

References

1. Clyde A. Hutchison, III *et al.*, Design and synthesis of a minimal bacterial genome. *Science* 351,aad6253(2016).DOI:10.1126/science.aad6253
2. Goold, H.D., Kroukamp, H., Erpf, P.E. et al. Construction and iterative redesign of synXVI a 903 kb synthetic *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chromosome. *Nat Commun* 16, 841 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-55318-3>
3. Greg Schuette et al. ,ChromoGen: Diffusion model predicts single-cell chromatin conformations.*Sci. Adv.*11,eadr8265(2025).DOI:10.1126/sciadv.adr8265
4. DaSilva LF, Senan S, Patel ZM, et al. DNA-Diffusion: Leveraging Generative Models for Controlling Chromatin Accessibility and Gene Expression via Synthetic Regulatory Elements. Preprint. bioRxiv. 2024;2024.02.01.578352. Published 2024 Feb 1. doi:10.1101/2024.02.01.578352
5. Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science*. 2012 Aug 17;337(6096):816-21. doi: 10.1126/science.1225829. Epub 2012 Jun 28. PMID: 22745249; PMCID: PMC6286148.
6. Zhao C, Wang Y, Nie X, et al. Evaluation of the effects of sequence length and microsatellite instability on single-guide RNA activity and specificity. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2019;15(12):2641-2653. Published 2019 Oct 3. doi:10.7150/ijbs.37152
7. Eghbalsaid, S., Lawler, C., Petersen, B. et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated base editors and their prospects for mitochondrial genome engineering. *Gene Ther* 31, 209–223 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-023-00434-w>
8. Jiang, T., Henderson, J.M., Coote, K. et al. Chemical modifications of adenine base editor mRNA and guide RNA expand its application scope. *Nat Commun* 11, 1979 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15892-8>
9. Lin SW, Nguyen VQ, Lin S. Preparation of Cas9 Ribonucleoproteins for Genome Editing. *Bio Protoc*. 2022;12(10):e4420. Published 2022 May 20. doi:10.21769/BioProtoc.4420
10. Cheng H, Zhang F, Ding Y. CRISPR/Cas9 Delivery System Engineering for Genome Editing in Therapeutic Applications. *Pharmaceutics*. 2021;13(10):1649. Published 2021 Oct 9. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13101649
11. Kolb HC, Finn MG, Sharpless KB. Click Chemistry: Diverse Chemical Function from a Few Good Reactions. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2001;40(11):2004-2021. doi:10.1002/1521-3773(20010601)40:11<2004::AID-ANIE2004>3.0.CO;2-5
12. Scinto SL, Bilodeau DA, Hincapie R, et al. Bioorthogonal chemistry. *Nat Rev Methods Primers*. 2021;1:30. doi:10.1038/s43586-021-00028-z
13. Mitry, M. M., Greco, F., & Osborn, H. M. (2023). In vivo applications of bioorthogonal reactions: chemistry and targeting mechanisms. *Chemistry—A European Journal*, 29(20), e202203942.
14. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*. 2006;126(4):663-676. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024

Resources

- [nature.com/subjects/](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biochemistry): [biochemistry](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biochemistry), [biomaterials](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biomaterials), [biological-physics](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biological-physics), [biophysical-models](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biophysical-models), [nanoscale-biophysics](https://www.nature.com/subjects/nanoscale-biophysics), [biotechnology](https://www.nature.com/subjects/biotechnology), [synthetic-biology](https://www.nature.com/subjects/synthetic-biology), [cellular-neuroscience](https://www.nature.com/subjects/cellular-neuroscience), [neural-circuit](https://www.nature.com/subjects/neural-circuit), [network-models](https://www.nature.com/subjects/network-models), [neurology](https://www.nature.com/subjects/neurology)
- [nature.com/neuro/](https://www.nature.com/neuro/)
- [nature.com/sigtrans/](https://www.nature.com/sigtrans/)

Additional References

1. Ponce-Alvarez, A., Deco, G. The Hopf whole-brain model and its linear approximation. *Sci Rep* 14, 2615 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53105-0>
2. Herculano-Houzel S. The human brain in numbers: a linearly scaled-up primate brain. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2009;3:31. Published 2009 Nov 9. doi:10.3389/neuro.09.031.2009
3. Yang, Y., DeWeese, M. R., Otazu, G. H. & Zador, A. M. Millisecond-scale differences in neural activity in auditory cortex can drive decisions. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 1262–1263 (2008).
4. von Bartheld CS. Myths and truths about the cellular composition of the human brain: A review of influential concepts. *J Chem Neuroanat.* 2018 Nov;93:2-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2017.08.004. Epub 2017 Sep 2. PMID: 28873338; PMCID: PMC5834348.
5. Kaposzta Z, Stylianou O, Mukli P, Eke A, Racz FS. Decreased connection density and modularity of functional brain networks during n-back working memory paradigm. *Brain Behav.* 2021;11(1):e01932. doi:10.1002/brb3.1932
6. Tomasi D, Volkow ND. Functional connectivity density mapping. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(21):9885-9890. doi:10.1073/pnas.1001414107
7. Cooray GK, Cooray V, Friston K. A cortical field theory - dynamics and symmetries. *J Comput Neurosci.* 2024;52(4):267-284. doi:10.1007/s10827-024-00878-y
8. Rădulescu, A., Herron, J., Kennedy, C. et al. Global and local excitation and inhibition shape the dynamics of the cortico-striatal-thalamo-cortical pathway. *Sci Rep* 7, 7608 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07527-8>
9. Rueda-Castro V, Azofeifa JD, Chacon J, Caratozzolo P. Bridging minds and machines in Industry 5.0: neurobiological approach. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2024;18:1427512. Published 2024 Aug 27. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2024.1427512
10. Vaz AP, Wittig JH Jr, Inati SK, Zaghloul KA. Replay of cortical spiking sequences during human memory retrieval. *Science.* 2020;367(6482):1131-1134. doi:10.1126/science.aba0672
11. R.B. Yaffe, M.S.D. Kerr, S. Damera, S.V. Sarma, S.K. Inati, K.A. Zaghloul, Reinstatement of distributed cortical oscillations occurs with precise spatiotemporal dynamics during

- successful memory retrieval, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111 (52) 18727-18732, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1417017112> (2014).
12. Yaffe, R. B., Shaikhouni, A., Arai, J., Inati, S. K., & Zaghloul, K. A. (2017). Cued Memory Retrieval Exhibits Reinstatement of High Gamma Power on a Faster Timescale in the Left Temporal Lobe and Prefrontal Cortex. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 37(17), 4472–4480.
 13. Davidson, T. J., Kloosterman, F., & Wilson, M. A. (2009). Hippocampal replay of extended experience. *Neuron*, 63(4), 497–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.07.027>
 14. Huelin Gorriz, M., Takigawa, M. & Bendor, D. The role of experience in prioritizing hippocampal replay. *Nat Commun* 14, 8157 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43939-z>
 15. Graham Findlay, Giulio Tononi, Chiara Cirelli, The evolving view of replay and its functions in wake and sleep, *SLEEP Advances*, Volume 1, Issue 1, 2020, zpab002, <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleepadvances/zpab002>
 16. Le Duigou C, Simonnet J, Teleńczuk MT, Fricker D, Miles R. Recurrent synapses and circuits in the CA3 region of the hippocampus: an associative network. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014;7:262. Published 2014 Jan 8. doi:10.3389/fncel.2013.00262
 17. Sammons RP, Vezir M, Moreno-Velasquez L, et al. Structure and function of the hippocampal CA3 module. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2024;121(6):e2312281120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2312281120
 18. Klinshov VV, Teramae JN, Nekorkin VI, Fukai T. Dense neuron clustering explains connectivity statistics in cortical microcircuits. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(4):e94292. Published 2014 Apr 14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094292
 19. Udvary D, Harth P, Macke JH, et al. The impact of neuron morphology on cortical network architecture. *Cell Rep.* 2022;39(2):110677. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110677
 20. Hunt, D.L., Linaro, D., Si, B. et al. A novel pyramidal cell type promotes sharp-wave synchronization in the hippocampus. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 985–995 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0172-7>
 21. Wiera G, Mozrzymas JW. Extracellular proteolysis in structural and functional plasticity of mossy fiber synapses in hippocampus. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2015;9:427. Published 2015 Nov 4. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00427
 22. Fujise, K., Mishra, J., Rosenfeld, M.S. et al. Synaptic vesicle characterization of iPSC-derived dopaminergic neurons provides insight into distinct secretory vesicle pools. *npj Parkinsons Dis.* 11, 16 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-024-00862-4>
 23. Lee B, White KI, Socolich M, et al. Direct visualization of electric-field-stimulated ion conduction in a potassium channel. *Cell.* 2025;188(1):77-88.e15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2024.12.006
 24. Alonso, N., Krichmar, J.L. A sparse quantized hopfield network for online-continual memory. *Nat Commun* 15, 3722 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46976-4>
 25. Predictive Sequence Learning in the Hippocampal Formation. Chen Y, Zhang H, Cameron M, Sejnowski T. *bioRxiv* 2022.05.19.492731; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.19.492731>

26. Alonso, N., Krichmar, J.L. A sparse quantized hopfield network for online-continual memory. *Nat Commun* 15, 3722 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46976-4>
27. Insel N, Takehara-Nishiuchi K. The cortical structure of consolidated memory: a hypothesis on the role of the cingulate-entorhinal cortical connection. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 2013;106:343-350. doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2013.07.019
28. Woolnough O, Donos C, Rollo PS, et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics of orthographic and lexical processing in the ventral visual pathway. *Nat Hum Behav.* 2021;5(3):389-398. doi:10.1038/s41562-020-00982-w
29. Kong, X., Kong, R., Orban, C. et al. Sensory-motor cortices shape functional connectivity dynamics in the human brain. *Nat Commun* 12, 6373 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26704-y>
30. Nature news | Wi-Fi for neurons: first map of wireless nerve signals unveiled in worms: <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-023-03619-w>
31. Insanally, M.N., Albanna, B.F., Toth, J. *et al.* [Contributions of cortical neuron firing patterns, synaptic connectivity, and plasticity to task performance.](#) *Nat Commun* 15, 6023 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49895-6>
32. “Human Brain cellular composition (demythd)”: von Bartheld CS. [Myths and truths about the cellular composition of the human brain: A review of influential concepts.](#) *J Chem Neuroanat.* 2018 Nov;93:2-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2017.08.004. Epub 2017 Sep 2. PMID: 28873338; PMCID: PMC5834348.
33. “Measure the absorbance of Cas9 protein at 280 nm using a NanoDrop Lite spectrophotometer.” <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9183966/>
34. Stanley S. [Biological nanoparticles and their influence on organisms.](#) *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2014 Aug;28:69-74. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2013.11.014. Epub 2014 Jan 8. PMID: 24832077. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0958166913007155>
35. Kaposzta Z, Stylianou O, Mukli P, Eke A, Racz FS. Decreased connection density and modularity of functional brain networks during n-back working memory paradigm. *Brain Behav.* 2021 Jan;11(1):e01932. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1932. Epub 2020 Nov 13. PMID: 33185986; PMCID: PMC7821619.
36. Lynn, C.W., Holmes, C.M. & Palmer, S.E. Heavy-tailed neuronal connectivity arises from Hebbian self-organization. *Nat. Phys.* 20, 484–491 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-023-02332-9>
37. Wheeler, M., Smith, C., Ottolini, M. et al. Genetically targeted magnetic control of the nervous system. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 756–761 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4265>
38. Ferritin nanocages: A biological platform for drug delivery, imaging and theranostics in cancer
39. Recent progress in targeted delivery vectors based on biomimetic nanoparticles | Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41392-021-00631-2>
40. Wheeler, M., Smith, C., Ottolini, M. *et al.* Genetically targeted magnetic control of the nervous system. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 756–761 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4265>
41. Tomasi D, Volkow ND. Functional connectivity density mapping. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(21):9885-9890. doi:10.1073/pnas.1001414107

42. Micrometer figure:
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38723085/#&gid=article-figures&pid=figure-5-uid-4>
43. Yang W, Yuste R. Brain maps at the nanoscale. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2019;37(4):378-380. doi:[10.1038/s41587-019-0078-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0078-2)
44. In Situ Nanoscale Redox Mapping Using Tip-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy., Kang G, Yang M, Mattei MS, Schatz GC, Van Duyne RP., *Nano Lett*. 2019 Mar 13;19(3):2106-2113. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b00313. Epub 2019 Feb 19., PMID: 30763517
45. Nanoscale friction and wear maps., Tambe NS, Bhushan B., *Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2008 Apr 28;366(1869):1405-24. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2007.2165., PMID: 18156128
46. In situ nanoscale mapping of the chemical composition of surfaces and 3D nanostructures by photoelectron spectromicroscopy., Ratto F, Heun S, Moutanabbir O, Rosei F., *Nanotechnology*. 2008 Jul 2;19(26):265703. doi: 10.1088/0957-4484/19/26/265703. Epub 2008 May 20., PMID: 21828691
47. Global order and local disorder in brain maps., Rothschild G, Mizrahi A., *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2015 Jul 8;38:247-68. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014038. Epub 2015 Apr 9., PMID: 25897872 Review.
48. Self-organizing maps for internal representations., Ritter H., *Psychol Res*. 1990;52(2-3):128-36. doi: 10.1007/BF00877520., PMID: 2281125 Review.
49. Borah BJ, Sun CK. A rapid denoised contrast enhancement method digitally mimicking an adaptive illumination in submicron-resolution neuronal imaging. *iScience*. 2022;25(2):103773. Published 2022 Jan 15. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.103773
50. Hancock, F., Rosas, F.E., Luppi, A.I. *et al*. Metastability demystified — the foundational past, the pragmatic present and the promising future. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci*. 26, 82–100 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-024-00883-1>
51. Liu, J., Jiang, C., Yu, Q. *et al*. Multidimensional free shape-morphing flexible neuromorphic devices with regulation at arbitrary points. *Nat Commun* 16, 756 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-55670-4>