

Assignment 10.3

2303A51247

K NagaSri Reddy

Batch – 18

Task Description #1: AI-Assisted Bug Detection

Scenario: A junior developer wrote the following Python function to calculate factorials: `def factorial(n):`

```
result = 1
for i in range(1, n):
    result = result * i
return result
```

Instructions:

1. Run the code and test it with `factorial(5)`.
2. Use an AI assistant to:
 - o Identify the logical bug in the code.
 - o Explain why the bug occurs (e.g., off-by-one error).
 - o Provide a corrected version.
3. Compare the AI's corrected code with your own manual fix.
4. Write a brief comparison: Did AI miss any edge cases (e.g., negative numbers, zero)?

Expected Output:

Corrected function should return 120 for `factorial(5)`.

Prompt :

```
# def factorial(n):
#     result = 1
```

```

# for i in range(1, n):
#     result = result * i
# return result
# print(factorial(5))
# Identify the logical bug in this factorial function.
# Explain why the error occurs.
# Provide a corrected version.
# Also handle edge cases like zero and negative numbers.

```

```

assignment10_3 > ...
1  # def factorial(n):
2  #     result = 1
3  #     for i in range(1, n):
4  #         result = result * i
5  #     return result
6
7  # print(factorial(5))
8
9  # Identify the logical bug in this factorial function.
0  # Explain why the error occurs.
1  # Provide a corrected version.
2  # Also handle edge cases like zero and negative numbers.
3  def factorial(n):
4      if n < 0:
5          raise ValueError("Factorial is not defined for negative numbers")
6      if n == 0:
7          return 1
8
9      result = 1
0      for i in range(1, n + 1):
1          result *= i
2      return result
3
4  print(factorial(5))
5  print(factorial(0))
6  # print(factorial(-3)) # This will raise an error

```

Output :

```

PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assistant> & \\?C:\Users\ANIL\AppData\Local\Python\pythoncore-3.14-64\python.exe "c:/Users/ANIL/Desktop/AI Assistant/assignment10_3"
120
1

```

Analysis

1. The original bug was an **off-by-one error** caused by incorrect use of `range()`.
2. AI correctly identified the logical mistake.
3. AI also improved the function by adding proper exception handling.

Task Description #2: Improving Readability &

Documentation

Scenario: The following code works but is poorly

written: `def calc(a, b, c): if c == "add": return a + b elif c == "sub": return a - b elif`

`c == "mul":`

`return a * b elif`

`c == "div":`

Instructions:

5. Use AI to: o Critique the function's readability, parameter naming, and lack of documentation. o Rewrite the function with:

1. Descriptive function and parameter names.
2. A complete docstring (description, parameters, return value, examples).
3. Exception handling for division by zero.
4. Consideration of input validation.
6. Compare the original and AI-improved versions.

7. Test both with valid and invalid inputs (e.g., division by zero, non-string operation).

Expected Output:

A well-documented, robust, and readable function that handles errors gracefully.

Prompt :

##Task2:

Original poorly written

```
function # def calc(a, b, c): #    if
```

```
c == "add":
```

```
#        return a + b
```

```
#    elif c == "sub":
```

```
#        return a - b
```

```
#    elif c == "mul":
```

```
#        return a * b
```

```
#    elif c == "div":
```

```
#        return a / b
```

```
# Critique the readability of this function.
```

```
# Improve the function by:
```

```
# - Using descriptive function and parameter names
```

```
# - Adding a complete docstring (description, parameters, return value, examples)
```

```
# - Adding exception handling for division by zero
```

```
# - Validating input types
```

```
# - Making the function more readable and professional
```

Code:

```
assignment10_3 > calculate_numbers

29  ##Task2:
30  # Original poorly written function
31  # def calc(a, b, c):
32  #     if c == "add":
33  #         return a + b
34  #     elif c == "sub":
35  #         return a - b
36  #     elif c == "mul":
37  #         return a * b
38  #     elif c == "div":
39  #         return a / b
40  # Critique the readability of this function.
41  # Improve the function by:
42  # - Using descriptive function and parameter names
43  # - Adding a complete docstring (description, parameters, return)
44  # - Adding exception handling for division by zero
45  # - Validating input types
46  # - Making the function more readable and professional
47  def calculate_numbers(number1, number2, operation):
48      """
49      Perform a mathematical operation on two numbers.
50
51      Parameters
52      -----
53      number1 : int or float
54      |     The first numeric value.
55      number2 : int or float
56      |     The second numeric value.
57      operation : str
58      |     The operation to perform.
59      |     Supported values: "add", "sub", "mul", "div".
60
61      Returns
```

```

assignment10_3 > calculate_numbers
47 def calculate_numbers(number1, number2, operation):
61     Returns
62     -----
63     int or float
64     The result of the mathematical operation.
65
66     Raises
67     -----
68     ValueError
69     If an invalid operation is provided.
70     TypeError
71     If inputs are not numeric.
72     ZeroDivisionError
73     If division by zero is attempted.
74
75     Examples
76     -----
77     >>> calculate_numbers(10, 5, "add")
78     15
79     >>> calculate_numbers(10, 5, "div")
80     2.0
81     """
82
83     # Input validation
84     if not isinstance(number1, (int, float)) or not isinstance(number2, (int, float)):
85         raise TypeError("Both inputs must be numeric values.")
86
87     if not isinstance(operation, str):
88         raise TypeError("Operation must be a string.")
89
90     operation = operation.lower()
91
92     if operation == "add":

```

```

assignment10_3 > calculate_numbers
7 def calculate_numbers(number1, number2, operation):
2     if operation == "add":
3         return number1 + number2
4     elif operation == "sub":
5         return number1 - number2
6     elif operation == "mul":
7         return number1 * number2
8     elif operation == "div":
9         if number2 == 0:
10            raise ZeroDivisionError("Cannot divide by zero.")
11        return number1 / number2
12    else:
13        raise ValueError("Invalid operation. Use 'add', 'sub', 'mul', or 'div'.")
14    # Testing Original Function
15    # print(calc(10, 5, "add"))
16
17    #testing both valid and invalid inputs for the improved function
18    print(calculate_numbers(10, 5, "add")) # Valid input
19    print(calculate_numbers(10, 5, "div")) # Valid input
20    #invalid operation
21    try:
22        print(calculate_numbers(10, 5, "mod")) # Invalid operation
23    except ValueError as e:
24        print(e)
25    #division by zero
26    try:
27        print(calculate_numbers(10, 0, "div")) # Division by zero
28    except ZeroDivisionError as e:
29        print(e)
30    #invalid input types
31    try:
32        print(calculate_numbers("10", 5, "add")) # Invalid input type

```

Output:

```
PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant> & \\?C:\Users\ANIL\AppData\Local\Python\pythoncore-3.14-64\python.exe "c:/Users/ANIL/Desktop/AI Ass
isant/assignment10_3"
15
2.0
Invalid operation. Use 'add', 'sub', 'mul', or 'div'.
Cannot divide by zero.
Both inputs must be numeric values.
Both inputs must be numeric values.
PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant> █
```

Analysis:

1. Handling division by zero.
2. Validating input types.
3. Raising meaningful exceptions.

Task Description #3: Enforcing Coding Standards

Scenario: A team project requires PEP8 compliance. A developer submits:

```
def Checkprime(n):
    for i in range(2, n):
        if n % i == 0:
            return False
    return True
```

Instructions:

8. Verify the function works correctly for sample inputs.
9. Use an AI tool (e.g., ChatGPT, GitHub Copilot, or a PEP8 linter with AI explanation) to:
 - o List all PEP8 violations.
 - o Refactor the code (function name, spacing, indentation, naming).
10. Apply the AI-suggested changes and verify functionality is preserved.
11. Write a short note on how automated AI reviews could streamline code reviews in large teams.

Expected Output:

A PEP8-compliant version of the function,

e.g.: `def check_prime(n):` for `i` in `range(2, n):`

if `n % i == 0`: return

False return True

Prompt :

```
assignment10_3 > ...
139     # # # Testing original function
140     # print("Original Output (7):", Checkprime(7))
141     # print("Original Output (8):", Checkprime(8))
142     # Review this function for PEP8 violations.
143     # List all style issues.
144     # Refactor the code to follow PEP8 naming conventions,
145     # proper spacing, and improved readability.
146     # Ensure functionality remains the same.
147     def check_prime(n):
148         """
149         Check whether a number is prime.
150
151         Parameters
152         -----
153         n : int
154             The number to check.
155
156         Returns
157         -----
158         bool
159             True if the number is prime, False otherwise.
160         """
```



```

assignment10_3 > ...
147 def check_prime(n):
161
162     if not isinstance(n, int):
163         raise TypeError("Input must be an integer.")
164
165     if n <= 1:
166         return False
167
168     for i in range(2, n):
169         if n % i == 0:
170             return False
171
172     return True
173
174
175 # Testing improved function
176 print("Improved Output (7):", check_prime(7))
177 print("Improved Output (8):", check_prime(8))

```

Output:

```

PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant> & \\?\C:\Users\ANIL\AppData\Local\Python\pythoncore-3.14-64\py
isant/assignment10_3"
Improved Output (7): True
Improved Output (8): False
PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant>

```

Analysis:

AI also provides quick suggestions for improvement, allowing developers to focus more on logic and performance rather than style issues

Task Description #4: AI as a Code Reviewer in Real Projects Scenario:

In a GitHub project, a teammate submits: def
processData(d):

`return [x * 2 for x in d if x % 2 == 0]` Instructions:

1. Manually review the function for:
 - o Readability and naming. o Reusability and modularity.
 - o Edge cases (non-list input, empty list, non-integer elements).
2. Use AI to generate a code review covering:
 - a. Better naming and function purpose clarity.
 - b. Input validation and type hints.
 - c. Suggestions for generalization (e.g., configurable multiplier).
3. Refactor the function based on AI feedback.
4. Write a short reflection on whether AI should be a standalone reviewer or an assistant.

Expected Output:

An improved function with type hints, validation, and clearer intent, e.g.:

```
from typing import List, Union
```

```
def double_even_numbers(numbers: List[Union[int, float]])
```

```
    -> List[Union[int, float]]:    if    not
```

```
    isinstance(numbers, list):
```

```
        raise TypeError("Input must be a list")
```

```
    return [num * 2 for num in numbers if isinstance(num,
(int, float)) and num % 2 == 0]
```

Prompt :

```
###task4:
```

```
# def processData(d):  
#     return [x * 2 for x in d if x % 2 == 0]  
# Review this function for readability, naming clarity, and edge cases.  
# Suggest improvements including:  
# - Better function name  
# - Type hints  
# - Input validation  
# - Configurable multiplier  
# - Improved documentation
```

Code :

```

assignment10_3 > ...
31 # def processData(d):
32 #     return [x * 2 for x in d if x % 2 == 0]
33 # Review this function for readability, naming clarity, and edge cases.
34 # Suggest improvements including:
35 # - Better function name
36 # - Type hints
37 # - Input validation
38 # - Configurable multiplier
39 # - Improved documentation
40 from typing import List, Union
41 def multiply_even_numbers(
42     numbers: List[Union[int, float]],
43     multiplier: Union[int, float] = 2
44 ) -> List[Union[int, float]]:
45     """
46     Multiply even numbers in a list by a given multiplier.
47
48     Parameters
49     -----
50     numbers : List[int | float]
51     |     A list of numeric values.
52     multiplier : int | float, optional
53     |     The value to multiply even numbers by (default is 2).

```

```

assignment10_3 > ...
def multiply_even_numbers(
    Returns
    -----
    List[int | float]
    |     A list containing multiplied even numbers.

    Raises
    -----
    TypeError
    |     If input is not a list or contains non-numeric values.
    """

    if not isinstance(numbers, list):
        raise TypeError("Input must be a list.")

    result = []

    for num in numbers:
        if not isinstance(num, (int, float)):
            raise TypeError("All elements must be numeric.")

        if num % 2 == 0:
            result.append(num * multiplier)

```

```

assignment10_3 > ...
191 def multiply_even_numbers(
226 |         result.append(num * multiplier)
227 |
228 |     return result
229 | print(multiply_even_numbers([1, 2, 3, 4]))
230 | # Output: [4, 8]
231 |
232 | print(multiply_even_numbers([2, 4, 6], 3))
233 | # Output: [6, 12, 18]
234 |
235 | print(multiply_even_numbers([]))
236 | # Output: []
237 |
238 | try:
239 |     print(multiply_even_numbers("123"))
240 | except Exception as e:
241 |     print("Error:", e)
242 |
243 | try:
244 |     print(multiply_even_numbers([2, "a", 4]))
245 | except Exception as e:
246 |     print("Error:", e)

```

Output

```

PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant> & \\?C:\Users\ANIL\AppData\Local\Python\pythoncore-3.14-64\python.exe
isant/assignment10_3"
[4, 8]
[6, 12, 18]
[]
Error: Input must be a list.
Error: All elements must be numeric.
PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant> 

```

Analysis:

1. Quickly identified readability and naming issues.

2. Suggested better structure and documentation.

Task Description #5: AI-Assisted Performance Optimization

Scenario: You are given a function that processes a list of integers, but it runs slowly on large datasets:

```
def sum_of_squares(numbers):  
    total = 0  
    for num in numbers:  
        total += num ** 2  
    return total
```

Instructions:

1. Test the function with a large list (e.g., `range(1000000)`).
2. Use AI to:
 - o Analyze time complexity.
 - o Suggest performance improvements (e.g., using built-in functions, vectorization with NumPy if applicable).
 - o Provide an optimized version.
3. Compare execution time before and after optimization.
4. Discuss trade-offs between readability and performance.

Expected Output:

An optimized function, such as:

```
def  
sum_of_squares_optimized(numbers):  
    return  
    sum(x * x for x in numbers)
```

Prompt :

```
##task5:
```

```
# def sum_of_squares(numbers):  
#     total = 0  
#     for num in numbers:  
#         total += num ** 2
```

```
# return total
# Test the function with a large list (e.g., range(1000000)).
# Use AI to:
# Analyze time complexity.
# Suggest performance improvements (e.g., using built-in #
functions, vectorization with NumPy if applicable).
# Provide an optimized version.
# Compare execution time before and after optimization.
# 4Discuss trade-offs between readability and performance.
```

Code :

assignment10_3 > ...

```
252 # def sum_of_squares(numbers):
253 #     total = 0
254 #     for num in numbers:
255 #         total += num ** 2
256 #     return total
257 # Test the function with a large list (e.g., range(1000000)).
258 # Use AI to:
259 #     Analyze time complexity.
260 #     Suggest performance improvements (e.g., using built-in
261 #     functions, vectorization with NumPy if applicable).
262 #     Provide an optimized version.
263 #     Compare execution time before and after optimization.
264 # 4Discuss trade-offs between readability and performance.
265 import time
266 def sum_of_squares(numbers):
267     total = 0
268     for num in numbers:
269         total += num ** 2
270     return total
271 # Testing original function
272 start_time = time.time()
273 result = sum_of_squares(range(1000000))
274 end_time = time.time()
```

assignment10_3 > ...

```
275 print("Original Result:", result)
276 print("Original Execution Time:", end_time - start_time, "seconds")
277 # Optimized version using built-in sum and generator expression
278 def optimized_sum_of_squares(numbers):
279     return sum(num ** 2 for num in numbers)
280 # Testing optimized function
281 start_time = time.time()
282 optimized_result = optimized_sum_of_squares(range(1000000))
283 end_time = time.time()
284 print("Optimized Result:", optimized_result)
285 print("Optimized Execution Time:", end_time - start_time, "seconds")
286
```


Output :

```
isant/assignment10_3"  
Original Result: 333332833333500000  
Original Execution Time: 0.07253694534301758 seconds  
Optimized Result: 333332833333500000  
Optimized Execution Time: 0.0966041088104248 seconds  
PS C:\Users\ANIL\Desktop\AI Assisant>
```

Analysis:

1. It suggested using Python's built-in `sum()` with a generator expression for cleaner and slightly faster execution.
2. It also recommended NumPy vectorization for better performance on very large datasets.