

Class Eight Lab

Tom Zwiller

2024-04-10

#1. Installing and Loading in the Ergm Package

```
#Installing the libraries we need
library(ergm)
library(dplyr)
library(magrittr)
library(ggraph)
library(sna)
library(btergm)
```

#2. Loading in the Node and Edge Data

```
#Node Data
nodes <- read.csv('/Users/TomTheIntern/Desktop/Mendoza/Mod 4/Networks/Lab 2/nodelist.csv')
summary(nodes)
```

##	ID	Name	Age	Gender
##	Min. : 1.00	Length:12	Min. :21.00	Length:12
##	1st Qu.: 3.75	Class :character	1st Qu.:23.00	Class :character
##	Median : 6.50	Mode :character	Median :36.50	Mode :character
##	Mean : 6.50		Mean :38.00	
##	3rd Qu.: 9.25		3rd Qu.:45.75	
##	Max. :12.00		Max. :65.00	

```
#Edge Data
edges <- read.csv('/Users/TomTheIntern/Desktop/Mendoza/Mod 4/Networks/Lab 2/edgelist.csv')
summary(edges)
```

##	ego_num	alter_num	ego	alter
##	Min. : 1.000	Min. : 1.000	Length:40	Length:40
##	1st Qu.: 2.750	1st Qu.: 2.750	Class :character	Class :character
##	Median : 5.000	Median : 5.000	Mode :character	Mode :character
##	Mean : 5.575	Mean : 5.575		
##	3rd Qu.: 9.000	3rd Qu.: 9.000		
##	Max. :12.000	Max. :12.000		
##	type	strength		
##	Length:40	Min. :1.00		
##	Class :character	1st Qu.:2.00		
##	Mode :character	Median :4.00		
##		Mean :3.45		
##		3rd Qu.:4.25		
##		Max. :5.00		

```
net_sna <- network(edges, matrix.type = "edgelist",
                  directed = T, vertex.attr = nodes)
net_sna
```

```
## Network attributes:
##   vertices = 12
##   directed = TRUE
##   hyper = FALSE
##   loops = FALSE
##   multiple = FALSE
##   bipartite = FALSE
##   total edges= 40
##     missing edges= 0
##     non-missing edges= 40
##
## Vertex attribute names:
##   Age Gender ID Name vertex.names
##
## Edge attribute names:
##   alter ego strength type
```

#3. Adding Two Terms to the Model

```
#basic ERGM
model1 <- ergm(net_sna ~ edges + nodematch("Gender") + gwesp(0.05, fixed = TRUE))
```

#4. Interpret the Model

```
summary(model1)
```

```
## Call:
## ergm(formula = net_sna ~ edges + nodematch("Gender") + gwesp(0.05,
##   fixed = TRUE))
##
## Monte Carlo Maximum Likelihood Results:
##
##              Estimate Std. Error MCMC % z value Pr(>|z|)
## edges          -3.6930    0.6108     0  -6.046 < 1e-04 ***
## nodematch.Gender    1.3202    0.3734     0   3.536 0.000406 ***
## gwesp.OTP.fixed.0.05  1.6594    0.5252     0   3.159 0.001581 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Null Deviance: 183.0 on 132 degrees of freedom
## Residual Deviance: 128.6 on 129 degrees of freedom
##
## AIC: 134.6 BIC: 143.3 (Smaller is better. MC Std. Err. = 0.3547)
```

Goodness of Fit

```
gof.model1_btergm <- btergm::gof(model1, nsim = 100, # checking goodness of fit of model estimates against
                                statistics = c(deg, odeg, ideg, triad.directed))
```

```
##
```

```
## Starting GOF assessment on a single computing core....
```

```
##
```

```
## No 'target' network(s) provided. Using networks on the left-hand side of the model formula as observed
```

```
## Simulating 100 networks from the following formula:
```

```
## net_sna ~ edges + nodematch("Gender") + gwesp(0.05, fixed = TRUE)
```

```
## One network from which simulations are drawn was provided.
```

```
## Processing statistic: Degree
```

```
## Processing statistic: Outdegree
```

```
## Processing statistic: Indegree
```

```
## Processing statistic: Triad census
```

```
gof.model1_btergm
```

```
## Degree
```

##	obs	sim:	mean	median	min	max	Pr(>z)
## 0	0		0.61	0	0	4	0.41654
## 1	1		0.57	0	0	3	0.56685
## 2	2		0.69	1	0	3	0.08103 .
## 3	5		1.05	1	0	4	1.434e-07 ***
## 4	2		1.43	1	0	6	0.44776
## 5	1		2.03	2	0	6	0.17012
## 6	0		2.27	2	0	6	0.00250 **
## 7	1		1.85	2	0	6	0.25760
## 8	0		1.02	1	0	4	0.17431
## 9	0		0.36	0	0	5	0.63160
## 10	0		0.12	0	0	1	0.87302
## 11	0		0.00	0	0	0	1.00000

```
##
```

```
## Note: Small p-values indicate a significant difference
## between simulations and observed network(s).
```

```
## Outdegree
```

```
##      obs sim: mean median min max    Pr(>z)
## 0      0      1.42      1  0   5 0.127240
## 1      1      0.91      1  0   4 0.922997
## 2      2      1.56      1  0   5 0.636528
## 3      5      2.26      2  0   6 0.003253 **
## 4      2      2.69      3  0   7 0.458658
## 5      1      1.80      2  0   6 0.390231
## 6      0      0.88      1  0   5 0.344599
## 7      1      0.33      0  0   2 0.471785
## 8      0      0.12      0  0   1 0.897453
## 9      0      0.03      0  0   1 0.974297
## 10     0      0.00      0  0   0 1.000000
```

```
##
## Note: Small p-values indicate a significant difference
##       between simulations and observed network(s).
```

Indegree

```
##      obs sim: mean median min max    Pr(>z)
## 0      0      1.45      1  0   4 0.110907
## 1      1      0.90      1  0   4 0.912457
## 2      2      1.68      2  0   6 0.724982
## 3      5      2.04      2  0   6 0.001137 **
## 4      2      2.64      3  0   6 0.481672
## 5      1      1.81      2  0   4 0.373190
## 6      0      1.04      1  0   3 0.252883
## 7      1      0.37      0  0   2 0.488547
## 8      0      0.06      0  0   2 0.947407
## 9      0      0.01      0  0   1 0.991228
## 10     0      0.00      0  0   0 1.000000
```

```
##
## Note: Small p-values indicate a significant difference
##       between simulations and observed network(s).
```

Triad census

```
##      obs sim: mean median min max    Pr(>z)
## 003    69    39.55    38.5   7  77 0.0810722 .
## 012     0    63.99    65.0  33  93 0.0001503 ***
## 102   113    28.50    28.0  10  53 5.574e-07 ***
## 021D    0     6.86     6.0   0  21 0.6844792
## 021U    0     7.14     7.0   0  27 0.6723357
## 021C    0    15.16    14.0   2  42 0.3691765
## 111D    0    11.21    11.0   1  22 0.5066680
## 111U    0    11.63    12.0   3  27 0.4908774
## 030T    0     6.72     5.0   0  30 0.6905819
## 030C    0     2.34     2.0   0  15 0.8897567
## 201    27     3.67     3.0   0  11 0.1669795
## 120D    0     3.89     3.0   0  11 0.8177593
## 120U    0     3.65     3.0   1  11 0.8288231
```

```
## 120C 0      6.25    5.0   0  16 0.7112151
## 210  0      7.59    7.0   2  19 0.6529983
## 300  0      0.00    0.0   0   0 1.0000000
```

```
##
```

```
## Note: Small p-values indicate a significant difference
##       between simulations and observed network(s).
```

I tinkered with the model for a bit, swapping variables until I found a paring that was statistically significant and finally found that nodematch worked well with gwesp.

Nodematch focused on Gender makes the most sense; I tended to have more men in my network and those men generally had relationships with other men; the only exceptions were myself and my girlfriend, her father and her mother and my friend and his girlfriend.

And then Gwesp was strong because most of the time two nodes had a handful of shared partners, hence it being statistically significant.

All things considered, the model had a low AIC (134) and BIC (142.7), and was relatively accurate