

Consolidated Peer Evaluation Form

Instructions:

- 1. This evaluation form must be accomplished by the group members after an *open deliberation* conducted among the group members, with every member in attendance and concurring with the results.
- 2. Under the first column, write down the names, *in alphabetical order by family name*, of all the group members.
- 3. For each group member, write down, under the columns **C1** through **C5**, the *objective evaluation* of the member, for each of the indicated criteria, using the specified numeric rating scale. Write down the total evaluation (i.e., the *sum* of **C1** through **C5**) for the member under the **T** column.
- 4. For each group member, write down, under the **Remarks** column, a *concise phrase* that best describes the *“value”* of the member to the group. In recognition of each member’s individuality, each and every group member *must* be provided with a *unique* remark.
- 5. In the space provided, write down the names of the group members and affix each member’s signature.
- 6. Cut the form along the indicated dotted line and *submit the bottom portion only*.

Criteria:

- [C1] attends scheduled group activities regularly and on time, and makes effective use of the activity period
- [C2] actively participates in group discussions, planning, and decision making, and takes responsibility for a “fair share” of the group’s workload
- [C3] completes assigned tasks and delivers quality output in a timely manner
- [C4] communicates effectively and democratically with group mates
- [C5] collaborates with group mates on group tasks, and works independently on individual assignments

Rating Scale:

5 – Excellent 4 – Very Satisfactory 3 – Satisfactory 2 – Barely Satisfactory 1 – Unsatisfactory 0 – No Rating



cut along this line

Consolidated Peer Evaluation Form

Class Code: 9358A

Schedule: 3:00 – 4:00 WS

Names of Group Members (family name first, In alphabetical order)	Criteria					T	Remarks
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5		
Andres, Erythrina Nicole C.	4	5	5	4	5	23	Technical Analyzer and Wordsmith
Baldovino, Brittany M.	4	5	5	5	5	24	Compiler and Creative Web Designer
Doria, Janine Q.	4	4	4	5	4	21	Decent Writer and Good Listener
Mascardo, Naider N.	3	4	3	5	5	20	Competent and Industrious Author
Tagle, Christine B.	4	5	5	4	5	23	Comms. Manager, Content Compiler

We, the undersigned, attest to the objectivity of the foregoing evaluations.

Evaluators: Baldovino, Brittany M. Feb/25/2018 Mascardo, Naider N. Feb/25/2018  
(signatures over printed names/date signed)

Andres, Erythrina Nicole C. Feb/25/2018 Tagle, Christine B. Feb/25/2018 Doria, Janine Q. Feb/25/2018  
(signatures over printed names/date signed)