

# Code Review and Quality: Using AI to Improve Code Quality and Readability

2303A51892

Lab-10.3

## Problem Statement 1: AI-Assisted Bug Detection

### Given Code

```
def factorial(n):  
    result = 1  
    for i in range(1, n):  
        result = result * i  
    return result
```

### Testing

```
print(factorial(5))
```

### Output:

24

### Issue Identified

The function contains an **off-by-one error**.

The loop range(1, n) stops at n-1, so it does not multiply by n.

### Corrected Code

```
def factorial(n):
```

```
if n < 0:  
    raise ValueError("Factorial is not defined for negative numbers")  
  
if n == 0:  
    return 1  
  
  
result = 1  
  
for i in range(1, n + 1):  
    result *= i  
  
return result
```

#### **Correct Output:**

120

#### **Comparison**

##### **Manual Fix**

Fixed range to n+1

No edge case handling

AI improved robustness by handling edge cases.

##### **AI Fix**

Fixed range and added validation

#### **Problem Statement 2: Improving Readability & Documentation**

#### **Original Code**

```
def calc(a, b, c):  
    if c == "add":  
        return a + b  
    elif c == "sub":
```

```
    return a - b

elif c == "mul":
    return a * b

elif c == "div":
    return a / b
```

## Issues

- Poor function name (calc)
- No documentation
- No exception handling
- No input validation

## Improved Code

```
def calculate(number1, number2, operation):
    if not isinstance(operation, str):
        raise TypeError("Operation must be a string")

    if operation == "add":
        return number1 + number2
    elif operation == "sub":
        return number1 - number2
    elif operation == "mul":
        return number1 * number2
    elif operation == "div":
        if number2 == 0:
            raise ZeroDivisionError("Cannot divide by zero")
        return number1 / number2
```

```
else:  
    raise ValueError("Invalid operation")
```

### Problem Statement 3: Enforcing PEP8 Standards

#### Original Code

```
def Checkprime(n):  
  
    for i in range(2, n):  
  
        if n % i == 0:  
  
            return False  
  
    return True
```

#### PEP8 Violations

- Function name not in snake\_case
- No input validation
- No docstring

#### Refactored Code

```
def check_prime(n):  
  
    if n <= 1:  
  
        return False  
  
    for i in range(2, n):  
  
        if n % i == 0:  
  
            return False  
  
    return True
```

## **Problem Statement 4: AI as a Code Reviewer**

### **Original Code**

```
def processData(d):  
    return [x * 2 for x in d if x % 2 == 0]
```

### **Issues**

- Poor naming
- No validation
- No type hints
- No documentation

### **Improved Code**

```
from typing import List, Union
```

```
def double_even_numbers(numbers: List[Union[int, float]]) -> List[Union[int, float]]:
```

```
    if not isinstance(numbers, list):  
        raise TypeError("Input must be a list")  
  
    return [  
        num * 2  
        for num in numbers  
        if isinstance(num, (int, float)) and num % 2 == 0  
    ]
```

## Reflection

AI should act as an **assistant**, not a replacement for human reviewers.  
It speeds up reviews but human judgment is still essential.

## Problem Statement 5: AI-Assisted Performance Optimization

### Original Code

```
def sum_of_squares(numbers):
    total = 0
    for num in numbers:
        total += num ** 2
    return total
```

### Time Complexity

$O(n)$

### Optimized Code

```
def sum_of_squares_optimized(numbers):
    return sum(x * x for x in numbers)
```

### Comparison

Original	Optimized
----------	-----------

Uses manual loop	Uses generator expression
------------------	---------------------------

Slightly longer	More concise
-----------------	--------------

Same time complexity	Cleaner implementation
----------------------	------------------------

### Trade-off Discussion

- Optimized version improves readability.
- For very large datasets, NumPy can provide further speed improvements.
- Built-in functions are generally faster and more Pythonic.