

# Lecture 07

## Hypothesis Testing with Multivariate Regression

23 September 2015

Taylor B. Arnold  
Yale Statistics  
STAT 312/612

The Yale University logo, featuring the word "Yale" in a blue, serif typeface.

## Goals for today

1. Galton heights data
2. Hypothesis tests

# LINEAR MODELS ASSUMPTIONS

## Hypothesis tests

Consider testing the hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_j = b$  for some particular  $b$  and  $j$ .

## Hypothesis tests

Consider testing the hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_j = b$  for some particular  $b$  and  $j$ .

Under assumptions I-V we have the following:

$$\hat{\beta} - b \Big| X, H_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 ((X^t X)^{-1}_{jj}))$$

## Z-test

This suggests the following test statistic:

$$z = \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 ((X^t X)^{-1})_{jj}}}$$

With,

$$z|X, H_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

## T-test

As in the simple linear linear regression case, we need to estimate  $\sigma^2$  with  $s^2$ . This yields the following test statistic:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\sqrt{s^2 ((X^t X)^{-1})_{jj}}} \\ &= \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\text{S.E.}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \end{aligned}$$

## **T-test**

The test statistic has a  $T$ -distribution with  $(n - p)$  degrees of freedom

$$t|X, H_0 \sim t_{n-p}$$



## **T-test**

The test statistic has a  $T$ -distribution with  $(n - p)$  degrees of freedom

$$t|X, H_0 \sim t_{n-p}$$

This time around, we'll actually prove this.

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 ((X^t X)^{-1})_{jj}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{s^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{s^2 / \sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{q / (n - p)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 ((X^t X)^{-1})_{jj}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{s^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{s^2/\sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{q/(n-p)} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $q = r^t r / \sigma^2$ .

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\beta} - b}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 ((X^t X)^{-1})_{jj}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{s^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{s^2/\sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{z}{q/(n-p)} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $q = r^t r / \sigma^2$ .

We need to show that (1)  $q|X \sim \chi^2_{n-p}$  and (2)  $z \perp\!\!\!\perp q|X$ .

**Lemma** If  $B$  is an idempotent matrix and  $v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{I}_n)$ , then  $v^t B v \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(B)}$ .

**Lemma** If  $B$  is an idempotent matrix and  $v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{I}_n)$ , then  $v^t B v \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(B)}$ .

See [http://www2.econ.iastate.edu/classes/econ671/hallam/documents/QUAD\\_NORM.pdf](http://www2.econ.iastate.edu/classes/econ671/hallam/documents/QUAD_NORM.pdf) for a proof of this lemma.

(1) We know that  $r^t r = \epsilon^t M \epsilon$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{r^t r}{\sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon^t}{\sigma} \cdot M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

(1) We know that  $r^t r = \epsilon^t M \epsilon$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{r^t r}{\sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon^t}{\sigma} \cdot M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

From the lemma then  $q \sim \chi_{\text{rank}(M)}^2$ . Finally, noting that  $\text{rank}(M) = \text{tr}(M) = n - p$  finishes the first part of the proof.



(2) The random variables  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $r$  are both linear combinations of  $\epsilon$ , and are therefore jointly normally distributed. As they are uncorrelated (problem set 2), this implies that they are independent. Finally, this implies that  $z = f(\hat{\beta})$  and  $t = g(r)$  and themselves independent.

## **F-test**

Now consider the hypothesis test  $H_0 : D\beta = d$  for a matrix  $D$  with  $k$  columns and rank  $k$ .

We'll form the following test statistic:

$$F = \frac{(D\hat{\beta} - d)^t [D(X^t X)^{-1} D^t]^{-1} (D\hat{\beta} - d) / k}{s^2}$$

And prove that it has an F-distribution with  $k$  and  $n - p$  degrees of freedom.

We can re-write the test statistics as:

$$F = \frac{w/k}{q/(n-p)}$$

Where:

$$w = (D\hat{\beta} - d)^t [D(X^t X)^{-1} D^t]^{-1} (D\hat{\beta} - d)$$
$$q = r^t r / \sigma^2$$

We can re-write the test statistics as:

$$F = \frac{w/k}{q/(n-p)}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}w &= (D\hat{\beta} - d)^t [D(X^t X)^{-1} D^t]^{-1} (D\hat{\beta} - d) \\q &= r^t r / \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

We've already shown that  $q|X \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$ , and can see that  $q \perp w$  by the same argument as before. All that is left is to show that  $w \sim \chi_k^2$ .

Let  $v = D\hat{\beta} - d$ . Under the null hypothesis  $v = D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ . Therefore:

$$\mathbb{V}(v|X) = \mathbb{V}(D(\hat{\beta} - \beta))$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{v} = D\hat{\beta} - \boldsymbol{d}$ . Under the null hypothesis  $\boldsymbol{v} = D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(\boldsymbol{v}|X) &= \mathbb{V}(D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)) \\ &= D\mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta} - \beta|X)D^t\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{v} = D\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{d}$ . Under the null hypothesis  $\mathbf{v} = D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{v}|X) &= \mathbb{V}(D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)) \\ &= D\mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta} - \beta|X)D^t \\ &= \sigma^2 D(X^t X)^{-1} D^t\end{aligned}$$



Let  $v = D\hat{\beta} - d$ . Under the null hypothesis  $v = D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(v|X) &= \mathbb{V}(D(\hat{\beta} - \beta)) \\ &= D\mathbb{V}(\hat{\beta} - \beta|X)D^t \\ &= \sigma^2 D(X^t X)^{-1} D^t\end{aligned}$$

Now, for the multivariate normally distributed  $v$  with zero mean, we have:

$$w = v^t \mathbb{V}(v|X)^{-1} v$$

And therefore  $w|X \sim \chi_k^2$

## **An alternative F-test**

Now, consider the following estimator:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \arg \min_b ||y - Xb||_2^2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Db = d$$

## **An alternative F-test**

Now, consider the following estimator:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \arg \min_b ||y - Xb||_2^2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Db = d$$

We then define the restricted residuals  $\tilde{r} = y - X\tilde{\beta}$ .

## **An alternative F-test**

An alternative expression for the  $F$ -test statistic is:

$$F = \frac{(\tilde{r}^t \tilde{r} - r^t r)/k}{r^t r / (n - p)}$$

Conceptually, it should make sense that this is large whenever the null hypothesis is false.