# Module 8 Test Driven Development

CS W169A: Software Engineering

#### 1 Overview

In our discussions of the Agile lifecycle, we discussed two aspects of software assurance: validation ("Did you build the right thing?") and verification ("Did you build the thing right?"). Behavior Driven Design helps us communicate effectively with the customer to perform validation. In this worksheet, we'll focus on verification, specifically how to check if we've built the thing right using software testing.

# 2 FIRST in Practice

Recall, the FIRST principles are a set a guidelines for writing precise and effective unit tests. FIRST stands for fast, independent, repeatable, self-checking, and timely. Given the code below, determine what FIRST principle is not being followed, and why. Determine how you might edit the test to resolve its inadequacy.

```
In the HomeController.rb file

def index
    if Time.now.tuesday?
        render 'special_index'
    else
        render 'index'
    end
end

In the HomeControllerSpec.rb file
it "should_render_special_template_on_Tuesdays" do
    get 'index'
if Time.now.tuesday?
    response.should render_template('special_index')
    else
        response.should render_template('index')
    end
end
```

# 3 Calling Triple A

The most popular pattern for the anatomy of a unit test is the Arrange, Act, Assert method. A simple example of AAA in JavaScript: In this exercise, let's implement each of these stages for a BankAccount class.

Here is the BankAccount class code:

```
class BankAccount
  attr_reader :total

def initialize(amount)
   @total = amount
  end

def cash(amount)
   @total += amount
  end
end
```

Fill in the below unit test, following the Triple A paradigm, to test whether the cash method works. Initialize the bank account with amount 100. Cash an amount of 1. Indicate that the expected total after cash is called is 101.

```
RSpec.describe BankAccount do

# Arrange Here

describe '#cash' do
   it 'adds_the_passed_amount_to_total' do
        # Act Here

# Assert Here

end
end
end
```

# 4 TDD with RSpec

We've already seen a variant of the AAA anatomy in Cucumber, where the preconditions are typically Given steps, the actions taken are typically When steps, and the postconditions are typically expressed in Then steps.

In the RSpec framework, each test case is called an example, and although the terminology is a bit different, the concepts are the same. Each example will:

- Arrange: Set up some preconditions. Like Cucumber, preconditions can be placed in a before (:each) block that is run before each example.
- Act: Execute some code (i.e. call class method)...

- Assert: Check 1+ expectations to verify behavior correctness. RSpec can express many expectation types::
  - Equality: Computed value equals some known value
  - Set Inclusion: Computed collection includes some element
  - Method Invoked: Particular method should get called
  - Mutation: Some expressions' values should have changed (i.e. collection length after new element).

<b>Testing Concept</b>	In Cucumber/Capybara	In RSpec
Single test case	Scenario block	example using it (or the alias specify)
Collection of related test	Feature (.feature file)	describe or context group of examples
cases		
Preconditions within a test	Steps identified by Given	Statements iwthin example that setup pre-
	keyword	conditions
Preconditions used by many	Background section of	before (:each) section of a describe
related test	feature file	or context block
Postconditions	Steps identified by Then	expectations, often using RSpec expect

# 4.1 Practice RSpec TDD

Given a class definition for a LinkedList, with two methods:

- 1. add: Adds an item to the list
- 2. count: Returns number of items in the list

Write RSpec tests for both methods.

end

```
describe "LinkedList" do

describe "adding_an_item" do

it "should_increase_the_count_by_1" do

end
end
describe "#count" do

it "should_start_at_zero" do

end
end
```

#### 5 Seams

Suppose you have a social messaging network app in which each Member maintains a list of friends (also Members). When any Member calls tell\_my\_friends (message), the app should arrange to deliver that message by calling the hear\_news (message) on each friend. The hear\_news method propagates the message to their friends as well.

```
class Member
  def tell_my_friends(message)
    # notify all my friends of the message
  end
  def hear_news(message)
    # this gets called when one of my friends posts a message
    # it makes sure the message is also forwarded to their friends
  end
  def friends
    # returns a collection of all my friends, each is a Member
  end
end
```

How would you test that this code works correctly? **HINT**: your strategy should be to "spy" on the receive\_news method for each friend to make sure that it is called on all my friends. Note that you have to set up a "spy" for that method using the receive() expectation *before* you call the method that might generate those calls.

```
describe "sending_news" do
  before(:each) do
    @person = Member.first
    @message = Message.new("Great_news!")
end
it "sends_the_news_to_all_my_friends" do
    @person.friends.each do |friend|

end

end

# bonus: ensure that all Members who are NOT my friends
# do NOT receive the news
it "does_not_send_the_news_to_people_who_are_not_my_friends" do

@not_my_friends.each do |stranger|

end
end
end
```

#### 6 Fixtures & Factories

To test effectively, we will need some mock data. That's where factories and fixtures come into play! A fixture is some static data that can be preloaded as test data before any tests are run. A factory is a method that creates a particular kind of object just-in-time when you need it for a particular test. Read submodule 8.6 of the textbook for a great shortlist of testing keywords.

### 6.1 Conceptual

Discuss pros and cons regarding the use of either Factories or Fixtures in tests.

## 6.2 Mock Data Case Study

#### 6.2.1 Manual Creation

Suppose we have a Animal model with a single name attribute. If I want to test objects of the model with both valid and invalid states, that's pretty straightforward with manual data creation:

```
valid_animal = Animal.new(name: 'Harold')
invalid_animal = Animal.new(name: '')
```

However, this approach can become tediously and unscalable when dealing with more complex class inheritance and models with more variegated fields. For instance, let's say a Zoo model is made up of a safari\_zone and a jungle\_zone, both of which have multiple Animal objects. Suppose zoo also has additional fields including isOpen, ticket\_price, and capacity.

```
sf_zoo = Zoo.create!(
    safari_zone: [
        Animal.create(name: 'Zach', species: 'Zebra', isNocturnal: false),
        Animal.create(name: 'Harry', species: 'Hyena', isNocturnal: true),
        l,
        jungle_zone: [
            Animal.create(name: 'Harold', species: 'Hippo', isNocturnal: false),
            Animal.create(name: 'Martin', species: 'Monkey', isNocturnal: true),
            Animal.create(name: 'Fred', species: 'Frog', isNocturnal: false),
        l,
        isOpen: true,
        ticket_price: 50,
        capacity: 3400,
)
```

Imagine having to come up with the above and more. We had to spend time arbitrarily coming up with details that may not even necessarily be relevant. What's worse is that if anything about the Animal or Zoo models change, our old data may become unusable, or at least requires updating.

#### 6.2.2 Factories

The advantage of the factory is that you have methods that generate new class instances for you. With the Factory Bot gem (link), we can create instances of a class while only having to specify values relevant to our tests.

Let's say we writing a test on the Zoo object that counts the number of animals that will be awake during the Zoo's park hours based on whether the animal is nocturnal or not. Instead of manually creating a zoo object like the above, we could do the following:

```
zoo = FactoryBot.create(
    :zoo,
    safari_zone: [
        FactoryBot.create(:Animal, isNocturnal: false),
        FactoryBot.create(:Animal, isNocturnal: true),
    ],
    jungle_zone: [
        FactoryBot.create(:Animal, isNocturnal: false),
        FactoryBot.create(:Animal, isNocturnal: true),
        FactoryBot.create(:Animal, isNocturnal: false),
    ],
)
```

Once again, we're specifying only the details that are relevant to the test! An added bonus is that even if the Zoo model is updated with more fields or existing fields (not isNocturnal) are changed, the Factory Bot mock is unaffected and the test based on this mock object would still work!

#### 6.2.3 Fixtures

Fixtures add a layer of abstraction between the data and the mock objects by placing the test data in a separate YAML file. For the above example, we might do something like the following:

In config/database/animals.yml