# Lecture 04 Applications and Intro to Multivariate Regression

14 September 2015

Taylor B. Arnold Yale Statistics STAT 312/612



### Goals for today

- 1. Galton's heights data
- 2. multivariate regression: normal equations

## APPLICATION

GALTON HEIGHTS

MULTIVARIATE REGRESSION MODELS

The multivariate linear regression model is, on the surface, only a slight generalization of the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = x_{1,i}\beta_1 + x_{2,i}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{1,p}\beta_p + \epsilon$$

The multivariate linear regression model is, on the surface, only a slight generalization of the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = x_{1,i}\beta_1 + x_{2,i}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{1,p}\beta_p + \epsilon$$

The statistical estimation problem now becomes one of estimating the p components of the multivariate vector  $\beta$ .

A sample can be re-written in terms of the vector  $x_i$  (the vector of covariates for a single observation):

$$y_i = x_i^t \beta + \epsilon$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & x_{2,n} & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1, & x_2, & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Which can be compactly written as:

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

In matrix notation, we can write the linear model simultaneously for all observations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{p,1} \\ x_{1,2} & \ddots & & x_{p,2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,n} & x_{2,n} & \cdots & x_{p,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Which can be compactly written as:

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

**Note:** we use the transpose for  $x_i^t \beta$  but not for  $X\beta$ !

For reference, note the following equation

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

Yields these dimensions:

$$Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$$
$$\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$$
$$\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

#### **Vector Norms**

When working with vectors and matricies, it will be helpful to represent certain quantities by norms. The p-norm of a vector is given by:

$$||x||_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p$$

#### **Vector Norms**

When working with vectors and matricies, it will be helpful to represent certain quantities by norms. The p-norm of a vector is given by:

$$||x||_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p$$

In particular, the squared 2-norm yields the sum of squares of a vector.

#### **Vector Norm Properties**

The following properties are true of all vector norms, for a scalar  $\alpha$  and vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

$$||\alpha v_1|| = |\alpha| \cdot ||v_1||$$
$$||v_1 + v_2|| \le ||v_1|| + ||v_2||$$



*p*-norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

#### p-Norm Properties

*p*-norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

Define *q* such that:

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

The q-norm and p-norm are then said to be dual to one another.

#### p-Norm Properties

*p*-norms have several additional properties that we will find useful.

Define *q* such that:

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

The *q*-norm and *p*-norm are then said to be *dual* to one another.

Notice that the 2-norm is dual to itself.

#### p-Norm Properties, cont.

Hölder's inequality then yields

$$|v_1^t v_2| \le ||v_1||_p ||v_2||_q$$

#### p-Norm Properties, cont.

Hölder's inequality then yields

$$|v_1^t v_2| \le ||v_1||_p ||v_2||_q$$

As a special case, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives that:

$$|v_1^t v_2|^2 \le ||v_1||_2^2 ||v_2||_2^2$$

#### p-Norm Properties, cont.

Finally, and of most importance for us today, note that the squared 2-norm is exactly equal to the self inner product:

$$||v_1||_2^2 = v_1^t v_1$$

#### Least squares (again)

To estimate the least squares solution, which is again the MLE for independent normal errors, we see that:

$$\widehat{\beta} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{b \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ || \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} ||_2^2 \right\}$$

#### Least squares (again)

To estimate the least squares solution, which is again the MLE for independent normal errors, we see that:

$$\widehat{\beta} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{b \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ ||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}||_2^2 \right\}$$

Now using vector norms to denote the sum of squares.

$$||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = (Y - X\beta)^t (Y - X\beta)$$

$$||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = (Y - X\beta)^t (Y - X\beta)$$
$$= (Y^t - \beta^t X^t)(Y - X\beta)$$

will be helpful to re-write the sum of squares as. In 
$$y$$

$$||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = (Y - X\beta)^t (Y - X\beta)$$

$$= (Y^t - \beta^t X^t)(Y - X\beta)$$

$$= (Y^t - \beta^t X^t)(Y - X\beta)$$
  
=  $Y^t Y - Y^t X\beta - \beta^t X^t Y + \beta^t X^t X\beta$ 

$$||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = (Y - X\beta)^t (Y - X\beta)$$

 $= (Y^t - \beta^t X^t)(Y - X\beta)$ 

 $= Y^t Y - 2Y^t X\beta + \beta^t X^t X\beta$ 

 $= Y^t Y - Y^t X \beta - \beta^t X^t Y + \beta^t X^t X \beta$ 

#### **Normal Equations**

In order to find the minimum of the sum of squares, we take the gradient with respect to  $\beta$  and set it equal to zero.

Recall that, for a vector a and symmetric matrix A:

$$\nabla_{\beta} a^{t} \beta = a$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} \beta^{t} A \beta = 2A\beta$$

#### **Normal Equations**

In order to find the minimum of the sum of squares, we take the gradient with respect to  $\beta$  and set it equal to zero.

Recall that, for a vector a and symmetric matrix A:

$$\nabla_{\beta} a^{t} \beta = a$$
$$\nabla_{\beta} \beta^{t} A \beta = 2A\beta$$

This gives the gradient of the sum of squares as:

$$\nabla_{\beta}||Y - X\beta||_{2}^{2} = \nabla_{\beta} \left( Y^{t}Y - 2Y^{t}X\beta + \beta^{t}X^{t}X\beta \right)$$
$$= 2X^{t}X\beta - 2X^{t}y$$

Setting this equal to zero gives a set of p equations called the normal equations:

$$X^t X \widehat{\beta} = X^t y$$

#### **Maximum or Minimum?**

To determine whether the normal equations give a local minimum, maximum, or saddle point, we can calculate the Hessian matrix.

#### **Maximum or Minimum?**

To determine whether the normal equations give a local minimum, maximum, or saddle point, we can calculate the Hessian matrix. This is a  $p \times p$  matrix giving every combination of the second partial derivatives:

$$Hf(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_p} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_1} & \ddots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_2 \partial \beta_p} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_n \partial \beta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_n \partial \beta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \beta_n \partial \beta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

If the Hessian is positive definite ( $x^t H x \ge 0$ ) at a critical point, then the corrisponding point is a minimum of the

$$\nabla_{\beta}||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = 2X^t X - 2X^t y$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} ||Y - X\beta||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{t}X - 2X^{t}y$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$|H_{\beta}||Y - X\beta||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{t}X$$

$$|\nabla_{\beta}||Y - X\beta||_2^2 = 2X^t X - 2X^t y$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$|H_{\beta}||Y-X\beta||_2^2=2X^tX$$

Why is this positive definite?

$$\nabla_{\beta} ||Y - X\beta||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{t}X - 2X^{t}y$$

We can see that the Hessian is simply:

$$|H_{\beta}||Y - X\beta||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{t}X$$

Why is this positive definite?

$$v^{t}(2X^{t}X) v = 2(v^{t}X^{t}Xv)$$
$$= 2||Xv||_{2}^{2}$$
$$\geq 0$$

Back to the normal equations themselves, notice that if the matrix  $X^tX$  is invertable, we can 'solve' the normal equations as:

$$X^{t}X\widehat{\beta} = X^{t}y$$
$$\widehat{\beta} = (X^{t}X)^{-1}X^{t}y$$

Back to the normal equations themselves, notice that if the matrix  $X^tX$  is invertable, we can 'solve' the normal equations as:

$$X^{t}X\widehat{\beta} = X^{t}y$$
$$\widehat{\beta} = (X^{t}X)^{-1}X^{t}y$$

This is not a good way to solve the normal equations numerically, but for deriving theoretical results about the least squares estimator this form will be very useful.

### Matricies and Model Frames in R