Product Programs

- Sequential Composition

$$S_1$$
; S_2

- Synchronized Composition

$$\textit{S}_{1} \otimes \textit{S}_{2}$$

Product Programs

- Sequential Composition

$$S_1$$
; S_2

- Synchronized Composition

$$\textit{S}_{1} \otimes \textit{S}_{2}$$

- Hybrid?

Algorithm

High level overview

- 1. Instrumentation
- 2. Product Program
- 3. Assertions + CPAChecker

Instrumentation

- Replace sources with labelled constants
- Perform taint analysis on sources to generate environment Γ which marks statements involving tainted variables.

Instrumentation

- For values that are tainted by more than one source (for example $S_1 + S_2$) replace with one of two uninterpreted functions over the sources:

- 1. $preserving(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$
- 2. $nonPreserving(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$
- Preserving functions are +, XOR, etc.
- Non-preserving functions are left or right shift, etc.

Sequential Product Program

Naive Synchronized Product Program

Heuristic-Optimized Synchronized Product Program

Assertions + CPAChecker

Correctness

Future Work

Implementation Evaluation

Conclusion

Acknowledgements

Prof. Hovav Shacham and Prof. Isil Dillig

References