

# Hypersistent Sketch Mathematical Analysis

## 1 Persistence Estimation

### 1.1 Error Bound

**THEOREM 4.1** Let  $\hat{p}_i$  is the estimated persistence of our method. We have

$$p_i \leq \hat{p}_i \leq T \quad (1)$$

PROOF. Let the threshold of  $L_1$  be  $M_1$ , the threshold of  $L_2$  be  $M_2$ . The number of time Windows in  $S$  is  $T$ . When  $\hat{p}_i > M_1$ , the item is transmitted to  $L_2$ ,  $\hat{p}_i > M_1 + M_2$ , the item is transmitted to  $L_3$ . Correspondingly, the number of hash functions in  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  is  $d_1, d_2$  and  $d_3$ . So  $\hat{p}_i$  consists of three parts.  $\hat{p}_i = \hat{p}_i^1 + \hat{p}_i^2 + \hat{p}_i^3$ , respectively, where  $\hat{p}_i^1, \hat{p}_i^2$  and  $\hat{p}_i^3$  are estimated persistence in  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$ . Next, we find the upper and lower boundaries of  $\hat{P}_i$ . For  $\hat{p}_i^j, (j = 1, 2, 3)$ , each item arrived can cause the mapping, make  $\hat{p}_i^j, (j = 1, 2, 3)$  increased, so the  $\hat{p}_i^j \geq p_i^j, (j = 1, 2, 3)$ . For each time window, at most  $\hat{p}_i^j$  increases by 1, so  $\hat{p}_i \leq T$ .

To sum up,  $p_i \leq \hat{p}_i \leq T$ .

**THEOREM 4.2** For convenience, we set  $\hat{p}_i < M_1$  as small data streams, when  $\hat{p}_i \leq M_1 + M_2$  as medium data streams,  $M_1 + M_2 \leq \hat{p}_i$ . For the medium data streams, let  $\Delta_j p_i = \Delta_j p_i^1 + \Delta_j p_i^2$ . Where  $\Delta_j p_i^1 = C_j^1[h_j(e_i)] - p_i^1$ ,  $\Delta_j p_i^2 = C_j^2[h_j(e_i)] - p_i^2$ . Let  $E_t$  be the set of all items that differ significantly in the time window  $T$ .  $p_i$  is the set of time windows where  $e_i$  occurs.  $\bar{P}_i = \{1, 2, \dots, T\} - P_i$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,j,t} &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \exists e_k \in E_t, i \neq k \vee h_j^{1,2}(e_i) = h_j^{1,2}(e_k) \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \\ E[\Delta_j p_i] &= E[\Delta_j^1 p_i] + E[\Delta_j^2 p_i] \\ &= \sum_{t \in \bar{P}_i} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l_1} \right)^{|E_t|} \right] \times \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l_1} \right)^{|E_t|'} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where  $|E_t|'$  is the set of all items in  $\hat{p}_i > M_1 + M_2$ . In practice, the number of such items is relatively small. We let

$$\|p\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i = \sum_{t=1}^T |E_t| = \|E\|_1$$

$\|p\|_1^1 = \sum_{p_i > M_1} p_i$  is the sum of items whose estimated persistence is greater than  $M_1$ . Therefore, we have

$$E[\Delta_j p_i] \leq \sum_{t \in \bar{P}_i} \frac{|E_t|}{l_1} \times \frac{|E_t|^1}{l_2} \leq \frac{\|p\|_1 \times \|p\|_1^1}{l_1 \times l_2}$$

It is known from the increment of the limit function, we can deduce

$$E[\Delta_j p_i] \geq \sum_{t \in \bar{P}_i} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{e} \right)^{\frac{|E_t|}{l_1}} \right] \times \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{e} \right)^{\frac{|E_t|}{l_2}} \right].$$

## 1.2 Comparison with Related Work

**THEOREM 4.3** Let  $\hat{p}_i^{On-Off}$  be the estimated persistence of the On-Off Sketch(OO). For the same memory condition, we filter the entire data stream by assigning a different number of counters and  $d_i$  for small, medium, and large data streams. Let  $\Delta_j^{OO} p_i = C_j[h_j(e_i)] - p_i$ , where  $p_i = \min_{1 \leq j \leq d} (C_j[h_j(e_i)])$ .

For small data streams, we use low-byte storage, meaning the number of counters is higher. From the expression

$$E[\Delta_j p_i] = \sum_{t \in P_i} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l} \right)^{|E_t|} \right], \quad (3)$$

we can deduce that

$$E(\Delta_j p_i) \leq E(\Delta_j^{OO} p_i). \quad (4)$$

For the medium stream, we have:

$$1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l_2} \right)^{|E_t|} < 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude:

$$E(\Delta_j^{OO} p_i) > 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l_1} \right)^{|E_t|} > E(\Delta_j p_i). \quad (5)$$

For the large stream, the analysis is similar to the above. To sum up, the above inequality holds true.

## 2 Finding Persistent Items

### 2.1 Comparison with Related Work

Our method is better than the On-Off method.

**THEOREM 4.4** We let  $\hat{p}_i = B[h_1(e_i)][e_i]$ , and the On-Off Sketch is denoted as  $\hat{p}_i^{on-off}$ . We have:

$$p_i \leq \hat{p}_i \leq \hat{p}_i^{on-off} \leq T \quad (6)$$

**PROOF.** The On-Off method uses an alternative way to record persistence. Our method filters use cold-item filter based on it, so that the probability of hash collision brought by other items will be reduced when the estimator is recorded. We assume in the initial state there is  $\hat{p}_i = \hat{p}_i^{On-Off}$ . When a new item comes, there will be the following cases:

**Case 1:** When  $e_i$  arrives, if  $e_i$  is in  $B[h_1(e_i)][e_i]$ , whether it is insertion or collision, if the number of counters is the same, from the perspective of probability, the above equation is still valid. That is, when  $e_i$  arrives under normal circumstances, it can be considered that the above equation remains valid. If  $e_i$

is not in  $B[h_1(e_i)][e_i]$ , whether or not insertion and hash collision occur has no effect on the above equation.

**Case 2:** When  $e_j(i \neq j)$  comes, if  $C_1[h_1(e_i)] = C_1[h_1(e_j)]$ , this will cause errors due to collisions. However, the method in this paper filters many cold items, so the flow when reaching the record will be much less, meaning  $|e_j| < |e_j^{\text{On-Off}}|$ , resulting in a smaller  $\hat{p}$ . Otherwise, there is no change.

Finally, we can conclude that the equation holds for all cases.