

HAND IN

Answers recorded on exam paper

Do all 10 questions. Student#:

CISC/CMPE223 Exam, April 28, 2023 Page 1 of 12

Queen's University, Faculty of Arts and Science, School of Computing
CISC/CMPE223 Final Exam, April 28, 2023 (Instructor: Kai Salomaa)

INSTRUCTIONS

- **Aids allowed:** You may bring in one 8.5 × 11 inch sheet of paper containing notes, and use it during the exam. The sheet can be written/printed on both sides.
- This examination is **THREE HOURS** in length. Answer all 10 questions.
- **Answer each question in the space provided (on the question paper).** There are two extra pages at the end of the exam, if more space is needed. **Please write legibly.**

PLEASE NOTE: Proctors are unable to respond to queries about the interpretation of exam questions. Do your best to answer exam questions as written.

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

STUDENT NUMBER:

One digit in each square, please!

STUDENT NUMBER (written in words):

Circle your course:

CISC-223

CMPE-223

IMPORTANT

Problem 1	/4
Problem 2	/3
Problem 3	/3
Problem 4	/6
Problem 5	/6
Problem 6	/5
Problem 7	/6
Problem 8	/6
Problem 9	/5
Problem 10	/6
Total	/50

HAND IN

Answers recorded on exam paper

This material is copyrighted and is for the sole use of students registered in CISC/CMPE223 and writing this exam. This material shall not be distributed or disseminated. Failure to abide by these conditions is a breach of copyright and may also constitute a breach of academic integrity under the University Senate's Academic Integrity Policy Statement.


1. Consider the context-free grammar

$$S \longrightarrow 0S1 \mid 00S \mid S11 \mid \varepsilon$$


Here S is the start nonterminal, and 0, 1 are terminals.

- (i) Give a parse tree for the string 000111.
- (ii) Give a parse tree for the string 001111.
- (iii) Is the above grammar ambiguous? Justify your answer.
- (iv) Is the language generated by the grammar regular? Circle the correct answer:
YES NO (The answer to (iv) does not require an explanation.)

2. Using the systematic method described in the course, convert the below state diagram with ε -transitions into an equivalent state diagram without ε -transitions. The alphabet is $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\}$.



3. In this question $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Using the systematic method described in the course convert the below state diagram into an equivalent **regular expression**. Your answer should include the intermediate step(s) used in the construction.



4. (i) (2 marks) Using left-factoring and/or elimination of left-recursion give grammars equivalent to the below two grammars where the immediate problems preventing use of recursive-descent parsing have been removed. Capital letters denote variables and the set of terminals is $\{a, b, c, d\}$.

(a) $S \rightarrow bcbSb \mid bcaSa \mid bcda \mid caa$

(b) $S \rightarrow Sbc \mid cda \mid Sad \mid Sdb \mid \epsilon$

- (ii) (4 marks) Give a deterministic pushdown automaton that recognizes the language

$$\{ a^i b^{2k} c^{2i} d^{2m} \mid i \geq 1, k \geq 1, m \geq 1 \}$$

5. Verify the validity of the following correctness statements **by adding all the intermediate assertions** (that is, give the proof tableau). All variables are of type `int`. Clearly state any mathematical facts and inference rules used.

(i) `ASSERT(y == 0 && z <= -2)`
`z = z - x;`
`y = y - z;`
`x = y - x;`
`ASSERT(x >= 2 && y + z < 5)`

(ii) `ASSERT(x >= 5 || (x <= 0 && y == 2))`
`z = x - y;`
`y = y + z;`
`x = y - z;`
`ASSERT(x == 2 || y > 3)`

6. For each of the following sets of strings over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, give a **regular expression** that denotes it. Below “or” always means “inclusive or”.

(i) All strings over $\{0, 1\}$ that have a substring 000 or have a prefix 111.

Regular expression:

(ii) All strings over $\{0, 1\}$ that have prefix 011 and have suffix 110. Note that the prefix and suffix may overlap.

Regular expression:

(iii) All strings over $\{0, 1\}$ that have an odd number of occurrences of the symbol 1 (and any number of 0's).

Regular expression:

(iv) All strings over $\{0, 1\}$ that do not have 000 as a substring.

Regular expression:

(v) All strings over $\{0, 1\}$ of even length that have 001 as a substring.

Regular expression:

7. Are the following languages A and B context-free or not context-free?

- If a language is context-free, give a context-free grammar that generates it.
- If a language is not context-free, prove that it is not context-free.

(i) $A = \{ a^i b^k c^\ell d^m \mid i = k + m, i \geq 0, k \geq 0, \ell \geq 0, m \geq 0 \}$

(ii) $B = \{ d^i c^{2k+1} b^k a^{2i+1} \mid i \geq 1, k \geq 1 \}$

8. For each question, **circle one answer**. If you circle more than one answer, it will be considered a wrong answer. If in doubt, it is to your advantage to make a guess.

(i) Let L be the language consisting of all strings over the alphabet $\{b, c\}$ having an equal number of b 's and c 's. The language L is denoted by the regular expression:

- (a) $(b + c)^* + (bb + cc)^*$
- (b) $(bc + cb + bbcc + bcbc + bccb + cbbc + cbcb + cccb)^*$
- (c) $((bc + cb)^* + (bbcc + bcbc + bccb + cbbc + cbcb + cccb)^*)^*$
- (d) None of the above.

(ii) Consider a context-free grammar that has productions $S \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta$ where α derives the empty string. Which of the below conditions always prevents the use of predictive recursive-descent parsing with this grammar:

- (a) $\text{FIRST}(S) \cap \text{FIRST}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$
- (b) $\text{FOLLOW}(S) \cap \text{FIRST}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$
- (c) $\text{FIRST}(S) \cap \text{FIRST}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$
- (d) $\text{FOLLOW}(S) \cap \text{FIRST}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$
- (e) None of the above.

(iii) Consider the correctness statement: $P \{ x = 3*x - 5; \} x \geq 0$ where x has type integer. The correctness statement is valid when P is the assertion:

- (a) false
- (b) $x \geq 1$
- (c) $x \leq 1$
- (d) None of the above.

(iv) Consider an inference rule for correctness statements: $\frac{Q_1\{C\}P_1 \quad Q_2\{C\}P_2}{Q_1 \parallel Q_2 \{C\} P_1 \parallel P_2}$. This inference rule is:

- (a) Generally valid.
- (b) Valid only if C has no assignments to variables used in the assertions P_1 and P_2 .
- (c) Valid only if C does not interfere with variables used in the assertions Q_1 and Q_2 .
- (d) None of the above.

(v) The Church-Turing thesis states that

- (a) The halting problem cannot be solved using programming languages (such as C) but it can be solved using Turing machines.
- (b) Turing machines cannot solve the halting problem.
- (c) Functional programming languages can implement certain functions that cannot be computed by Turing machines.
- (d) None of the above.

(vi) Let A be a solvable algorithmic problem. The following is true:

- (a) A is not reducible to any unsolvable algorithmic problem.
- (b) A is reducible to all unsolvable algorithmic problems.
- (c) A is reducible to some, but not to all, unsolvable algorithmic problems.
- (d) None of the above.

9. (i) (2 marks) What should the pre-condition P be in each of the following correctness statements for the statement to be an instance of Hoare's axiom scheme? All variables are of type int.

(a) $P \{ z = x + y; \} \text{Exists}(x = 0; x < z) \ 2 * x + z \geq 2 * y + t$

(b) $P \{ z = x + y; \} \text{Exists}(x = 0; x < 50) \ \text{ForAll}(y = 0; y < z) \ x + 2 * w \geq 2 * y + z$

- (ii) (3 marks) Use the array-component assignment axiom (two times) to find the most general sufficient pre-condition P for the following code fragment:

```
ASSERT(P) /*determine what is P*/  
A[j] = 3;  
A[k] = x+2;  
ASSERT( A[m] > A[k] )
```

Above A is an array of integers, x is an integer variable and we assume that all the subscripts are within the range of subscripts for A.

Write the assertion P first using the notation from the array-component assignment axiom, and then rewrite P in a logically equivalent form that does not contain any notation $(A \mid I \mapsto E)$. Show your work.

10. Assume a declarative interface where n and max are constant integers. Also A is an array of integers and we know that the entries in the segment $A[0:\text{max}]$ are defined. Consider the following (partial) correctness statement:

```
ASSERT( 1 <= n < max )
{ int i; i = 1;
  A[0] = 1;
  while( i < n ) { A[i] = A[i-1] + 4*i + 3;
                  i = i+1;
                } //end while
}
ASSERT(ForAll(k = 0; k < n) A[k] == 2*k*k + 5*k + 1)
```

Choose a loop invariant and give a **complete proof tableau** by adding all the intermediate assertions. Be sure to **clearly indicate what is your loop invariant**. Also state any mathematical facts used. Does the loop terminate? Explain your answer.

Do all 10 questions. Student#:

CISC/CMPE223 Exam, April 28, 2023 Page 11 of 12

1st extra page.

Do all 10 questions. Student#:

CISC/CMPE223 Exam, April 28, 2023 Page 12 of 12

2nd extra page.