

---

## SETS, SEQUENCES, AND SERIES

---

1	SETS, SEQUENCES, AND SERIES	2
1.1	Sets	4
1.1.1	Definitions and basic properties	4
1.1.2	DeMorgan's Law	5
1.1.3	Set equivalence and partition	5
1.1.4	Countability	6
1.2	Functions	8
1.2.1	Basic concepts	8
1.2.2	Inverse image vs. inverse function	8
1.2.3	Set operations in function mapping	9
1.2.4	Parameter change of function	9
1.3	Real numbers	10
1.3.1	Rational numbers	10
1.3.2	Dense subset	10
1.3.3	Axiom of completeness	11
1.4	Sequence in $\mathbb{R}$	14
1.4.1	Basics	14
1.4.2	Cauchy criterion	16
1.4.3	Sequence characterization of a dense subset	17
1.5	Monotone sequence	19
1.5.1	Fundamentals	19
1.5.2	Applications	19
1.6	Subsequence and limits	23

---

1.6.1	Subsequence	23
1.6.2	Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem	23
1.6.3	Subsequence limits	24
1.7	Infinite series	27
1.7.1	Fundamental results	27
1.7.2	Tests for convergence	28
1.7.3	Inequalities and $l_2$ series	30
1.7.3.1	Holder's and Minkowski's inequality	30
1.7.3.2	Cauchy-Schwarz inequality	31
1.7.4	Alternating series	32
1.8	Notes on bibliography	34

## 1.1 Sets

### 1.1.1 Definitions and basic properties

**Definition 1.1.1 (set, union, and intersection).** [1, p. 1]

- A **set** is a collection of arbitrary objects. If  $x$  is an object in  $A$ , we write  $x \in A$  and say  $x$  is an element of  $A$ . If  $x$  is not an object in  $A$ , we write  $x \notin A$ .
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets, the **union** of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A \cup B$ , is the set

$$A \cup B = \{x | x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}.$$

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets, the **intersection** of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A \cap B$ , is the set

$$A \cap B = \{x | x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

- The **empty set** is the set containing no objects. We denote an empty set by  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.1.2 (subset, difference, and complement).** [1, p. 3]

- If every elements in set  $A$  is an element in set  $B$ , we write  $A \subset B$  and say set  $A$  is **contained** in  $B$  or that  $A$  is a **subset** of  $B$ .
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are two sets, the **difference** of  $A$  and  $B$ , denoted by  $A - B$  or  $A \setminus B$ , is the set

$$A - B = \{x | x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}.$$

- If we are working in a fixed universe  $U$ , and  $A \subset U$ , we define the **complement** of  $A$  relative to  $U$  as

$$A^c = U - A.$$

*Example 1.1.1.*

- Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of all integers. Then  $4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  but  $\frac{2}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $B = \{3, 4\}$ . Then  $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $A \cap B = \{3\}$ , and  $A - B = \{1, 2\}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.1 (algebra properties of sets).** [1, p. 4] Sets have the following Algebraic properties:

- **Commutative:**  $A \cup B = B \cup A$ ,  $A \cap B = B \cap A$
- **Associative:**  $A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$ ,  $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$

- *Distributive:*  $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ ,  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$

## 1.1.2 DeMorgan's Law

**Lemma 1.1.2 (Demorgan's law).** [1, p. 3] Let  $S$  and  $T$  be sets, then

1.  $(S \cup T)^c = S^c \cap T^c$
2.  $(S \cap T)^c = S^c \cup T^c$

Moreover, given a collection of sets indexed by  $I$ , we have

$$(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)^c = (\cap_{i \in I} A_i^c),$$

and

$$(\cap_{i \in I} A_i)^c = (\cup_{i \in I} A_i^c).$$

**Lemma 1.1.3 (principle of inclusion exclusion).** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be sets, then

$$|\cup_{i=1}^n A_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} S_i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |A_i| \\ S_2 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \\ &\dots = \dots \\ S_m &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n} |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_m}|. \end{aligned}$$

More specifically,

$$|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|,$$

and

$$|A \cup B \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| - |A \cap B| - |C \cap B| - |A \cap C| + |A \cap B \cap C|.$$

## 1.1.3 Set equivalence and partition

**Definition 1.1.3 (relation).** A relation on a set  $A$  is any statement which is either true or false for each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of elements in  $A$ . Examples are  $x = y, x < y$

**Definition 1.1.4 (equivalence relation).** [1] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two sets and let  $f$  be a mapping of  $A$  into  $B$ . If there exist a 1-1 mapping of  $A$  onto  $B$ , we say that  $A$  and  $B$  can be put in 1-1 correspondence, or that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same cardinal number, or briefly, that  $A$  and  $B$  are **equivalent**, and we write  $A \sim B$ . The relation has the following properties:

1. It is reflective:  $A \sim A$ .
2. It is symmetric: if  $A \sim B$ , then  $B \sim A$ .
3. it is transitive: if  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ .

**Definition 1.1.5 (partitions of a set).** Let  $S$  be a set. A collection of (finitely or infinitely many) nonempty subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots \subseteq S$  is called a partition of  $S$  if:

- These sets  $A_i$  are pairwise disjoint.
- The union of all subsets  $A_1 \cup A_2 \dots = S$ .

**Theorem 1.1.1 (partition a set by equivalence).** Elements in a set  $X$  equivalent to each other form an equivalent class. All the equivalent classes of a set partition the set.

*Proof.* We can show that any element cannot belong to two distinct equivalent classes using transitivity. □

#### 1.1.4 Countability

**Definition 1.1.6.** [1] For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $P_n$  be the set whose elements are the integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$ ; let  $P$  be the set consisting of all positive integers. For any set  $A$ , we say:

1.  $A$  is finite if  $A \sim P_n$  for some  $n$ .
2.  $A$  is infinite if  $A$  is not finite.
3.  $A$  is countable if  $A \sim P$  (countable infinite) or  $A$  is finite.
4.  $A$  is uncountable if  $A$  is not countable

*Example 1.1.2.*

- The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  form a countable set. The 1-1 mapping is given as  $f(k) = 2k$  if  $k \geq 0$  and  $f(k) = 2(-k) + 1$  if  $k < 0$ .

- The real number is uncountable set.

**Lemma 1.1.4.** [1] *Properties of countable sets:*

- Any subset of a countable set is countable
- If  $A, B$  are countable sets, then  $A \cup B$  is a countable set.
- The Cartesian product of two countable sets is countable.

*Proof.* (1)(2) Straight forward. (3) Let  $S, T$  be the two countable sets. If they are finite, then  $S \times T$  will be finite. Consider  $S, T$  have infinite elements, we can list  $S \times T$  as a table and count them diagonally from a corner. This counting can count all the element in  $S \times T$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.1.1.** *Let  $k > 1$ . Then the cartesian product of  $k$  countable sets is countable.*

## 1.2 Functions

### 1.2.1 Basic concepts

**Definition 1.2.1 (function).** [1] If  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets. A function from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a subset  $f$  of  $X \times Y$  satisfying:

1. *Uniqueness of mapping:* If  $(x, y)$  and  $(x, y')$  belong to  $f$ , then  $y = y'$ .
2. *Completeness:* If  $x \in X$ , then  $(x, y) \in f$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Every element  $x$  in  $X$  must have a  $y \in Y$ .

**Definition 1.2.2.** [1]. For a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we have

- $X$  is called the domain,  $Y$  is called the codomain.  $f(X) = \{f(x) | x \in X\}$  is called the range.
- $f$  is **onto**  $Y$  if  $f(X) = Y$ . Or equivalently, for any  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  (not necessarily unique) such that  $f(x) = y$ .
- $f$  is **one-to-one** if  $f(x) = f(x') \Rightarrow x = x'$
- If  $f$  is one-to-one function, we can define  $f^{-1}$  as a function from  $f(X)$  to  $X$ . Note that it is not from  $Y$ , but from  $f(X)$ . Onto is not required for the existence of  $f^{-1}$ .
- If  $f$  is one-to-one and onto, it is **bijective**.
- The inverse image of  $B \subseteq Y$  under  $f$  is the set

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{x | f(x) \in B\}$$

**Definition 1.2.3 (inverse function).** Denote  $f$  as a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

- If  $f$  is one-to-one function, we can define  $f^{-1}$  as a function from  $f(X)$  to  $X$ . Note that it is  $f^{-1}$  is not mapped from  $Y$ ,
- If  $f$  is one-to-one and onto function, we can define  $f^{-1}$  as a function from  $Y$  to  $X$ .

### 1.2.2 Inverse image vs. inverse function

**Note 1.2.1.** Note that *inverse image* and *inverse function* are fundamentally different. Inverse image always exist whereas inverse function requires 1-1 to exist.

*Example 1.2.1.* Take  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ , let  $f(x) = \cos(x)$ . Then

- inverse function  $f^{-1}$  does not exist
- $f^{-1}(1)$  technically make no sense since inverse image will only take subset as input
- $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = \{\text{all integer multiples of } 2\pi\}$
- $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = \emptyset$
- $f^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \mathbb{R}$

### 1.2.3 Set operations in function mapping

**Lemma 1.2.1 (Preserving set operators in function mapping).** [1, p. 7] *Let  $f$  be a function from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a collection of subsets of  $Y$ . Let  $C \subset Y$ .*

1.  $f(\cup \mathcal{A}) = \cup \{f(A) | A \in \mathcal{A}\}$
2.  $f^{-1}(\cup \mathcal{B}) = \{f^{-1}(C) | C \in \mathcal{G}\}$
3.  $f^{-1}(\cup \mathcal{B}) = \{f^{-1}(C) | C \in \mathcal{G}\}$
4.  $f^{-1}(C^C) = (f^{-1}(C))^C$

**Remark 1.2.1.** It is in general not true that  $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$ , because maybe  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . However, if  $f$  is a one-to-one function if and only if

$$f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$$

because if  $(A \cap B) = \emptyset$ , then  $f(A) \cap f(B) = \emptyset$ .

### 1.2.4 Parameter change of function

A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a rule to associate an element  $a \in A$  to an element  $b \in B$ . The exact expression of  $f$  depends on how we parameterize the set  $A$ . For example, consider  $A = [0, 1]$ , and we want to map every element  $x \in A$  to  $5x$ , then we have  $f(x) = 5x$ . However, if we want to express/reparameterize  $A$  as  $x = 5t, t \in [0, 0.2]$ , then we introduce a new local coordinate system on  $A$  as  $\phi(x) = x/5$ . The function on the new local coordinate system is given as  $f \circ \phi^{-1}(t) = 25t$



## 1.3 Real numbers

### 1.3.1 Rational numbers

**Definition 1.3.1 (rational number, irrational number).** [1, p. 21] The set of *rational number*, denoted  $\mathbb{Q}$ , is the set

$$\left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } q \neq 0 \right\}.$$

A real number which is not rational is said to be *irrational*.

**Lemma 1.3.1 (rational number and irrational number ).** If  $r$  is a rational number, which can be represented by  $p/q$  and  $x$  is an irrational number, then

- $r + x$  is irrational.
- $rx$  is irrational, provided that  $r \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $r + x$  is rational, then it can be represented by  $m/n$ . Then  $x = r + x - r = m/n - p/q$  will still be rational, which contradicts that  $x$  is a rational number. (2) Suppose  $rx$  is rational, then it can be represented by  $m/n$ . Then  $x = rx/r = (m/n)/(p/q)$  will still be rational, which contradicts that  $x$  is a rational number.  $\square$

### 1.3.2 Dense subset

**Definition 1.3.2 (dense subset in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).** [2, p. 15] Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- We say  $S$  is a *dense subset* in  $\mathbb{R}$  provided that every interval  $I = (a, b)$ ,  $a < b$ , contains a member of  $S$ .
- (alternative) We say  $S$  is a *dense subset* in  $\mathbb{R}$  provided that for every number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a member  $s \in S$  such that  $|s - r| < \epsilon$ .

**Remark 1.3.1 (equivalence of the two definitions).** (1) implies (2): for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a number  $s \in S$ ,  $s \in (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$  such that  $|r - s| < \epsilon$ . (2) implies (1): for any interval  $(a, b)$ , we let  $\epsilon = d = \frac{b-a}{2}$ , then there exists  $s \in S$  such that

$$\left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| < \frac{b-a}{2} \implies s \in (a, b).$$

**Theorem 1.3.1 (rational and irrational numbers are dense).** [1, p. 23] *If  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers with  $a < b$ , then there exists both a rational number and an irrational number between  $a$  and  $b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be an integer smaller than  $a$ , and let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n > \sqrt{2}/(b - a)$ . Then

$$0 < 1/n < \frac{2}{n} < b - a.$$

So the sequence  $k + 1/n, k + 2/n + \dots$  will have at least one term falling into  $(a, b)$ . Similarly, the sequence  $k + \sqrt{2}/n, k + 2\sqrt{2}/n + \dots$  will have at least one term falling into  $(a, b)$ .  $\square$

### 1.3.3 Axiom of completeness

**Definition 1.3.3 (bounded above, bounded below).**

- A nonempty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be **bounded above** if there exists a  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \geq x, \forall x \in X$ .
- A nonempty subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be **bounded below** if there exists a  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b \leq x, \forall x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.3.4 (Least upper bound, supremum).** [1, p. 5] Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $S$  is bounded above, then a number  $u$  is said to be the **supremum** of  $S$  if:

- $u$  is an upper bound of  $S$ .
- if  $v$  is also an upper bound of  $S$ , then  $v \geq u$ .

**Definition 1.3.5 (greatest lower bound, infimum).** [1, p. 5] Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $S$  is bounded below, then a number  $u$  is said to be the **infimum** of  $S$  if:

- $u$  is a lower bound of  $S$ .
- if  $v$  is also a lower bound of  $S$ , then  $v \leq u$ .

**Definition 1.3.6 (maximum of a set).** A real number  $a_0$  is a maximum of the set  $A$  if  $a_0 \in A$  and  $a \leq a_0, \forall a \in A$ , but it has a supremum of 1.

**Remark 1.3.2.** The open interval  $(0, 1)$  does not have a maximum.

**Theorem 1.3.2 (axiom of completeness, existence of least upper bound).** *Axiom of completeness: Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a least upper bound.*

**Theorem 1.3.3 (existence of greatest lower bound).** [1, p. 15] *Every nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below has a greatest lower bound.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below. Let  $Y$  be the set of lower bounds. Then  $Y$  is bounded above, and therefore exists a least upper bound, denoted by  $b$ . We want to show that  $b$  is the greatest lower bound of  $X$ . First  $b \leq x, \forall x \in X$  (if there exists  $c \in X, c < b$ , then  $c \in Y$  contradicts that  $b \geq y, \forall y \in Y$ .) Second, for any other lower bound  $d$ , we have  $d \leq b$ , since  $d \in Y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.2 (uniqueness of least upper bound).** [1, p. 15] *Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are least upper bounds of  $X$ , then  $a = b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be the least upper bound. Since  $b$  is also a upper bound, then from the definition of least upper bound, we have  $a \leq b$ ; Similarly, we have  $a \geq b$ . Therefore,  $a = b$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.3 (least upper bound is tight(has no hole)).** [1, p. 17][3, p. 17]

- Let  $X$  be a set of real numbers with least upper bound  $a$ . Then for any positive  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $a - \epsilon < x \leq a$ .
- Assume  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound for a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $s = \sup A$  if for any choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist an element  $a \in A$  such that  $s - a < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $x \leq a$  is directly from the definition of least upper bound. Suppose there does not exist  $x \in X$ , such that  $x > a - \epsilon$ , then we conclude all  $x \in X, x \leq a - \epsilon$ . Let  $b = a - \epsilon$  be another upper bound, then we have  $b < a$ , contradicting the fact that  $a$  is the least upper bound. (2) Suppose  $\sup A$  is the least upper bound, then we have  $s \geq \sup A$ . For contradiction purpose, assume  $s > \sup A$ . Let  $\epsilon = 0.5 * (s - \sup A)$ , then  $s - \epsilon > \sup A$ , and there does not exist an element  $a \in A$  such that  $s - \epsilon < a$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have  $s = \sup A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.3.3.** This lemma illustrates the idea of no-holes between an nonempty set and its least upper bound.

**Lemma 1.3.4 (least upper bound of rational numbers).** *Given a real number  $a$ , define  $S = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}, x < a\}$ . It follows that*

$$\sup S = a.$$

*Proof.* First any number greater than  $a$  cannot be the least upper bound. However,  $a$  is a smaller upper bound. Now suppose  $\sup S < a$ ; however, there exists a rational number  $x$  inside  $(\sup S, a)$  since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a dense subset (Theorem 1.3.1). Therefore  $\sup S = a$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.3.4 (nested interval property).** [3, p. 20] *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume we are given a closed interval  $I_n = [a_n, b_n] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a_n \leq x \leq b_n\}$ . And we also have  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$  and  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots$ , then,*

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$$

*has a nonempty intersection; that is  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ , and let  $x = \sup A$ . Given any set  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ , we have  $x \geq a_n$ , because  $x$  is the least upper bound. Also  $x \leq b_n$ , because  $b_n$  is another upper bound for  $A$ . Since  $n$  is arbitrary, we have  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ .  $\square$

## 1.4 Sequence in $\mathbb{R}$

### 1.4.1 Basics

**Definition 1.4.1 (sequence).** [1, p. 35] A sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  a function  $f$  maps from the set  $P$  of all positive integers to  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $f(n) = x_n$ , for  $n \in P$ , it is customary to denote the sequence  $f$  by the symbol  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Definition 1.4.2 (convergence of a sequence).** A sequence  $\{p_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to converge if there is a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  with the following property. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an integer  $N$  such that  $n > N, |p_n - p| < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.4.1 (uniqueness of limits).** [1, p. 35] A sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  can have at most one limit.

*Proof.* If a sequence has two different limits, then when  $n$  is large enough,  $a_n$  has to be increasingly closer to both limit, which is contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.2 (Boundedness of a convergent sequence).** Every convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Because the sequence is convergent to a number  $a$ , then when given  $\epsilon = 1$ , there is an  $N$ , such that  $n \geq N, |x_n| \leq |x_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$ . Then  $|x_n| \leq \max(|x_1| \dots |x_N|, 1 + |a|)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.1 (algebra of limits).** [1, p. 40] Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be two real-valued sequences and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = M$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L$ , we have: [1]

- *linearity:*  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha a_n + \beta b_n = \alpha M + \beta L$
- *product rule:*  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n b_n = ML$
- *quotient rule:* if  $L \neq 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/a_n = 1/L$
- If  $\{c_n\}$  is a bounded sequence, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n b_n = 0.$$

- *(absolute value rule)*  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = |M|$ .

*Proof.* (1) linearity from triangle inequality; (2)  $|a_n b_n - LM| = |a_n b_n + a_n M - a_n M - LM|$ , then use triangle inequality and boundedness; (3) use boundedness. (4) Since  $\{c_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a number  $S$  such that  $|c_n| \leq S, \forall n$ . For any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $N$  such that for all  $n > N, |b_n| \leq \epsilon/N$ . Therefore,

$$|c_n b_n| \leq |c_n| |b_n| \leq S\epsilon/S = \epsilon, \forall n > N.$$

(5) Note that for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,

$$||a_n| - |M|| \leq |a_n - M| \leq S\epsilon/S = \epsilon.$$

□

**Note 1.4.1 (the reverse of absolute value rule is not true).** Note that if  $\{a_n\}$  converges,  $\{a_n\}$  not necessarily converges. For example  $\{(-1)^n\}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.2 (sequence limit inequality).** [1, p. 47] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a convergent sequence with limit  $L$ . It follows that

- If  $a_n \geq M$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , then  $L \geq M$ .
- If  $a_n \geq b_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = K$  then  $L \geq K$ .

*Proof.* (1) For contradiction purpose, we assume  $L < M$ . Denote  $d = M - L, d > 0$ . Since  $a_n$  converges to  $L$ , then for  $\epsilon = d/2$ , there exist a  $N$  such that

$$|a_N - L| < \epsilon = d/2,$$

which implies

$$a_N < d/2 + L = \frac{M - L}{2} + L = \frac{M + L}{2} < M.$$

This contradict the condition that  $a_n \geq M$ . (2) Note that  $(a_n - b_n) \geq 0$ . Using (1) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) = L - K \geq 0,$$

where we have used the algebraic properties of limits [Lemma 1.4.1].

□

**Lemma 1.4.3 (squeeze theorem).** [1, p. 47] Let  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  be sequences such that

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L.$$

*Proof.* Note that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N_1$  such that for all  $n > N_1$  such that

$$L - \epsilon < a_n < L + \epsilon.$$

Similarly, there exists  $N_2$  such that for all  $n > N_1$  such that

$$L - \epsilon < c_n < L + \epsilon.$$

Then for  $n > \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we have

$$L - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < L + \epsilon.$$

That is,  $b_n \rightarrow L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Corollary 1.4.2.1 (applications of squeeze theorem).**

- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .
- For any number  $c$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c^n}{n!} = 0.$$

•

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} = 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) Note that

$$-|a_n| \leq a_n \leq |a_n|,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -|a_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|,$$

then use squeeze theorem to prove. (2) Choose  $k$  to be an integer such that  $k \geq 2|c|$ . Then if  $n \geq K$

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{c^n}{n!} \right| \leq |c|^k \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(3)

$$\frac{n!}{n^n} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2}{n} \cdots \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \frac{n}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdots 1 = 1/n \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

### 1.4.2 Cauchy criterion

**Definition 1.4.3 (Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).** [1, p. 59] A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called a Cauchy sequence, if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist an  $N$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon.$$

**Remark 1.4.1 (interpretation).** Informally, a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion if, by choosing  $k$  large enough, the distance between any two element  $x_m$  and  $x_l$  in the 'tail' of the sequence can be made as small as desired. A sequence satisfying Cauchy criterion is called a **Cauchy sequence**.

**Lemma 1.4.4 (boundedness of Cauchy sequence).** Every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = 1$ , then there exists an  $N$ , such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ , we have

$$|x_n| \leq |x_n - x_N| + |x_N| < 1 + |x_N|$$

Then the Cauchy sequence is bounded by the maximum of  $1 + |x_N|, |x_1|, \dots, |x_{N-1}|$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.3 (Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence, vice versa).** [3, p. 66] A sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is Cauchy sequence if and only if it is a convergent sequence.

*Proof.* (1) the converse part that a convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence can be proved triangle inequality; (2) the forward part: Because Cauchy sequence is bounded, then there will be a subsequence  $x_{n_i}$  converge to a limit  $a$  (Theorem 1.6.2]. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exist an  $N$ , such that for all  $n, m, n_K > N$ ,  $|x_{n_K} - a| < \epsilon$ . We have

$$|x_n - a| \leq |x_n - x_{n_K}| + |x_{n_K} - a| < 2\epsilon$$

$\square$

**Remark 1.4.2 (Cauchy sequence might not be convergent in more general space).** In incomplete normed space, a Cauchy sequence will not converge. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, therefore the Cauchy sequence will converge.

### 1.4.3 Sequence characterization of a dense subset



**Theorem 1.4.4.** [2, p. 36] Let  $S$  be a subset in  $\mathbb{R}$ . It follows that

- If for every number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}, s_n \in S$  converging to  $x$ , then  $S$  is a dense subset.
- If  $S$  is a dense subset, then for every number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}, s_n \in S$  converging to  $x$ .

*Proof.* (1) If for every  $x$  there exists a sequence converging to it, then that means for every interval  $(a, b)$ , there exists at least a number getting arbitrary closer to  $(a + b)/2$ , that is inside the interval  $(a, b)$ . (2) Based on the definition of dense subset [Definition 1.3.2], we can construct a sequence  $s_n$  such that  $s_n$  lying inside the interval  $(x - 1/2n, x + 1/2n)$ . Such sequence  $\{s_n\}$  will converge to  $x$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.4.1 (sequential density of rationns).** For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}, s_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  converging to  $x$ .

*Proof.* Use the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a dense subset in  $\mathbb{R}$  [Definition 1.3.2].  $\square$

*Example 1.4.1.* Consider the irrational number  $\sqrt{2}$ , the above theorem ensures that there exists a sequence of rational numbers converging to  $\sqrt{2}$ , even though  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

## 1.5 Monotone sequence

### 1.5.1 Fundamentals

**Definition 1.5.1 (monotone sequence).** A sequence is monotone if it is either increasing (i.e.,  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n$ ) or decreasing.

**Theorem 1.5.1 (convergence of monotone sequence).** [1, p. 48] A monotone increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is convergent if and only if  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded.

If it is convergent, then its limit is  $\sup_n a_n$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded, then there exists a least upper bound [Theorem 1.3.2]. Let  $A = \sup\{a_n\}$ . Then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a_k$  such that  $A - \epsilon < a_k < A$  [Lemma 1.3.3]; take  $N = k$ , then we prove  $A$  is the limit. (2) The converse is the direct result of boundedness of any convergent sequence.  $\square$

**Note 1.5.1 (equivalence of sup and sequential limit for monotone sequence).** For a convergent monotone increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , we have

$$\sup_n a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n.$$

Usually directly taking sup can be difficult and we can seek how to find a monotone increasing sequence.

**Remark 1.5.1 (application in lim sup).** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded real sequence (not necessarily convergent) and define

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{k \geq n} a_k).$$

Then the sequence  $\{\sup_{k \geq n} a_k\}_n^\infty$  is a monotone increasing sequence therefore  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  will have a limit.

### 1.5.2 Applications

**Lemma 1.5.1 (limit of exponent).**

- If  $a > 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = 1$ .

- If  $0 < a < 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = 1$ .

That is, for all  $a > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) When  $a > 1$ ,  $a^{1/n}$  is a decreasing sequence and bounded below by 1. Therefore  $\{a^{1/n}\}$  is a convergent sequence. Let the limit be  $L$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = L, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} a^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a^2)^{1/n} = L^2.$$

Also note that  $a^2 > 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a^2)^{1/n} = L$ .

So we have  $L^2 = L \implies L = 1$ .

(2) When  $0 < a < 1$ , let  $b = 1/a$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{b^{1/n}}\right) = L.$$

where we use the quotient rule [Lemma 1.4.1]. □

**Lemma 1.5.2 (the limit to e).** [1, p. 53]

- The sequence

$$\{a_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{1/n}\}$$

is increasing and convergent. [link](#). And we denote

$$e \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{1/n}.$$

- 

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \frac{1}{n})^n = \frac{1}{e}$$

- 

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n^2})^{n^2} = e, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n^2})^n = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{n^2} = \infty$$

- Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{c}{n})^n = e^c$$

- Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 0$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{q_n}{n})^n = e^c$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Then

$$(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n+1})^{1/n+1} < \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}}{n+1},$$

where we have used the geometric average inequality (can be showed using convexity). That is

$$(1 + \frac{1}{n})^n < (\frac{1 + n(1 + \frac{1}{n})}{n+1})^{n+1} = (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})^{n+1}.$$

To show it is bounded. We let  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = x_{n+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  and use the geometric average inequality to show

$$b_n \geq b_{n+1},$$

where  $b_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})^{-n}$ .

Further, we can show

$$b_n = a_{n-1}(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}) \geq a_{n-1},$$

where  $a_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$ .

Therefore, we have

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \cdots \leq b_3 \leq b_2 \leq b_1.$$

(2)

$$(1 - \frac{1}{n})^n = \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{n-1})^n} = \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{n-1})^n},$$

and note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n-1})^n = e$

(3) (a) this is a subsequence of (1). (b) note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n^2})^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{1/n} (1 + \frac{1}{n^2})^{n^2} = 1$$

where we use the factor for  $a > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} = 1$  in [Lemma 1.5.1](#), since  $(1 + \frac{1}{n^2})^{n^2}$  will be bounded.

(4) Let  $f(x) = x^a$ . Then  $f$  is a continuous function. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n),$$

where  $x_n = (1 + \frac{c}{n})^{n/c}$ . □

**Lemma 1.5.3.** *The sequence  $\{n^{1/n}\}, n \geq 3$  is decreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $f(x) = x^{1/x}$  and  $g(x) = \ln f(x) = \frac{\ln x}{x}$ .  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are decreasing for  $x \geq e$ . Also,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0 \implies \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 1.6 Subsequence and limits

### 1.6.1 Subsequence

**Definition 1.6.1 (subsequence).** [1, p. 39] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence. Let  $f$  be a strictly increasing function for the positive integer set  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{P}$ . The sequence  $\{a_{f(n)}\}$  is called a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$ .

#### Remark 1.6.1.

- Note that subsequence also have infinite number of terms.
- Usually, we denote  $n_k = f(k)$  as the  $k$ th term in the subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$ , where  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 \dots$ , and  $n_k \geq k$ .

**Theorem 1.6.1 (subsequences of a convergent sequence have the same limit).** [1, p. 39] If  $\{a_n\}$  has a limit  $L$ , then every subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$  has a limit  $L$ ; If every subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$  has a limit  $L$  and  $\{a_n\}$  has a limit  $L$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $N$  such that  $|a_k - L| < \epsilon, \forall k > N$ . We have the terms in subsequence with  $n_k \geq k > N$  will have  $|a_{n_k} - L| < \epsilon$ ; (2) The converse is easy since the sequence itself is a subsequence.  $\square$

*Example 1.6.1.* [1, p. 39] The sequence  $\{1/2^n\}$  and  $\{1/n!\}$  are subsequences of  $\{1/n\}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n!}.$$

### 1.6.2 Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem

**Theorem 1.6.2 (Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem).** [3, p. 62] Every bounded sequence has at least one convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* We use nested interval property [Theorem 1.3.4] to prove. Let  $I_1$  be the interval bounding the sequence. Let  $I_2$  be one-half of  $I_1$  that bounding infinite terms (if both halves have infinite terms, pick either one; there must one half containing infinite terms since a sequence has infinite terms). As we continue to partition,  $I_n$  becomes smaller. Note that the nested interval properties guarantee the existence a common element  $a \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  and the decreasing size of  $I_n$  guarantee the subsequence are sufficiently close to  $a$ .  $\square$

### 1.6.3 Subsequence limits

**Definition 1.6.2 (limit point).** [4, p. 79] If  $\{x_j\}$  is a sequence of real numbers and  $x$  a real number, we say  $x$  is a **limit point of the sequence** if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are **infinite number of terms**  $x_j$  satisfying  $|x_j - x| < \epsilon$ .

**Remark 1.6.2 ( $+\infty$  as a limit point).** By convention, we say  $+\infty$  is a limit point for  $\{x_n\}$ , if for any given  $M > 0$ , there exists infinitely many terms greater than  $M$ . If a sequence is unbounded above, then  $+\infty$  is one limit point of the sequence.

**Remark 1.6.3 (limit vs. limit point).**

- For the definition of limit, we see a **stronger** statement "all terms beyond  $N$ " (therefore infinite many terms) should satisfy  $|x_i - x| < \epsilon$ .
- For the definition of limit, we see a **weaker** statement "infinitely many terms" should satisfy  $|x_i - x| < \epsilon$ .

**Lemma 1.6.1 (relation between subsequence and limit point).** [4, p. 80] Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a real sequence. A real number (even including  $-\infty$  and  $\infty$ ) is a limit point of  $\{x_n\}$  if and only if there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x.$$

*Proof.* (1)(forward) If there exists a sequence, then for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $K > 0$ , such that for all  $n_k > K$  (therefore infinitely many terms),  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$ . Therefore  $x$  is a limit point. (2) (backward) Because  $x$  is a limit point, then given any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists infinitely many terms, denoted by index set  $\mathcal{K}$ , in  $\{x_n\}$ , such that  $|x_m - x| < \epsilon, \forall m \in \mathcal{K}$ . Order the index in  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\{x_n\}_K$  is a subsequence converging to  $x$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.6.3 (lim inf and lim sup for bounded sequences).** [1] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded real sequence and let  $\mathcal{L}_a$  denote the set of all different limits  $L_a$  of all the convergent subsequences, i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} = L_a$$

then we define

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup \mathcal{L}_a$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \inf \mathcal{L}_a$$

**Definition 1.6.4 (lim inf and lim sup for bounded and unbounded sequences, alternative).** [5, p. 619][1, p. 69] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded real sequence and let

$$b_n = \sup\{a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots\}$$

and

$$c_n = \inf\{a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots\}$$

then we define

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n.$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  is not bounded above, then we define  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ ; If  $\{a_n\}$  is not bounded below, then we define  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -\infty$ .

**Remark 1.6.4 (interpretation).**

- $\{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are nonincreasing and nondecreasing sequences. And since  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded, both are monotone and bounded and therefore have limits.
- $c_n \leq a_n \leq b_n$ .
- $\liminf$  and  $\limsup$  for a sequence (no matter bounded or not) always exist.

*Example 1.6.2.*

- Let  $\{a_n = n\}$ . Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} = \infty, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} = \infty.$$

- Let  $\{a_n\}$  be defined by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} -n, & n \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

Then,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} = 0, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} = -\infty.$$

**Theorem 1.6.3.** [1] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence, and let  $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup a_n$  and  $M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf a_n$



1. If  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist infinitely many positive integers  $n$  such that  $L - \epsilon < a_n$  and there exist a positive integer  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $a_n < L + \epsilon$ .
2. If  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist infinitely many positive integers  $n$  such that  $M + \epsilon > a_n$  and there exist a positive integer  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then  $a_n > M - \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the first part. Suppose there exist only finite  $n$  such that  $a_n > L - \epsilon$ , then there will not exist a convergent subsequence approaching  $L$ ; Suppose there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $a_n > L + \epsilon$ , because of the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exist a convergent subsequence converge to a limit greater than  $L + \epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.4 (limit from  $\liminf$  and  $\limsup$ ).** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n.$$

Or equivalently, if and only  $\{a_n\}$  has only one limit point.

*Proof.* (1) let

$$b_n = \sup\{a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots\}$$

and

$$c_n = \inf\{a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots\}$$

and use  $c_n \leq a_n \leq b_n$  to squeeze. (2) If  $\{a_n\}$  converges and every subsequence will converge to the same limit.  $\square$

## 1.7 Infinite series

### 1.7.1 Fundamental results

**Definition 1.7.1 (convergence of series).** [1] We denote  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  as infinite series,  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  as the partial sum. We say the infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to  $L$  if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  has sum  $L$ .

**Remark 1.7.1.** Note that the convergence properties/limit properties of infinite series usually can be deduced from  $s_n$ .

**Definition 1.7.2 (absolute convergence).** Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be an infinite series. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ , we say  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converge absolutely. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  diverges, we say  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converge conditionally.

**Example 1.7.1.**  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n / n$  converge conditionally.

**Theorem 1.7.1 (important results of convergence).** [1, p. 76]

- If the  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .
- (absolute convergence implies convergence) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converge.
- If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$  converge absolutely.
- If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_{n+k}$  converge absolutely, where  $k$  is a fixed integer.
- (linearity) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are convergent with limits  $A$  and  $B$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ka_n + mb_n = kA + mB$$

**Note:** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  only converge conditionally, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$  might diverge. For example  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n / \sqrt{n}$  converge conditionally and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$  diverges.

*Proof.* (1)  $s_{n+1} - s_n = a_n$ , using the algebraic properties of limits of  $s_n$  to prove. (2) Construct  $\{p_n = \max(a_n, 0)\}$  and  $\{q_n = \max(-a_n, 0)\}$ . Then the partial sum  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  is bounded monotone sequence, thus converges; since  $\{a_n = p_n - q_n\}$ , then using algebraic properties of limits to prove. (3) We know that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  from (1), then there exist an  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|a_n| < 1$ , therefore  $a_n^2 < |a_n|$ . From comparison test, we know

that  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|$  will converge, therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$  converges absolutely [Theorem 1.7.3].(4) use the fact that

$$|a_n a_{n+k}| \leq \frac{1}{2} (a_n^2 + a_{n+k}^2).$$

Then the series  $\sum |a_n a_{n+k}|$  is bounded above since  $\sum a_n^2$  and  $\sum a_{n+k}^2$  are finite due to (3). (5) Directly from algebraic property of sequences.  $\square$

**Remark 1.7.2.** Some theorems (e.g. in Fourier series, time series analysis) simply state the assumptions of absolute convergence. Then from this theorem, we know that squared convergence is implied.

**Remark 1.7.3 (product of two series).** [1, p. 76]

- It is possible that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  both converge but the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$  diverges. For example,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n / \sqrt{n}$  converge conditionally and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$  diverges.
- Even if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to  $M$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges to  $N$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$  converges, the sum limit in general will not be  $MN$ .

**Theorem 1.7.2 (Cauchy criterion analog).** *The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent if and only for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , an  $N$  can be found such that*

$$|a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \dots + a_m| < \epsilon, \forall m > n > N$$

## 1.7.2 Tests for convergence

For a comprehensive treatment, see [6].

**Theorem 1.7.3 (comparison test for convergence).** *If  $|a_n| \leq b_n, \forall n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely converge.*

*Proof.* The partial sum sequence for  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  is bounded (by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ ) and monotone sequence. Then we use monotone convergence theorem [Theorem 1.5.1].  $\square$

*Example 1.7.2.*  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n2^n)$  is convergent because  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/2^n$  is convergent.

**Theorem 1.7.4 (geometric series).** The geometric series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ar^i$  converges for  $-1 < r < 1$ , and diverges for  $|r| \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.7.5 (ratio test).** [1, p. 86] For a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ , if  $a_n \neq 0, \forall n$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = L$$

then we have: if  $L < 1$ , absolutely converges; if  $L = 1$ , the test not applicable; if  $L > 1$ , divergent.

*Proof.* To prove absolute convergence, we prove the  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  is bounded first and then use monotone convergence property. To prove boundedness, let  $L < r < 1, b_n = |a_{n+1}/a_n|$ , then there exists  $N$  such that  $0 < b_n < r, n > N$ , then rewrite:

$$|a_{N+1}| + |a_{N+2}| + \dots = |a_{N+1}| (1 + b_{N+1} + \dots) < |a_{N+1}| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r^i.$$

The right hand side is a convergent series. Based on comparison test [Theorem 1.7.3],  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  will converge absolutely.  $\square$

*Example 1.7.3.* The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n!$  converges since the ratio

$$\frac{1/(n+1)!}{1/n!} = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.7.6 (root test).** [1, p. 85] For a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ , let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = R$$

Then we have: if  $R < 1$ , absolutely converges; if  $R > 1$ , diverges; if  $R = 1$ , the test not applicable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $L < 1$ . Choose a number  $L < M < 1$ . Then there exists a  $N$  such that for  $n \geq N$  then

$$|a_n|^{1/n} < M \Leftrightarrow |a_n| < M^n.$$

Since the geometric series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M^n$  converges, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely based on comparison test [Theorem 1.7.3].  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7.1 (common applications of convergence test).**

- The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!/n^n$  converges.
- The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M^n/n!$  converges for all  $M > 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) note that the ratio

$$\frac{(n+1)!/(n+1)^{n+1}}{n!/n^n} = \frac{n+1}{(n+1)^{n+1}} n^n = \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n}$$

converges to  $1/e < 1$ . Then we use ratio test [Theorem 1.7.5]. (2) note that the ratio

$$\frac{M^{n+1}/(n+1)!}{M^n/n!} = \frac{M}{n+1}$$

converges to zero. Then we use ratio test [Theorem 1.7.5]. □

1.7.3 Inequalities and  $l_2$  series

## 1.7.3.1 Holder's and Minkowski's inequality

**Lemma 1.7.2 (Holder's inequality and Minkowski's inequality for finite real-valued terms).** Consider any real-valued sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ . Then

- (Holder's inequality) For any number  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k y_k| \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

- (Minkowski's inequality) For any number  $p \in [1, \infty)$

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k + y_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

**Theorem 1.7.7 (Holder's inequality and Minkowski's inequality for series).** Consider any real-valued sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ . Then

- (Holder's inequality) For any number  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k y_k| \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

- (Minkowski's inequality) For any number  $p \in [1, \infty)$

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k + y_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

**Remark 1.7.4 (application of Minkowski's inequality).** The Minkowski's inequality is mainly used to prove the triangle equality for the norm, that is,

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|,$$

where we define  $\|x\| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$ .

### 1.7.3.2 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

**Lemma 1.7.3 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for finite real-valued terms, recap).** [1, p. 120] Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right| \leq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right)}$$

*Proof.* See [Corollary 5.3.1.1](#) and simply use Holder's inequality with  $p = q = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.7.4 (absolute convergence of product series).** [1, p. 123] Let  $l^2$  denote the set of all real sequence  $\{c_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^2$  converges. If  $\{a_k\}, \{b_k\} \in l^2$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k$$

converges absolutely.

*Proof.* For every positive integer  $n$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k b_k| &\leq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right side is bounded because  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2$  converge. Finally, the monotone convergence theorem [[Theorem 1.5.1](#)] ensures that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k b_k|$ . Or we simply use comparison test [[Theorem 1.7.3](#)].  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.8 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for  $l_2$  series).** [1, p. 123] Let  $l^2$  denote the set of all real sequence  $\{c_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^2$  converges. If  $\{a_k\}, \{b_k\} \in l^2$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k$$

converges absolutely and

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k \right| \leq \sqrt{\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \right)} = M$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k$ . We note that  $B_n$  converges due to  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k$  converges absolutely [Theorem 1.7.1]. Using the absolute-value rule [Lemma 1.4.1], we have that  $|B_n|$  converges. Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B_n| = L.$$

Because  $|B_n| \leq M$  for every  $n$  (Cauchy inequality Corollary 5.3.1.1], the limit-inequality rule [Lemma 1.4.2] gives that  $L \leq M$ .  $\square$

#### 1.7.4 Alternating series

**Theorem 1.7.9 (alternating series test).** [1, p. 25] Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a *decreasing* sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . Then

•

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$$

converges.

• Let  $L$  be the limit and  $s_n$  be the partial sum, then

$$|s_n - L| \leq a_{n+1}$$

*Proof.* (1) Note that

$$s_{2n} = (a_1 - a_2) + (a_3 - a_4) + \dots + (a_{2n-1} - a_{2n})$$

is a monotone sequence in terms of  $n$ . Moreover,

$$s_{2n} = a_1 - (a_2 - a_3) - \dots - (a_{2n-2} - a_{2n-1}) - a_{2n} \leq a_1.$$

Therefore,  $s_{2n}$  is monotone and bounded; therefore converges [Theorem 1.5.1]. Similarly,  $s_{2n-1}$  will converge since  $s_{2n-1} = s_{2n} + a_{2n}$  and algebraic property of limits [Lemma 1.4.1]. Since  $s_{2n}$  and  $s_{2n-1}$  converge,  $s_n$  must converge.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} s_n - L &= s_n - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} a_i \\ &= (-1)^{n+2} a_{n+1} + (-1)^{n+3} a_{n+2} + \dots \\ &\begin{cases} \leq a_{n+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \geq -a_{n+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we use analog result from (1) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{2n} \leq a_1$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{2n} \leq a_1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq a_1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.7.5 (the oscillation mode).** Note that  $s_n$  will oscillate as a function of  $n$ .

**Remark 1.7.6 (application in approximation).** Given an alternating series, we can approximate the limit using partial sum  $s_n$ , which contains finite terms and the error is bounded by  $a_{n+1}$ .

**Corollary 1.7.9.1.** [1, p. 25] If  $s > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

converges.



## 1.8 Notes on bibliography

The key references for this chapter are intermediate level real analysis textbooks[1][3][7][8].

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

1. Johnsonbaugh, R. & Pfaffenberger, W. *Foundations of mathematical analysis* (2010).
2. Fitzpatrick, P. *Advanced calculus* (American Mathematical Soc., 2006).
3. Abbott, S. *Understanding analysis* (Springer, 2001).
4. Strichartz, R. S. *The way of analysis* (Jones & Bartlett Learning, 2000).
5. Hogg, R. V., McKean, J. & Craig, A. T. *Introduction to Mathematical Statistics*, 7 ed (2012).
6. Kaplan, W. *Advanced calculus* (Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1973).
7. Thomson, B., Bruckner, J. & Bruckner, A. *Elementary Real Analysis* ISBN: 9780130190758 (Prentice-Hall, 2001).
8. Duren, P. L. *Invitation to classical analysis* (American Mathematical Soc., 2012).