Towards Entity-Aware Conditional Variational Inference for Heterogeneous Time-Series Prediction: An application to Hydrology Supplementary Material

Rahul Ghosh* Arvind Renganathan* Wallace McAliley † Michael Steinbach* Christopher Duffy ‡ Vipin Kumar*

1 Dataset Details

- ² CAMELS-GB (Catchment Attributes and MEteorol-
- 3 ogy for Large-sample Studies) [1] provides meteorolog-
- 4 ical forcing data (e.g., precipitation, air temperature),
- 5 streamflow observation, and basin characteristics.

Driver name	Description
precipitation	basin daily averaged precipitation
peti	basin daily averaged potential evapotranspiration
temperature	basin daily averaged temperature

Table 1: Meteorological drivers used in this experiment.

- 6 1.1 Meteorological Drivers Daily meteorological
- time series data are provided for the basins as sum-
- 8 marised in Table 1.

Attribute class	Attribute name	Description	
Location and topography	area	basin area	
	elev_mean	basin mean drainage path slope	
	dpsbar	basin mean elevation	
Climatic indices	p_mean	mean daily precipitation	
	pet_mean	mean daily evapotranspiration	
	p_seasonality	seasonality of precipitation	
	frac_snow	fraction of precipitation falling as snow	
	high_prec_freq	frequency of high-precipitation days	
	low_prec_freq	frequency of dry days	
	high_prec_dur	average duration of high-precipitation events	
	low_prec_dur	average duration of dry periods	
Soil	sand_perc	percentage sand	
	silt_perc	percentage silt	
	clay_perc	percentage clay	
	porosity_hypres	volumetric soil porosity	
	conductivity_hypres	saturated hydraulic conductivity	
	soil_depth_pelletier	depth to bedrock	
Land cover	dwood_perc	percentage cover of deciduous woodland	
	ewood_perc	percentage cover of evergreen woodland	
	crop_perc	percentage cover of crops	
	urban_perc	percentage cover of suburban and urban	
Human influence	reservoir_cap	storage capacity of reservoirs in the basin	

Table 2: Basin attributes (entity characteristics) used in this experiment. Names and descriptions of all the attributes are available in [1].

1.2 Basin Characteristics Basin characteristics describing the location and topography, climatic indices,

soil properties, land-cover properties, and human signatures are provided for each basin as shown in Table 2.

Hyperparameter	Value		
Latent dimension	16, 32 , 64, 128		
Dimension of hidden state	64, 128 , 256		
Batch size	100, 200		
Learning rate	0.0005, 0.001, 0.003 , 0.005, 0.05		
Update Learning rate	0.0005, 0.001 , 0.003, 0.005, 0.05		
Update steps	1, 5 , 10, 15		

Table 3: Hyperparameter values tried with the setting denoted in **bold**.

1.3 Hyperparameter Tuning We used grid search over a range of parameter values to find the best hyperparameters. Table 3 shows the possible parameter values that were considered. We chose the parameter set with the smallest average root mean square error (RMSE) in the training basins during the validation years as the final parameter configuration.

2 Experiment Results

2.1 Evaluation on In-sample Basins We evaluate the performance in In-Sample test, i.e., the training and testing data are from the same basins but different years. Testing data are exclusively from 1999-2009. As

	RMSE		R^2	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
MAML_{LSTM}	1.1768	0.8867	0.4893	0.7460
KGSSL	0.9278	0.6965	0.6957	0.8232
EA-CVI	0.9217	0.7077	0.7271	0.8222
CTLSTM	$0.955\bar{2}$	0.7301	0.5674	0.8069
$MAML_{CTLSTM}$	0.8732	0.6297	0.7725	0.8336

Table 4: Mean and Median RMSE and R^2 values for streamflow modeling on the benchmark datasets for EA-CVI and the baselines for the In-Sample Basins (282).

expected, Model Agnostic Meta-Learning using a long short-term memory (MAML $_{LSTM}$) does not perform well because it does not use entity characteristics or

15

16

17

18

^{*}University of Minnesota. {ghosh128, renga016, stei0062, kumar001}@umn.edu

[†]U.S. Geological Survey. wmcaliley@usgs.gov

[‡]Penn State University. cxd111@psu.edu

prior observed streamflow data available for the diverse set of entities. Next, a long short-term memory net-30 work whose dynamic inputs are augmented with basin characteristics (CTLSTM) uses the given entity char-31 acteristics to modulate the driver-response relationship 32 within the model. A network using MAML with CTL-STM (MAML $_{CTLSTM}$) further finetunes CTLSTM sep-34 arately for each entity. Note that the CTLSTM models have the most amount of information provided to them. Table 4 shows that this model performs best in the In-Sample test. Both inverse modeling approaches, Entity-Aware Conditional Variational Inference (EA-CVI) and Knowledge-Guided Self-supervised Learning (KGSSL), do not use the basin characteristics that may not be completely available or may be uncertain and 42 noisy. However, despite not utilizing the entity characteristics, these inverse modeling methods achieve comparable performance to the CTLSTM models which do have access to the entity characteristics. Overall, these findings highlight the importance of incorporating entity characteristics and utilizing the available information to improve predictive performance. However, inverse modeling methods demonstrate the potential to achieve competitive performance even without access to 51 complete or reliable entity characteristics.

3 Reproducibility

CAMELS input data are freely available on the website of UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology¹. The code and this document are available on GitHub².

57 References

[1] Gemma Coxon et al. CAMELS-GB: hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 671 catchments in Great Britain. Earth System Science Data, 2020.

¹https://doi.org/10.5285/8344e4f3-d2ea-44f5-8afa-86d2

²https://github.com/2021rahul/Towards-Entity-Aware-Conditional-Variational-Inference-for-Heterogeneous-Time-Series-Prediction