



Introduction to the C++20 spaceship operator



CMP · Follow

7 min read · Aug 28, 2023



Listen



Share

C++20 introduced the three-way comparison operator, also known as the “spaceship operator” due to its appearance: `<=>`. The purpose is to streamline the process of comparing objects.

The Basics

Below is a simple example that uses this new spaceship operator:

```
#include <compare>

int main() {
    int a = 1;
    int b = 2;

    auto result = a <=> b;

    if (result < 0) {
        std::cout << "a is less than b" << std::endl;
    } else if (result == 0) {
        std::cout << "a is equal to b" << std::endl;
    } else { // result > 0
        std::cout << "a is greater than b" << std::endl;
    }

    return 0;
}
```

Note that the `compare` header must be included.

For integral types such as `int`, the type of the value returned by the spaceship operator is `std::strong_ordering`, which can have one of three values:

- `std::strong_ordering::less`: If the left operand (`a`) is less than the right operand (`b`).
- `std::strong_ordering::equal`: If `a` is equal to `b`.
- `std::strong_ordering::greater`: If `a` is greater than `b`.

For floating-point types such as `double`, the spaceship operator returns one of four possible values:

- `std::partial_ordering::less`: If `a` is less than `b`.
- `std::partial_ordering::equivalent`: If `a` is “equivalent” to `b`. This is essentially the same as “equal”, but also includes the case of `-0 <=> +0`.
- `std::partial_ordering::greater`: If `a` is greater than `b`.
- `std::partial_ordering::unordered`: If `a` or `b` is NaN.

You can also directly use the spaceship operator with some other types, such as `std::vector` and `std::string`.

Objects

Let’s first look at ordering in a custom data structure *before* C++20:

```
struct Foo {  
    int value;  
  
    bool operator==(const Foo& rhs) const {  
        return value == rhs.value;  
    }  
}
```

```

    bool operator!=(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return !(value == rhs.value);
    }
    bool operator<(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value < rhs.value;
    }
    bool operator>(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value > rhs.value;
    }
    bool operator<=(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value <= rhs.value;
    }
    bool operator>=(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value >= rhs.value;
    }
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // prints false
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a != b) << std::endl; // prints true
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // prints true
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a > b) << std::endl; // prints false
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a <= b) << std::endl; // prints true
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a >= b) << std::endl; // prints false
}

```

In this example compiled with C++17, if we do not define all of the comparison operators in the `Foo` structure, then the compiler will generate errors when those missing operators are used. All of the boilerplate code can be highly simplified in C++20 by using the spaceship operator:

```

#include <compare>

struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

int main() {

```

```
Foo a{1};
Foo b{2};

std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // prints false
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a != b) << std::endl; // prints true
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // prints true
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a > b) << std::endl; // prints false
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a <= b) << std::endl; // prints true
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a >= b) << std::endl; // prints false
}
```

There are a few things to note here. First, the operator no longer has a return type of `bool` and instead has `std::strong_ordering` in this case, which can be deduced by the compiler when using `auto`. Second, C++20 also added the ability to default comparison operators, eliminating the need to write out `return value <=> rhs.value;`. However, if you took the first C++17 example and simply replaced the operator definitions with `default`, that would not work! This is due to the concept of *rewriting*, which is explained later in this article.

Primary vs Secondary Operators

Look at the following table from Barry Revzin's article [Comparisons in C++20](#):

| | Equality | Ordering |
|-----------|-----------------|---|
| Primary | <code>==</code> | <code><=></code> |
| Secondary | <code>!=</code> | <code><</code> , <code>></code> , <code><=</code> , <code>>=</code> |

In C++20, there are two categories of operators: Equality and Ordering. The Primary Equality operator is `==` and the Primary Ordering operator is `<=>`. The Secondary Equality operator is `!=`, and the Secondary Ordering operators are `<`, `>`, `<=`, and `>=`.

Primary operators can always be defaulted, and you can default the Secondary operators *if* the corresponding Primary operator is defined. For example, the

following example compiles correctly:

```
#include <compare>

struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
    bool operator<(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // prints true
}
```

However, if the `<=>` spaceship operator is *not* defined, then this code will not compile:

```
#include <compare>

struct Foo {
    int value;

    bool operator<(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // Object of type 'Foo'
}
```

C++20 has two new features related to comparison operators:

- **Primary operators can be reversed:** Take the following example:

```
#include <compare>

struct Foo {
    int value;

    explicit Foo(int value) : value(value) {}

    bool operator==(const int otherValue) const {
        return value == otherValue;
    }
};

int main() {
    Foo a{10};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == 10) << std::endl; // prints true
}
```

It is clear that `a == 10` will return `true` because the constructor sets `a.value` to `10`, and the expression gets evaluated as `a.operator==(10)`. However, up until C++20, the expression `10 == a` would give a compiler error because there is no such operator. In C++20, Primary operators can be *reversed*, meaning that `10 == a` would compile because the language knows that `a == 10` and `10 == a` functionally mean the same thing.

- **Secondary operators can be rewritten:** In C++20, Secondary operators can be *rewritten* in terms of their Primary operator. For example, `a < b` is rewritten as `(a <=> b) < 0`. This is what allows the spaceship operator to replace the other Ordering operators. Look at the following example:

```
#include <compare>

struct Foo {
    int value;
```

```

    explicit Foo(int value) : value(value) {}

    auto operator<=>(const int otherValue) const {
        return value <=> otherValue;
    }
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < 10) << std::endl; // prints true
}

```

Here, when evaluating `a < 10`, the compiler would first look for `operator<` and fail, then look for the rewritten version of the Primary operator. So, this expression would be evaluated as `a.operator<=>(10) < 0`, allowing us to use `<` without explicitly defining that operator in the `Foo` structure.

Likewise, the same principle applies to the other Primary operator: `==` and its Secondary operator: `!=`. For these Equality operators, `a != b` would be rewritten as `!(a == b)`.

Important note: Equality and Ordering operators are logically separated, meaning that `<=>` will never invoke `==` and vice versa. This means that you should always define **both** `<=>` and `==` Primary operators, and **only** those Primary operators (Secondary operators will be rewritten, so no need to define them). A caveat is that if you default the `<=>` operator, then the `==` operator will be implicitly defaulted. To make this clear, here are some examples of “good” and “bad” uses of the comparison operators in C++20:

- Good: `<=>` defaulted, which implicitly defaults `==`

```

struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

```

```
int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // No error. <=> is default
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // No error. == is implemented
}
```

- Bad: Only defaulting ==

```
struct Foo {
    int value;

    bool operator==(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // Error. <=> is not default
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // No error. == is default
}
```

- Good: Defining <=> and defining ==

```
struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value <=> rhs.value;
    }

    bool operator==(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value == rhs.value;
    }
};
```



```
int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // No error. <=> is defi
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // No error. == is defi
}
```

- Bad: Only defining <=>

```
struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value <=> rhs.value;
    }
};

int main() {
    Foo a{1};
    Foo b{2};

    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // No error. <=> is defi
    std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // Error. == is not def
}
```

- Good: Defining <=> but also defaulting ==

```
struct Foo {
    int value;

    auto operator<=>(const Foo& rhs) const {
        return value <=> rhs.value;
    }

    bool operator==(const Foo& rhs) const = default;
};

int main() {
```

```
Foo a{1};
Foo b{2};

std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a < b) << std::endl; // No error. <=> is defi
std::cout << std::boolalpha << (a == b) << std::endl; // No error. == is defa
}
```

Conclusion

Whether you actually use `<=>` directly for comparisons like in the first example, the spaceship operator is a useful feature for reducing the amount of boilerplate code when defining custom types and is an important addition to C++20 that modern C++ programmers should know about. To summarize the rules and best practices:

- The `<=>` operator returns a type of `*_ordering` rather than a `bool`.
- The Equality operators (`==` and `!=`) and the Ordering operators (`<`, `>`, `<=`, and `>=`) are logically separate
- The Primary operators (`==` and `<=>`) can be reversed
- The Secondary operators (`!=`, `<`, `>`, `<=`, and `>=`) can be rewritten in terms of their Primary operator
- When defining a custom type, you **should simply default the `<=>` operator** without defining any of the Secondary operators. If you cannot default it and need to define it in a more complex way, **then you must also define/default the `==` operator**

Cpp

Cpp20

Cplusplus

System Programming

Programming



Follow



Written by CMP

74 Followers

Software engineer specializing in operating systems, navigating the intricacies of the C++ language and systems programming.

More from CMP





`std::lock_guard` vs `std::unique_lock` vs `std::scoped_lock`

So many C++ locking mechanisms... which one should you choose?

4 min read · Jun 16, 2023





CMP

Using Attributes in C++

A useful way to communicate with the compiler and other developers through code

3 min read · Jan 16



10



1





CMP

const vs constexpr in C++

Both const and constexpr are keywords used to specify that a value cannot be modified, but they have some differences in functionality and...

4 min read · Sep 15, 2023



37



5

[See all from CMP](#)

Recommended from Medium





Jakub Neruda

Getting started with C++ modules

Modules. A concept common in many programming languages (with Rust having the most similar implementation), but only standardized in C++20...

7 min read · Jan 18



1



1



Paul J. Lucas

Undefined Behavior in C and C++

What undefined behavior is, why it exists, and how to avoid it.

8 min read · Aug 31, 2023



11



1



Lists



General Coding Knowledge

20 stories · 851 saves



Coding & Development

11 stories · 409 saves



Stories to Help You Grow as a Software Developer

19 stories · 761 saves



ChatGPT

21 stories · 428 saves



brk

Mastering Delegating Constructors in C++: Harnessing the Power of Delegating Constructors

Delegating constructors are a powerful feature in C++ that allow you to simplify and streamline your code by reusing the logic of one...

3 min read · Oct 9, 2023



12




```

6   int unique_id;
7   Singleton(const int num){
8       unique_id = num;
9   }
10
11 public:
12     static Singleton* get_instance(const int num) {
13         if(one_and_only_instance==nullptr) {
14             std::cout << "creating a new instance" << std::endl;
15             one_and_only_instance = new Singleton(num);
16         }
17         std::cout << "returning instance with unique id: " << unique_id << std::endl;
18         return one_and_only_instance;
19     }
20     void operator=(const Singleton &) = delete;
21     Singleton(Singleton &other) = delete;
22     void PrintUniqueID() {
23         std::cout << "Current Instance's unique id: " << this->unique_id << std::endl;
24     }
25
26 };
27

```

PROBLEMS 8 OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL

* Executing task: C/C++: g++ build active file

```

Starting build...
/usr/bin/g++ -fdiagnostics-color=always -g /Users/antwang/workspace/design_patterns/singleton/singleton_copy.cpp -o /Users/antwang/workspace/design_patterns/singleton/singlet
on_copy -std=c++17
/Users/antwang/workspace/design_patterns/singleton/singleton_copy.cpp:13:12: error: invalid use of member 'one_and_only_instance' in static member function
    if(one_and_only_instance==nullptr) {
       ^
/Users/antwang/workspace/design_patterns/singleton/singleton_copy.cpp:15:13: error: invalid use of member 'one_and_only_instance' in static member function
    one_and_only_instance = new Singleton(num);
    ^
/Users/antwang/workspace/design_patterns/singleton/singleton_copy.cpp:17:63: error: invalid use of member 'unique_id' in static member function
    std::cout << "returning instance with unique id: " << this->unique_id << std::endl;
                                                             ^

```



Anthony Wang

Singleton in C++

Continuing with the series where I share my learnings on design pattern from Refactoring Guru, after reading the tutorial on Singleton, I...

5 min read · Aug 23, 2023



96



2



```

21  template <typename T>
22  Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> CustomLayer(Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> &X,
23                                  Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> &W,
24                                  std::function<Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> (Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> &X, Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> &W)> activation)
25  {
26      Eigen::array<Eigen::IndexPair<Eigen::Index>, 1> dims;
27      Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> Z = X.contract(W, dims);
28      Eigen::Tensor<T, 2> result = activation(Z);
29      return result;
30  };
31
32  auto convert = [](const Eigen::Tensor<float, 2> &tensor,
33                   {
34      const int rows = tensor.dimension(0);

```



Luiz doleron in Towards AI

Automatic Differentiation with Python and C++ for Deep Learning

This story explores automatic differentiation, a feature of modern Deep Learning frameworks that automatically calculates the parameter...

11 min read · Aug 30, 2023



91



CMP

Modern C++ memory allocation

Memory allocation is an important concept for systems programmers to understand, especially when working in environments where resources...

8 min read · Aug 1, 2023



77



1

[See more recommendations](#)

