Final Report: Microbial Analysis in Lean and Obese Neutered Cats

Isabella Finney

December 14, 2017

# Introduction

Add about 2-3 pages here. Across the whole manuscript, you should cite at least 20 peer reviewed articles.

# Methods

## Study design

Twenty-four domestic shorthair cats, with ages ranging from 1-12 years, were obtained from the University of California. Using a body condition score system (Laflamme, 1997), Fischer et al. determined which cats were considered lean or obese. They chose eight obese (4 male and 4 female); eight lean intact (4 male and 4 female); and eight lean neutered (6 male and 2 female) cats. Neutered cats were either castrated or spayed about 1-6 years before the start of the study. To control the specimens’ environmental factors, all cats were housed together in a temperature and enriched controlled facility. Each cat was also brushed and socialized once a day. The scientists ensured that each cat was given the same dry-type diet for two before the experiment as well as throughout the duration of the study. They ensured that diet provided met all of the standard nutritional recommendations for cats of all ages (Freeman *et al.*, 2013). Cats were fed a diet that consisted of proportional rates of protein, fat, N-free extract, dietary fibre, and ash. Although food intake for each specimen was not exactly measured, weekly recordings showed that each cat kept a consistent weight. Particular steps were taken to preform energy restriction on obese neutered cats. For 10 days, these cats were briefly individually housed during their feeding times and were weighed to ensure food intake stability. For the next 6 weeks, the cats were fed 60-70% of their previous energy intake to obtain a 0.5-1% in weight loss every week. Each cat had their body weight measured every week and a body composition scored was recorded every other week.

## Sample origin and sequencing

### Determining Body Composition

To determine body fat mass and fat free mass, Fischer et al. used a deuterium oxide (D2O) isotopic dilution method purchased from Fisher Scientific. This technique essentially applies a deuterium dilution to some bodily fluid in order to measure the total body water present in the body (Lukaski and Johnson, 1985). For this experiment, a blood sample was taken 12 hours after food fasting and 2 hours after water was withheld. To collect blood and faecal samples, each cat (obese, lean, intact, and neutered) was temporarily housed in a separate location. The dilution was applied to each subcutaneously, or “under the skin” and then after allowing the dilution to equilibrate from a few hours, another blood sample was collected. An IR spectrometer with a class 2A laser was used to analyze the sample.

### Faecal Collection and Bacterial Sequencing


Fresh faecal samples were collected from litter boxes once a day over the period of three days. These samples were placed in sterile tubes and stored at -80 Celsius. To determine that each sample collected was considered “fresh”, Fischer and other staff at the facility observed the cats every 15 minutes and only the faeces produced during this time period was collected.A DNA extraction kit, sold as the Mo Bio PowerSoil Kit, used a bead-beading method on each sample to remove the DNA. This method works by placing beads into a tube sample and mechanically shaking the tube to create collisions between the sample material and the beads (Fujimoto *et al.*, 2004). After retrieving the DNA from each tube, the 16R rRNA genes were chosen to be sequenced from each sample. Amplification of this area was preformed using a universal bacterial primer. After, the scientists ran a series of 30-cycle PCR reactions to create multiple copies of each sequence. The amplicon products from each sample was were pooled together in equal concentrations to be purified with beads. The sequences were processed in a Roche 454 sequencer. The beads from the PCR reactions were placed into wells on a sequencing plate where nucleotide bases are added to each well. From there, light is given out to the wells and depending on the light intensity that the camera records, the wells will display the number of nucleotides of the same type (Rothberg and Leamon, 2008).

## Computational


The process to computationally parse and analyze the data gathered was accomplished using a systematic workflow. The first step was to install all the necessary packages needed to get the dataset in the best suited format possible. In particular, the two most important packages that were installed were DADA2 and phyloseq. DADA2 is a type of open-software package that’s designed to model and correct Illumina-sequenced amplicon errors. It is able to directly extrapolate sequences and accurately resolve any error in as little as 1 nucleotide base (Callahan *et al.*, 2016). The phyloseq pack is also an open-software. This software project was made to preform analysis of microbiome census data present in R. It imports data and allows a user to preform various types of analysis like subsetting, multi-table comparisons, and diversity analysis (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). This package essentially allowed me to make the different figures comparing the data between men and women. The metadata set was first downloaded from the NCBI database. Then through the creation of a fastq processing script, the metadata was thoroughly trimmed based on a set of parameters determined from the 454 sequencer. From here, DADA2 does its job to check for errors in each of the samples. After doing quality checks on the trimmed and denoised sequences, chimeras were removed from the sequences in order to obtain a clean read. Chimeras are formed from two miscloned and joined DNA sequences. The cleaned sequences variants were assigned taxonomy based on known sequences and then a phylogeny was created from a fasta file format of the data. A phyloseq object was constructed from the DADA2 output.

# Results


## Subsections are ok in the results section too



**Figure 1**: Alpha diversity measures of the three sample types, neutered obese and lean cats. Overall, there is a substantial amount of microbial diversty present among each sample type.




**Figure 2**: Alpha richness of each particular sample type.




**Figure 3**: This is an inferred phylogenetic tree of sequences present within the three sample types. The tips of the tree represents samples where each particular taxa occurred. The tree itself represents the maximum likelihood of phylogengy.


## Square root transformation  
## Wisconsin double standardization  
## Run 0 stress 0   
## Run 1 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1973177 max resid 0.4909198   
## Run 2 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.194115 max resid 0.4465266   
## Run 3 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1945325 max resid 0.4398152   
## Run 4 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1988377 max resid 0.4547385   
## Run 5 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1933408 max resid 0.4761559   
## Run 6 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.2028741 max resid 0.4755666   
## Run 7 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.2032677 max resid 0.4990905   
## Run 8 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1887025 max resid 0.4807437   
## Run 9 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1955775 max resid 0.4777994   
## Run 10 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1980626 max resid 0.4662446   
## Run 11 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1943072 max resid 0.4295694   
## Run 12 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.2013727 max resid 0.4814816   
## Run 13 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1847734 max resid 0.440115   
## Run 14 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1969803 max resid 0.4629567   
## Run 15 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.19849 max resid 0.4922136   
## Run 16 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1964329 max resid 0.4668851   
## Run 17 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1989211 max resid 0.4863439   
## Run 18 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1840484 max resid 0.4480583   
## Run 19 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1929118 max resid 0.4348261   
## Run 20 stress 0   
## ... Procrustes: rmse 0.1943051 max resid 0.4229122   
## \*\*\* No convergence -- monoMDS stopping criteria:  
## 20: stress < smin



**Figure 4**: Plot ordination of the taxa present from each type of neutered cat.



**Figure 5**: Bar plot representing the mean abundance of each phyla present in each sample type (lean netured, obese neutered, and obese and neutered with energy restriction).



**Figure 6**: Bar plot representing the mean abundance of the top 5 genera present in each sample type (lean netured, obese neutered, and obese and neutered with energy restriction).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Genus | neutered | obese | Obese after weight loss |
| Alloprevotella | NA | 4.318750 | NA |
| Aminiphilus | NA | 5.812500 | NA |
| Anaerobiospirillum | 5.296875 | 4.152344 | 6.097656 |
| Blautia | 3.545530 | NA | NA |
| Catenibacterium | 9.723684 | NA | NA |
| Clostridium\_sensu\_stricto | 6.973404 | 5.710106 | NA |
| Clostridium\_XI | 28.154167 | 5.277083 | 8.902083 |
| Clostridium\_XlVa | NA | NA | 7.190790 |
| Collinsella | NA | 3.396342 | NA |
| Eggerthella | NA | NA | 26.750000 |
| Enterococcus | 4.531250 | NA | NA |
| Faecalicoccus | NA | NA | 5.750000 |
| Hydrogenoanaerobacterium | NA | NA | 6.138393 |
| Megasphaera | 3.740385 | 9.437500 | 9.478365 |
| Olsenella | 7.812500 | 29.837500 | 27.687500 |
| Prevotella | NA | 8.286152 | 9.829044 |
| Romboutsia | 6.323077 | NA | NA |
| Slackia | NA | NA | 5.034091 |
| Streptococcus | NA | 3.694444 | NA |
| Turicibacter | 5.453125 | NA | NA |

**Table 1**: Summary table showing the mean abundance values for the top 15 genera present among all three sample types.

In addition to a minimum of 5-10 figures/tables (and associated captions), you should include sufficient text in this section to describe what your findings were. Remember that in the results section you just describe what you found, but you don't interpret it - that happens in the discussion. 2-3 pages.

# Discussion

Add around 3-4 pages interpreting your results and considering future directions one might take in analyzing these data.

# Sources Cited

Callahan,B.J. *et al.* (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, **13**, 581–583.

Freeman,L.M. *et al.* (2013) Current knowledge about the risks and benefits of raw meat–based diets for dogs and cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, **243**, 1549–1558.

Fujimoto,S. *et al.* (2004) Optimal bacterial dna isolation method using bead-beating technique. *Memoirs Kyushu Univ Dep Of Health Scis Of Medical Sch*, **3**, 33–38.

Laflamme,D. (1997) Development and validation of a body condition score system for cats: A clinical tool. *Feline practice (Santa Barbara, Calif.: 1990)(USA)*.

Lukaski,H.C. and Johnson,P.E. (1985) A simple, inexpensive method of determining total body water using a tracer dose of d2o and infrared absorption of biological fluids. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, **41**, 363–370.

McMurdie,P.J. and Holmes,S. (2013) Phyloseq: An r package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e61217.

Rothberg,J.M. and Leamon,J.H. (2008) The development and impact of 454 sequencing. *Nature biotechnology*, **26**, 1117–1124.