EC3204: Programming Languages and Compilers

Lecture 3 — Lexical Analysis (2)
Recognition of Tokens

Sunbeom So
Fall 2024

Part 2: String Recognition by Finite Automata

- **Finite automata** are string recognizers that return either "yes" (accept) or "no" (reject).

- There are two types of finite automata.
  - **Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA)**
  - **Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)**
- We can use finite automata to accept valid lexical patterns. Why?

Theorem (Kleene's Theorem)

Every language defined by a regular expression can be defined by NFA and DFA.

Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

Definition (NFA)

A non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA) is defined as

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

where

- Q: a finite set of states
- Σ: an alphabet, i.e., a finite set of input symbols. We assume that $\epsilon \notin \Sigma$.
- $q_0 \in Q$: the initial state (start state)
- $F \subseteq Q$: a set of final states (accepting states)
- $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^Q$: transition function that outputs a set of next states, for each state and for each symbol in $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$.

Example: NFA

- An example of NFA $M : (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ according to the definition

$$(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{a, b\}, \delta, 0, \{3\})$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \delta(0, a) = \{0, 1\} & \delta(1, a) = \emptyset & \delta(2, a) = \emptyset & \delta(3, a) = \emptyset \\ \delta(0, b) = \{0\} & \delta(1, b) = \{2\} & \delta(2, b) = \{3\} & \delta(3, b) = \emptyset \end{array}$$

Example: NFA

- An example of NFA $M : (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ according to the definition

$$(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{a, b\}, \delta, 0, \{3\})$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \delta(0, a) = \{0, 1\} & \delta(1, a) = \emptyset & \delta(2, a) = \emptyset & \delta(3, a) = \emptyset \\ \delta(0, b) = \{0\} & \delta(1, b) = \{2\} & \delta(2, b) = \{3\} & \delta(3, b) = \emptyset \end{array}$$

- A common representation method of NFA is **transition graph**.



Example: NFA

- An example of NFA $M : (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ according to the definition

$$(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{a, b\}, \delta, 0, \{3\})$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \delta(0, a) = \{0, 1\} & \delta(1, a) = \emptyset & \delta(2, a) = \emptyset & \delta(3, a) = \emptyset \\ \delta(0, b) = \{0\} & \delta(1, b) = \{2\} & \delta(2, b) = \{3\} & \delta(3, b) = \emptyset \end{array}$$

- A common representation method of NFA is **transition graph**.



- NFA can also be represented by a transition table.

State	a	b	ϵ
0 (start)	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\emptyset$
1	$\emptyset$	$\{2\}$	$\emptyset$
2	$\emptyset$	$\{3\}$	$\emptyset$
3 (final)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

Strings Recognizable by NFA

The language of an NFA, denoted $L(NFA)$, is the set of strings recognizable by the NFA. In our example,

$$L(NFA) = \{wabb \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\} = L((a|b)^*abb).$$

In words, the above NFA recognizes any strings that end with abb.



Example: Strings Recognizable by NFA

Find the languages (regular expressions) of the NFAs.

- $(a \mid b)^*$



- $(a|b)^* \cdot (a|b)$, or $(a|b)^+$



Exercise: Strings Recognizable by NFA





Exercise: Strings Recognizable by NFA

- $(a^+|b^+)$



- $(aa)^*(bb)^*b$



String Recognition using NFA

An NFA recognizes a string w iff there is a path from the start state to one of the final states in the transition graph covered by w.



For example, a string $aabb$ is accepted ("yes") because we have a path ending with the final state 3:

$$0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{a} 1 \xrightarrow{b} 2 \xrightarrow{b} 3$$

By contrast, a string aa is rejected ("no") because no paths labeled by aa end with the final state 3:

$$0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{a} 0, \quad 0 \xrightarrow{a} 0 \xrightarrow{a} 1$$

Exercises





Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)

A DFA is a special case of an NFA, where

1. there are no moves on ϵ, and
2. for each state and input symbol, the next state is unique.

Definition (DFA)

A deterministic finite automaton (or DFA) is defined as

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

where

- Q: a finite set of states
- Σ: a finite set of input symbols (or input alphabet)
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to Q$: a transition function that is a total function (defined for every possible input)
- $q_0 \in Q$: the initial state
- $F \subseteq Q$: a set of final states

Example: DFA in Transition Graph

A DFA that accepts strings described by $(a \mid b)^* abb$.



Exercises

Find the languages (regular expressions) of the DFA.

- 

- 

Exercises

Find the languages (regular expressions) of the DFA.

- $ab(a \mid b)^*$



- $(0 \mid 1)^*01$



String Recognition using DFA

As in NFA, a DFA recognizes a string $\boldsymbol{w}$ iff there is a path from the start state to one of the final states in the transition graph covered by $\boldsymbol{w}$.



For example, a string $\boldsymbol{aabb}$ is accepted ("yes") because we have a path ending with the final state $\mathbf{3}$:

$$\mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{b} \mathbf{2} \xrightarrow{b} \mathbf{3}$$

By contrast, a string $\boldsymbol{aa}$ is rejected ("no") because the path labeled by $\boldsymbol{aa}$ does not end with the final state $\mathbf{3}$:

$$\mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbf{1}$$

Why DFA?

Both NFA and DFA are string recognizers, but lexers often rely on DFA. Why?

Automaton	Initial (Construction)	Per String
NFA	$O(\|r\|)$	$O(\|r\| \times \|x\|)$
DFA typical case	$O(\|r\|^3)$	$O(\|x\|)$
DFA worst case	$O(\|r\|^2 2^{\|r\|})$	$O(\|x\|)$

$|r|$: the size of a regex r (#operators in r + #operands in r)

$|x|$: the size of an input string x

- One main reason: efficiency
- If a string recognizer to be built will be used many times repeatedly (e.g., lexical analysis), converting to a DFA is worthwhile.
- If a string recognizer to be built will be used only a few times (e.g., regex matching using `grep`), directly simulating an NFA would be more efficient.

Summary

We learned how to accept (resp., reject) the valid (resp., invalid) lexical patterns.

- Definitions of NFA and DFA.
- How to recognize strings using NFA or DFA.

Next class: how to construct string recognizers (NFA, DFA).