

1.

Ans: Shor's algorithm is a quantum algorithm that efficiently solves the integer factorization and discrete logarithm problems in polynomial time. The security of RSA depends on the difficulty of factoring large integers, while ECC relies on the hardness of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem.

Our classical computers, these problems are computationally infeasible for large key sizes. These means a sufficiently powerful quantum computer running Shor's algorithm can break RSA and ECC by finding private keys from public keys.

The consequences for current digital infrastructure are serious. Many people protocols like HTTPS, SSL/TLS, email encryption and block chain technologies rely on RSA or ECC for security.

Modern browsers use both consumers and Web servers to implement strong security measures such as strong password policies, two-factor authentication, and more.

2.

Ans: Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) is a technique for secure key exchange that uses the principles of quantum mechanics to establish a shared secret key between two parties. Its security is based on the no-cloning theorem and the fact that any measurement of a quantum state disturbs it, allowing the communicating parties to detect the presence of any eavesdropper. Unlike classical methods, QKD provides information-theoretic security, independent of computational assumptions.

In future cryptographic system, QKD is expected to play a central role in protecting sensitive communications against the threat of quantum computers. By enabling the secure generation and distribution of symmetric encryption keys, QKD ensures that even adversaries with unlimited computational power cannot compromise the confidentiality of the exchanged key without detection.

Difference between QKD and classic Public-key Encryption

Feature	Quantum key Distribution (QKD)	Classical Public-key Encryption (ECC/RSA)
Security foundation	Laws of quantum mechanics	Computational hardness of math problems
Quantum Resistance	Inherently resistant to quantum attack	Vulnerable to Shor's algorithm.
Eavesdropping detection	Yes, via disturbance rate/ error rates.	No, inherent detection
Channel Requirements	Quantum + classical channel.	Classical channel only
Hardware Requirements	Specialized quantum devices	Standard computing hardware.

IT-21034

Lattice-based cryptography is a class of cryptographic schemes whose security is based on the hardness of mathematical problems in high-dimensional lattices, such as, the Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) or the Learning With Errors (LWE) problem. These problems are believed to remain hard even for quantum computers.

In contrast, traditional number-theoretic approaches like RSA and ECC rely on the difficulty of problems such as integer factorization and discrete logarithm. While these are secured against classical adversaries, they can efficiently be solved on a sufficiently powerful quantum computer using Shor's algorithm, rendering RSA and ECC insecure in a post-quantum world.

Main Differences based on quantum resistance:

Feature	Lattice-Based Crypt	RSA/ECC
Security Foundation	Hard lattice problems (SVP, LWE, etc.)	Integer factorization, Discrete logarithm
Quantum Resistance	Believe secure against quantum attacks	Broken by Shor's Algorithm
Maturity & Usage	Newer, still under standardization (NIST)	Widely deployed in current infrastructure
Efficiency	Larger key size but efficient operations	Small keys, efficient in classical settings
Application	Encryption, signature, homomorphic crypto	Encryption, signature

Lattice-based cryptography offers a promising alternative to traditional number-theoretic schemes, especially in the era of quantum computing. It's assumed quantum resistance and versatility make it a strong candidate for future cryptographic standards, though practical deployment requires optimization for key size and performance.

Q4. Explain Lattice-based Cryptosystems.

Ans:

Python PRNG using System time + custom seed:

Program:

```
import time
def custom_prng(seed):
    current_time = time.time_ns()
    state = (seed ^ current_time) & 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
    return state
```

```
a = 6364136223846793005
c = 1
```

$\text{state} = (\text{a} * \text{state} + \text{c}) \& \text{ 0xFFFFFFFFFFFF}$

FFFFF

return state.

seed-value = 12345

Input: random-number = custom-prng(seed-value)
Output: print ("Custom PRNG output:", random-number)

Output: custom PRNG output = 483992

29 34 58 71 45 21.

5. Ans:

Sieve of Eratosthenes Algorithm:

The Sieve of Eratosthenes is an ancient and efficient method to find all the prime numbers up to a given limit n .

Step:

1. Create a boolean list is_prime $[0 \dots n]$ initialized to true.

2. Set $\text{is-prime}[0]$ and $\text{is-prime}[1]$ to false
3. Starting from $p=2$, if $\text{is-prime}[p]$ is true, mark all multiples of p from p^2 to n , as false.
4. Increment p and repeat until $p > n$.
5. All numbers marked true are prime.

Program to find all prime numbers less than 50.

```

def sieve_of_eratosthenes(limit):
    is_prime = [True] * (limit + 1)
    is_prime[0], is_prime[1] = False, False
    p = 2
    while p * p <= limit:
        if is_prime[p]:
            for i in range(p * p, limit + 1, p):
                is_prime[i] = False
        p += 1
    
```

`primes = [num for num in prime if prime in enumerate([is_prime]) if is_prime]`

`returning prime] if prime]`

`print ("Primes less than 50:", sieve
of eratosthenes(50))`

Output:

`prime numbers less than 50 = [2, 3, 5,
7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47]`

Time complexity Comparison:

$(1 + \text{time}) * [\text{cont}] = \text{smallest}$

Method

Time complexity

Remark

Seive of
Eratosthenes

$O(n \log \log n)$

Trial Division

$O(n\sqrt{n})$

1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9

6.

Ans:

Definition:

A Carmichael number is a composite integer n such that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all integers a coprime to n .

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

for every integer a with $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

In other words, n is a Fermat pseudoprime to every base coprime with n . For example, 17 is a Fermat pseudoprime to every base coprime with 17.

Necessary and sufficient condition (Korselt's criterion): n is Carmichael if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

A positive composite integer n is a Carmichael number if all three of the following hold:

1. n is a composite number.

2. n is square-free.

3. for every prime divisor p of n , it holds that $p-1 \mid n-1$.

Sketch of proof:

→ If n is Carmichael then for every prime $p \mid n$ and every a with $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ one uses the property $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and reduce modulo p to obtain $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By Fermat's little theorem, the multiplicative order of any $a \pmod{p}$ divides $p-1$, so $p-1$ divides $n-1$. Also, one shows n must be squarefree because if $p^r \mid n$ one can pick $a \pmod{p^r}$ with certain reduction modulo p^r to contradict the universal congruence.

Briwster sent the H1 minimum

We test each n by factoring it, checking squarefree and checking $p-1 \mid n-1$ for every prime num ber. divisor of $n-1$ gives not a

$$2-1 \mid 1-9 \text{ false}$$

(a) $n = 561$

→ Factorization: $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$.

→ Check divisibility of $n-1 = 560$:

$$(88.3 - 2 \div 88.3 \times 1) | 81 = 1.9 : 81 \text{ not } 5.$$

→ For $P=3$: $P-1=2$; $(560 \div 2 = 280)$

→ For $P=11$: $P-1=10$; $(560 \div 10 = 56)$

→ For $P=17$: $P-1=16$; $(560 \div 16 = 35)$

As, all conditions satisfied so, 561 is a Carmichael number.

(b) $n=1105$

→ Factorization: $1105 = 5 \times 13 \times 17$

→ $n-1 = 1104$

→ For $P=5$: $P-1=4$; $(1104 \div 4 = 276)$

→ For $P=13$: $P-1=12$; $(1104 \div 12 = 92)$

→ For $P=17$: $P-1=16$; $(1104 \div 16 = 69)$

All conditions satisfied. 1105 is a Carmichael.

① $n = 1729$

\rightarrow Factorization: $7 \times 13 \times 19$

$\rightarrow n-1 = 1728$

\rightarrow For $P=7$: $P-1=6 \mid (1728 \div 6 = 288)$

\rightarrow For $P=13$: $P-1=12 \mid (1728 \div 12 = 144)$

\rightarrow For $P=19$: $P-1=18 \mid (1728 \div 18 = 96)$

All conditions satisfied so, 1729 is a

Carmichael number

7. Ans:

a) Is \mathbb{Z}_{11} with $(+, \cdot)$ ring?

\Rightarrow Yes. In fact \mathbb{Z}_{11} is a commutative ring with unity and because 11 is prime, it is a field.

Justification:

$\rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{11}, +)$ is an abelian group;

addition mod 11 is closed, associative
has identity 0, every element a
has an additive inverse $-a \equiv 11-a$

and addition is commutative.

→ Multiplicative mod 11 is closed and associative and distributes over addition.
There is a multiplicative identity 1.

→ Therefore all ring axioms hold, so,

\mathbb{Z}_{11} is a ring.

(b) Are $(\mathbb{Z}_{37}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_{37}, \times)$ Abelian groups?

⇒ (i) $(\mathbb{Z}_{37}, +)$ is an Abelian group.

Reason:

Reason: Addition modulo 37 satisfied

all group of axioms: closure, associativity, identity 0, inverses (for a the inverse is $37 - a$) and commutativity. Thus

$(\mathbb{Z}_{37}, +)$ is a cyclic abelian group of order 37.

④ $(\mathbb{Z}_{35}, \times)$ - No: of nontrivial

Reason: Multiplication modulo 35

is associative and has identity 1, and is commutative but many elements do not have multiplicative inverses. For example, 5 ∈

\mathbb{Z}_{35} has $\gcd(5, 35) = 5 \neq 1$, and

there is no x with $5x \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$ because $5x$ is divided by 5.

8. Ans:

We want the least nonnegative residue of -52 modulo 31

$$-52 \equiv -52 + 2 \cdot 31 = -52 + 62 = 10 \pmod{31}$$

Want $10 \pmod{31}$

the remainder 10 of $(+)$

Q. Ans:

Multiplicative inverse of \bar{x} modulo 26
(Use Extended Euclidean algorithm)

$$\bar{x}x \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

$$\bar{x}x + 26y = 1$$

• (EU) b/w

Euclidean algorithm:

$$26 = 3 \cdot \bar{x} + 5$$

$$11 \cdot 26 = 2 \cdot 0 \text{ mod } (\bar{x})$$

$$\bar{x} = 1.5 + 2$$

$$5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0$$

Back Substitution:

$$(B \text{ filter}) \quad 1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$$

$$2 = \bar{x} - 1.5 \Rightarrow 1 = 5 - 2(\bar{x} - 5)$$

$$\text{smallest value of } d, \quad 1 = 3 \cdot 5 - 2 \cdot \bar{x}$$

$$\text{front down } 5 = 26 - 3 \cdot \bar{x} \Rightarrow 1 = 3(26 - 3 \cdot \bar{x})$$

$$(d \neq 0) \text{ b/w} = 3 \cdot 26 + 3 \cdot \bar{x} - 2 \cdot \bar{x} = 3 \cdot 26 - 11 \cdot \bar{x}$$

thus, $-11 \cdot \bar{x} + 3 \cdot 26 = 1$, so, -11 is an inverse
modulo 26. Reduce to $0 \leq x \leq 26$!

$$-11 \equiv 15 \pmod{26}.$$

10. Ans:

$$(22 \text{ hours}) \perp \equiv 22 \pmod{17}$$

$$-8 \times 5 = -40, \quad -40 \equiv -40 + 3 \cdot 17 = 1 \pmod{17}.$$

Alternatively reduce factors first

$$-8 \equiv 9 \pmod{17} \text{ then } 9 \cdot 5 = 45 \equiv 11$$

$$1 + 8 \cdot 8 = 65$$

$$0 + 1 \cdot 8 = 8$$

11. Ans:

Bezout's theorem (identity)

for integers a, b not both zero there exist integers x, y such that

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b).$$

Since $11 \cdot 100 + 17 = 22 \cdot 8 + 11$ result
of $11 \cdot 100 + 17 = 22 \cdot 8 + 11$ is 11

Proof: Apply the Euclidean algorithm to a, b , the back-substitution step expresses the gcd as an integer linear combination of a and b .

To find the inverse of 97 modulo 385 we need integers x, y with $97x + 385y = 1$.

Extend Euclidean

$$385 = 3 \cdot 97 + 94 \text{ (div)}$$

$$97 = 1 \cdot 94 + 3 \text{ (div)}$$

$$94 = 31 \cdot 3 + 1 \text{ (div)}$$

$$3 = 3 \cdot 1 + 0$$

Back-substitution:

$$1 = 94 - 31 \cdot 3$$

$$3 = 3 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 94 \Rightarrow 1 = 94 - 31(97 - 94)$$

$$1 = 32 \cdot 94 - 31 \cdot 97$$

$$1 = 32(385 - 3 \cdot 97) \Rightarrow 1 = 32(385 - 3 \cdot 97)$$

$$m \cdot 1 - 31 \cdot 9x = 32 \cdot 385 \div 127 \cdot 9x \quad (\text{mod } 385)$$

Thus $1 - 127 \cdot 9x + 32 \cdot 385 = 1$; so, -127 is an inverse of $9x \pmod{385}$.

$$-127 \equiv 385 - 127 = 258 \pmod{385}$$

Step 3: We have found an inverse.

With Euclidean algorithm we have also

Bezout's identity:

For integers a, b not both zero there exist integers x, y with $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$. The Euclidean algorithm produces these x, y by back-substitution, so solutions exists and are computable.

To find x with $43x \equiv 1 \pmod{240}$

Euclidean algorithm: $\gcd(43, 240) = 1$

$$(240 - 5 \cdot 43) \cdot x \equiv 1 \pmod{43}$$

$$240 - 43 = 1 \cdot 25 + 18$$

$$25 = 1 \cdot 18 + 7$$

$$18 = 2 \cdot x + 4$$

$$x = 1 \cdot 4 + 3$$

$$4 = 1 \cdot 3 + 1$$

$$3 = 1 \cdot 1 + 0$$

Back-substitute to express 1 as $43x$.

$$+240y:$$

$$1 = 4 - 1 \cdot 3$$

$$3 = x - (1 \cdot 4) \Rightarrow 1 = 2 \cdot 4 - x$$

$$4 = 18 - 2 \cdot x \Rightarrow 1 = 2(18 - 2 \cdot x) - x$$

$$\{ \text{from } 1 \text{ and } 2 \} \Rightarrow 1 = 2 \cdot 18 - 5 \cdot x$$

$$(39 \setminus 5) 25 - 1 \cdot 18 \Rightarrow 1 = 2 \cdot 18 - 5(25 - 18)$$

$$\text{from } 1 \text{ and } 3 \Rightarrow 1 = x \cdot 18 - 5 \cdot 25$$

$$(918 - 43 \cdot 18 - 1 \cdot 25) \Rightarrow 1 = x(43 - 25) - 5 \cdot 25$$

$$(918 - 43 \cdot 18 - 1 \cdot 25) \Rightarrow 1 = x \cdot 43 - 12 \cdot 25$$

$$25 = 240 - 5 \cdot 43 \Rightarrow 1 = x \cdot 43 - 12(240 - 5 \cdot 43)$$
$$= 63 \cdot 43 - 12 \cdot 240$$

thus $67 \cdot 43 - 12 \cdot 240 = 1$ \Rightarrow $a^{-1} \equiv 67$
is a inverse.

13.

Ans: Examples of stuff, like books

Fermat's Little theorem statement and proof:

Let p be prime number and integer a with $p \nmid a$. Then.

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$x + (x+1) + \dots + (x+p-1) \equiv p \pmod{p}$$

Proof: The set $G = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$

forms a multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of size $p-1$. Multiplying each element by a permutes G . Hence,

$$\prod_{x \in G} x \equiv \prod_{x \in G} (ax) \equiv a^{p-1} \prod_{x \in G} x \pmod{p}$$

Cancel $\prod_{x \in G} x \neq 0$ to get $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Using FLT as a (probable) Primality Test

For prime, p any a with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ must satisfy $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. If some a fails, p is composite. If all a tested pass, p is "probable prime," but not guaranteed prime (Carmichael numbers are counterexamples)

Is 561 prime by FLT?

$561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ (composite). Yet 561 is a Carmichael number, so for all a coprime to 561, $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$. Thus Fermat's test would incorrectly label 561 as "probable prime."

Conclusion: 561 is not prime even though it passes FLT for many bases.

Compute $5^{123} \pmod{175}$

Since, $175 = 25 \cdot 7$ and 5 is not coprime to 25:

Modulo 25, $5^{123} \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$

Modulo 7, $5^{123} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$

$$\rightarrow \text{Mod } 25: 5^{123} \equiv 25 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 5^{123} \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Mod } x: \gcd(5, x) = 1 \text{ and by PLT, } 5^6 \equiv 1$$

$$\text{Now, } 123 \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \Rightarrow 5^{123} \equiv 5^3 \equiv 125 \equiv 6 \pmod{x}$$

$$125 \equiv 6 \pmod{x}$$

(Solve by CRT)

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{25}, x \equiv 6 \pmod{x}$$

$$\text{Let, } x = 25k \text{ then } 25k \equiv 6 \pmod{x}$$

$$4k \equiv 6 \pmod{x} \Rightarrow k \equiv 5 \pmod{x}$$

$$\text{smallest } k = 5 \Rightarrow x = 25 \cdot 5 = 125$$

$$125 \pmod{x}$$

$$125 \pmod{x}$$

$$125 \pmod{x}$$

Ans:

Statement: (Pairwise coprime moduli)

\rightarrow If m_1, \dots, m_n are pairwise coprime and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the system $x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$ has a unique solution modulo $M = m_1, \dots, m_n$.

Proof (Constructive):

Let, $M_i^o = M/m_i$, and choose y_i with $M_i^o y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$. Then,

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n a_i M_i^o y_i \pmod{M}$$

solves the system;

$$x \equiv 2(3), x \equiv 3(5), x \equiv 2(7)$$

Hence, $M = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$

$$M_1 = 35, 35 \equiv 2(3), y_1 = 2 \Rightarrow e_1 = 35 \cdot 2 = 70;$$

$$M_2 = 21, 21 \equiv 1(5), y_2 = 1 \Rightarrow e_2 = 21;$$

$$M_3 = 15, 15 \equiv 1(7), y_3 = 1 \Rightarrow e_3 = 15.$$

Then,

$$x \equiv 2e_1 + 3e_2 + 2e_3 = 2 \cdot 70 + 3 \cdot 21 + 2 \cdot 15 = 233$$

$$\equiv 23 \pmod{105}$$

15. CIA Triad (Information Security):

- Confidentiality: Prevent unauthorized disclosure of data. Mechanisms: encryption, access control, least privilege data classification.
- Integrity: ensure data is accurate unaltered. Mechanisms: hashes / MACs, digital signatures, checksums, sequencing, input validation.
- Availability: ensure systems / data are accessible when needed. Mechanism: redundancy, failover, backups, DDoS protection, capacity planning, monitoring.

16. Ans: $8 \cdot 8 + 0 \cdot 8 = 64$ bits + 16 bits

Steganography vs Cryptography:

- Cryptography hides the content but not the existence of a message.

→ Steganography hides the existence of a message by embedding it in innocuous carriers

Common Stego. technique:

→ Spatial-domain LSB substitution in images

→ Transform-domain embedding: DCT (JPEG), DWT (wavelets).

→ Spread-spectrum and echo hiding.

→ Masking/brightness/alpha tweaks, palette manipulation.

→ Text Stego: White space, homoglyphs, synonym substitution, markup / meta field

→ Network Stego: Converts channels in headers.

Ques: Distinguish between Phishing, Malware and Dos.

Ans: Phishing vs Malware vs Dos:

→ Phishing: Social-engineering to steal credentials / PII via deceptive emails / websites / SMS.

Impact: account takeover, fraud, initial foothold for further attacks.

Countmeasures: user training, phishing-resistant MFA (FIDO2), DMA RC/SPE/DKIM, URL filtering.

→ Malware: Malicious software, Delivered by phishing, drive-by downloads, exploits USB, malware, rootkits, droppers

Impact: data theft, encryption, botnet, enlistment.

Count measure: EDR/AV, patching, least privilege, application,

→ DoS / DDoS — Overwhelm resources / bandwidth to deny service. Methods: volumetric floods, protocol attacks, application layer.

Impact: downtime, SLA penalties,

Countmeasures: scrubbing / CDN, rate limiting.

18.

Ans: The way GDPR helps mitigate attacks and protect privacy is given below-

Principles:

Principles: Lawfulness, fairness, transparency, purpose limitation, data minimization, accuracy, storage limitation, integrity & ~~conf~~ confidentiality, accountability.

Security obligations: Appropriate technical and organizational measures.

Privacy by design/default: integrate controls early.

Data subject right: access/rectification
erasure/portability/destruction.
Breach notification: controllers must notify authority within 72h and when high risk effected individuals.

DPIA & DPO: Risk assessment and governance.

Enforcement: Heavy fines incentivize compliance.

19. Ans:

DES basics: 64-bit, 56-bit key, Feistel structure, iterative process, reversible.

→ Block/key: Input: 64-bit block.

→ Initial Permutation: Bitwise permutation of the 64 bit input.

→ Feistel structure - 16 rounds: Split input after IP into L₀, R₀. For round $i = 1, \dots, 16$:

$$L_i = R_{i-1}; \quad R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(R_{i-1}, K_i)$$

→ Expansion E: expand r_{i-1} from 32 to 48 bits.

→ Key mixing: XOR with 48-bit subkey k_i .

→ S box: eight $6 \rightarrow 4$ nonlinear substitution.

→ Permutation: permute the 32 bit S-box output.

→ Swap and final permutation (IP^{-1}): after round 16, swap halves and apply IP^{-1} to get the 64 bit ciphertext. IP^{-1} also has no cryptographic strength.

Ans: DES, First round

Given:
 $R_0 = 0x1F0F0F0F0F$, $K_1 = 0x0F0F0F0F0F$ and
 $L_0 = 0xAFFFFFFF$

Under the XOR-only assumption take the 32-bit part of the key and compute
 $f(R_0, K_1) = R_0 \oplus K_1 \approx 0x0F0F0F0F \oplus 0x0F0F0F$

$0F0F = 0xFFFFFFF$, all 0's values padded to 32 bits.

Then the feistel update is

$$L_1 = R_0 = 0xF0F0F0F0,$$

$$R_1 = L_0 \oplus f(R_0; K_1) = 0xAAAAAA$$

$$\oplus 0xFFFFFFF = 0x55555555$$

21. AES Subbytes using the partial S-box provided in question

→ Input word: $[0x23, 0xA7, 0x4C, 0x19]$

Index S-box by hex row = high nibble

col = low nibble.

→ $0x23 \Rightarrow$ row 2, col 3 → D4

→ $0xA7 \Rightarrow$ row A, col 7 → 63

→ $0x4C \Rightarrow$ row 4, col C → 2E

→ $0x19 \Rightarrow$ row 1, col 9 → C6

Output word: 0XD4, 0X63, 0X 2E ; 0XC6.

22. AES Add Round Key

→ Input: [0X1A, 0X2B, 0X3C, 0X4D]

Round key: [0X55, 0X66, 0X77, 0X88]

compute XOR per byte:

$$\rightarrow 0X1A \oplus 0X55 = 0X4F$$

$$\rightarrow 0X2B \oplus 0X66 = 0X4D$$

$$\rightarrow 0X3C \oplus 0X77 = 0X4B$$

$$\rightarrow 0X4D \oplus 0X88 = 0XC5$$

23. AES Mix Columns:

→ Use Rijndael's fixed matrix over GF(2⁸)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 02 & 03 & 01 & 01 \\ 01 & 02 & 03 & 01 \\ 01 & 01 & 02 & 03 \\ 03 & 01 & 01 & 02 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_0 = 01, a_1 = 02 \\ a_2 = 03, a_3 = 04$$

Rules in GF(2⁸) (poly $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$)

2. $x = x \text{ time}(x)$, 3. $x = (2 \cdot x) \oplus x$.

Pre compute:

$$\rightarrow 2 \cdot 01 = 02, 2 \cdot 02 = 04, 2 \cdot 06 = 0B, 2 \cdot 04 = 08$$

$$\rightarrow 3 \cdot 01 = 03, 3 \cdot 02 = 06, 3 \cdot 03 = 05, 3 \cdot 04 = 01$$

Now, the outputs

$$\rightarrow \pi_0 = 2a_0 \oplus 3a_1 + 1a_2 \oplus 1a_3 = 02 \oplus 06 \oplus 03 \oplus 04 \\ \oplus 03 = 0A$$

$$\rightarrow \pi_1 = 1a_0 \oplus 2a_1 \oplus 3a_2 \oplus 1a_3 = 01 \oplus 04 \oplus 05 \\ \oplus 04 = 04$$

$$\rightarrow \pi_2 = 1a_0 \oplus 2a_1 \oplus 2a_2 \oplus 3a_3 = 01 \oplus 02 \oplus 06 \\ \oplus 0C = 09$$

$$\rightarrow \pi_3 = 3a_0 \oplus 1a_1 \oplus 1a_2 \oplus 2a_3 = 03 \oplus 02 \oplus 03 \\ \oplus 08 = 0A$$

output column: $[0x03, 0x04, 0x05, 0x0A]^T$

in binary: 00000011, 000000100, 00001001,

00001010.

first block half + pad same diff

interchanging diff

24. AES-OFB mode:

→ How it works:

$$O_0 = E_K(IV); \text{ for } i > 1; O_i = E_K(O_{i-1})$$

Encrypt: $c_i = p_i \oplus O_i$, Decrypt: $p_i = c_i \oplus O_i$

→ Synchronization: Both sides must share the same IV and process the same number of blocks - the keystream is independent of the plaintext. Losing or inserting bits breaks sync.

→ Properties: No padding, bit error in C_i flip only the corresponding bit in P_i (no. Never reuse an IV with the same key → that would reuse the keystream).

25. Ans:

Error propagation (CBC vs CFB),

→ CBC: $C_i = E_K(P_i \oplus C_{i-1})$

A 1-bit error in $C_i \Rightarrow$

→ P_i decrypts to a random-looking block,

→ The same bit in P_{i+1} flips.

Later blocks OK.

CFB :

A 1-bit error in $C_i \Rightarrow$

\rightarrow that bit flips in P_i

\rightarrow The next segment P_{i+1} is garbled
then recovery.

Impact: CBC causes one full-block corruption + 1 flipped bit next block

CFB causes one flipped bit then one garbled next segment.

26: Mode for large, parallel processing:

CTR

\rightarrow ECB: parallelizable but leaks patterns

\rightarrow CBC: hides patterns, but encryption not parallelizable, decryption can be

parallelized.

→ CRT: $C_i = P_i \oplus E_K(\text{Nonce}_i)$.

Each keystream block is independent \Rightarrow full parallelism, random access, precomputation, no padding

Requirements: Never reuse the same counter under a key.

Recommendation: Use CTR.

27: Ans:

Tiny RSA:

$$M=4, e=5, n=14$$

Decrypt with $d=11$

$$\text{Encrypt: } C = M^e \bmod n = 1^5 \bmod 14 = 1$$

$$\text{Decrypt: } M' = C^d \bmod n = 1^{11} \bmod 14 = 1$$

28. Ans:

RSA signature

given hash $H(M) = 5$

private key $d = 3, n = 33$

Signature $s \equiv H(M)^d \pmod{n} = 5^3 \pmod{33}$

$$5^3 \pmod{33} = 125 \pmod{33} = 125 - 99 = 26$$

Verification check: $s^e \equiv H(M) \pmod{n}$
with the public key.

29 : Ans

Diffie-Hellman ($p = 17, g = 3$)

privat: $a = 4$ (Aleya), $b = 5$ (Badol)

public keys:

$$\rightarrow \text{Aleya: } A = g^a \bmod p = 3^4 \bmod 17 \\ = 81 \bmod 17 = 13$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Balot: } B = g^b \bmod p = 3^5 \bmod 17 \\ = 243 \bmod 17 = 5$$

Shared secret = $B^a \equiv A^b \equiv 13 \pmod{17}$.

(reborn) (M)H \equiv e + shared multiplication of
keys with others

$$e = B^a \pmod{17} \\ e = 5^4 \pmod{17} \\ e = 625 \pmod{17} \\ e = 11 \pmod{17}$$

$$(e+B)(M+H) \pmod{17} \\ (11+5)(M+H) \pmod{17} \\ 16(M+H) \pmod{17}$$

$$16(M+H) \pmod{17} \\ 16M + 16H \pmod{17} \\ 16M \pmod{17} \quad (\text{since } 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{17})$$

$$16M \pmod{17} \\ M \pmod{17} \quad (\text{since } 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{17})$$

30. Ans: simple hash $H(x) = (\sum \text{ASCII chars of } x) \bmod 100$

simple hash $H(x) = (\sum \text{ASCII chars of } x) \bmod 100$.

→ ASCII: A = 65, B = 66

→ For "AB": $H(AB) = (65 + 66) \bmod 100$

→ For "BA": $H(BA) = (66 + 65) \bmod 100$

$(66 + 65) \bmod 100 = 131 \bmod 100 = 31$

→ For "BA": $H(BA) = (66 + 65) \bmod 100$

So, AB and BA produce the same hash.

Implication: This shows the function is not collision resistant. A cryptographic hash must make finding distinct input with the same output infeasible. This simple sum modulo 100 is ~~not~~ trivial to collide, so it is un-

suitable for cryptographic hash.

31. Ans:

MAC = (Message + Secret Key)

Given message = 15, key = 7.

Compute MAC,

$$MAC = (15 + 7) \bmod 17 = 22 \bmod$$

If attacker changes message to 10 but does not know the key.

→ Without any MAC oracle or knowledge of the original MAC the attacker can not compute

the correct MAC.

→ However, if the attacker observes the original valid MAC, they can compute the new MAC;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MAC}_{10} &= \text{MAC}_{15} + (10 + 15) \bmod 17 \\ &= 5 + (-5) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so, this MAC scheme is insecure because it is linear.

32. Ans:

TLS handshake - steps & how symmetric keys are established typical simplified handshake:

1. Client Hello: Client sends supported versions, cipher suites, random nonce

2. ServerHello; Server picks version
sends Server Random.

3. Server Certificate; Server sends its
certificate for authentication.

4. Server key Exchange; for Ephemeral
Diffie-Hellman.

5. ServerHello Done.

6. Client key exchange;

→ RSA key exchange; Client generates
a pre-master secret and encrypts

it with server's key.

→ (EC) ~~DHE~~; Client sends its
Diffie-Hellman public value

enabling shared secret derivation.

7. Both sides computes master secret from pre-master + Client Random + Server Random, then derive symmetric session key.

8. ChangeCipherSpec; Client and server signal switching to the negotiated cipher and verify the handshake.

How symmetric key Establish secretly:

→ Either via asymmetric encryption of a pre-master secret (RSA) or via DHE / ECDHE. DHE / ECDHE provides forward secrecy.

The session keys are derived from ephemeral DH secrets that are not recoverable from the server's long-term private key along.

33. Ans:

SSH layered architecture - layers

SSH is organized into three main protocol layers (running over TCP)

1. Transport layer Protocol;

→ Provides server authentication.

→ After this layer, a secure channel is established for higher layers.

2. User Authentication Protocol

→ Authenticates the client to the server.

→ Uses the secure channel from the transport layer protocol to protect credentials.

3. Connection Protocol:

- Multiplexes multiple logical channels over the single SSH connection
- Provides channel-level request and flow control.

34. Ans:

TLS Steps:

1. Client Hello

2. ServerHello, Server certificate
server hello done.

3. Client key Exchange

4. Both compute master secret → derive symmetric keys.

5. Client Change Cipher Spec, Client Finished, Server Change Cipher Spec, Server Finished.

6. Secure application data channel established.

35. Ans:

Elliptic curve over a finite field.

\Rightarrow

General form over finite field \mathbb{F}_p

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$$

with ~~a, b~~, the non-singularity condition $4a^3 + 27b^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

why use cryptography:

- The points on the curve form an group. the Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithm Problem.
- Security per bit is higher
- Efficient implementations, small key/certificate size and suitability for constrained devices make ECC popular for modern protocols.

36. Ans:

ECC achieves the same security as RSA with a smaller key size because the Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithm Problem is mathematically harder than the integer factorization problem used in RSA.

- In RSA, security depends on the difficulty of factoring a large number $n = pq$.
- In ECC, security depends on finding K given P and KP (ECDLP), which has no known sub-exponential time algorithm for general curves.

ECC key can be much shorter while attacking offering the same computational difficulty to an attacker.

shorter keys - faster computation, less bandwidth, smaller certificates.

3x. Ans:

given, $P = (3, 6)$

curve: $y^r \equiv x^3 + 2x + 3 \pmod{97}$

point: $P = (3, 6)$

Step 1: Calculate $y^r \pmod{97}$

$$y^r = 6^r = 36$$

$$(7 \text{ bnm})^4 \cdot B = 104$$

Step 2: Calculate $x^3 + 2x + 3 \pmod{97}$

$$x^3 = 3^3 = 27$$

$$x^3 + 2x + 3 = 27 + (2 \cdot 3) + 3 = 27 + 6 + 3$$

$$(2 \text{ bnm})^3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 = 27 + 6 + 3 = 36$$

$$(2 \text{ bnm})^3 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 = 27 + 6 + 3 = 36$$

Step 3: Compares both sides;

$$36 \bmod 97 = 36$$

Both sides are equal \Rightarrow Yes, P lies on the curve.

38^o Ans:

EIGramal Encryption:

Given, $p=23, g=5, h=8, m=10, k=6$

Formula:

$$\rightarrow c_1 = g^k \pmod{p}$$

$$\rightarrow c_2 = m \cdot h^k \pmod{p}$$

Step 1:

$$5^6 = 25 \equiv 2 \pmod{23}$$

$$5^6 = 5^4 \cdot 5^2 \equiv 2^2 = 4$$

$$5^6 = 5^4 \cdot 5^2 \equiv 4 \cdot 2 = 8 \pmod{23}$$

Step 2:

$$h^K = 8^6 \pmod{23}$$

$$8^6 \equiv 64 \equiv 18$$

$$8^4 \equiv 18^2 \equiv 324 \equiv 2$$

$$8^6 \equiv 8^4 \cdot 8^2 \equiv 2 \cdot 18 = 36 \equiv 13$$

Step 3:

$$c_2 = m \cdot h^K \equiv 10 \cdot 13 \equiv 130 \equiv 15 \pmod{23}$$

ans: $(c_1, c_2) = (8, 15)$

39. Ans:

Lightweight cryptography in IoT;

→ Lightweight cryptography in IoT is important for IoT because IoT device often have limited CPU power, memory

and battery life. These algorithms are designed to use minimal computational resources, low power and small memory footprint, while still ensuring security.

Example Algorithm:

→ PRESENT cipher → a lightweight block cipher widely used in IoT for low-power devices.

40. Ans:

Three IoT specific attack and mitigation:

1. Firmware Hijacking: Attacker modifies device's firmware to insert malicious code.

Mitigation: Secure boot, firmware signing and regular updates.

2. Physical Tampering: Attacker physically accesses the device to extract keys or modify hardware.

Mitigation: Tamper-resistant casing
③. ~~intend~~ intrusion detection sensors.

3. Botnets - IoT devices infected and controlled remotely to perform DDoS attacks.

Mitigation: Strong authentication, disable unused services, keep software update

[IT-21034]