

BCI Memory Retrieval and Encoding at the Cellular Level

Introduction

The cell fate of brain cells introduces complexity in the design of brain computer interfaces. However, control of cell fate might not be necessary to construct a minimal passive memory write system.

Simplified Approach for Minimal Memory Writing System

If the $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ coordinates (with respect to the brainstem) for all brain cells can be traced, brain cell states and synaptic firing can be modularly controlled, and other processes in the nervous system [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] are controlled then perhaps a passive memory writing process in the brain could be possible to develop systematically. All cells in the nervous system can be represented as nodes in a graph [1] or a vector space.

Improvements

Foundational understanding of the brain is likely to allow for more robust approaches. An approach that makes use of more natural components and technical iteration could lead to architectural improvements.

References:

1. Hopfield J. J. (1982). Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 79(8), 2554–2558.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554>
2. Le Duigou C, Simonnet J, Teleńczuk MT, Fricker D, Miles R. Recurrent synapses and circuits in the CA3 region of the hippocampus: an associative network. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2014;7:262. Published 2014 Jan 8. doi:10.3389/fncel.2013.00262
3. Sammons RP, Vezir M, Moreno-Velasquez L, et al. Structure and function of the hippocampal CA3 module. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2024;121(6):e2312281120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2312281120

4. Watson JF, Vargas-Barroso V, Morse-Mora RJ, et al. Human hippocampal CA3 uses specific functional connectivity rules for efficient associative memory. *Cell*. Published online December 6, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2024.11.022
5. Yadav, N., Noble, C., Niemeyer, J.E. et al. Prefrontal feature representations drive memory recall. *Nature* 608, 153–160 (2022).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04936-2>
6. Vaz AP, Wittig JH Jr, Inati SK, Zaghloul KA. Replay of cortical spiking sequences during human memory retrieval. *Science*. 2020;367(6482):1131-1134.
doi:10.1126/science.aba0672
7. Yaffe RB, Shaikhouni A, Arai J, Inati SK, Zaghloul KA. Cued Memory Retrieval Exhibits Reinstatement of High Gamma Power on a Faster Timescale in the Left Temporal Lobe and Prefrontal Cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2017;37(17):4472-4480.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3810-16.2017
8. Davidson, T. J., Kloosterman, F., & Wilson, M. A. (2009). Hippocampal replay of extended experience. *Neuron*, 63(4), 497–507.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.07.027>
9. Berners-Lee, A., Feng, T., Silva, D., Wu, X., Ambrose, E. R., Pfeiffer, B. E., & Foster, D. J. (2022). Hippocampal replays appear after a single experience and incorporate greater detail with more experience. *Neuron*, 110(11), 1829–1842.e5.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2022.03.010>
10. Carr, M., Jadhav, S. & Frank, L. Hippocampal replay in the awake state: a potential substrate for memory consolidation and retrieval. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 147–153 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2732>
11. Gupta, A. S., van der Meer, M. A., Touretzky, D. S., & Redish, A. D. (2010). Hippocampal replay is not a simple function of experience. *Neuron*, 65(5), 695–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.034>