

Anomaly Detection in Payments

IE 406 : Machine Learning

Group no. 18

Assigned By
Prof. M.V. Joshi

Problem Statement

In training dataset, there are transactions made by credit cards in September 2013 by european cardholders. The goal is to separate fraudulent and normal transactions for which we have used two supervised and two unsupervised algorithms.

Github link : <https://github.com/201701203/Anomaly-detection-in-Payments-using-ML>

A close-up photograph of a person's hand holding a pen, poised to write on a document. The background is blurred, showing what appears to be a desk and some office equipment. The text 'Models which we have used' is overlaid on the left side of the image in a large, white, sans-serif font.

Models which we have used

1. Logistic Regression
2. SVM (kernel)
3. Isolation Forest
4. Local Outlier Factor (LOF)

Logistic Regression:-

Support Vector Machine:-



Reasons why Unsupervised is better ?

1. Imbalanced dataset :
2. Concept drift :

Isolation Forest

Isolation Forest :-

Local Outlier Factor(LOF)

Local Outlier Factor



Previous Works / Dataset / References

1. Dataset : <https://www.kaggle.com/mlg-ulb/creditcardfraud>
2. Local Outlier Factor, Isolation Forest : <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8741421>
3. Logistic regression, SVM, Random Forest :
<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=8757212>
4. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Local_outlier_factor
5. <https://content.linkedin.com/content/dam/engineering/site-assets/images/blog/posts/2019/08/IsolationForest1.png>



Thank You..!