

## Assignment-13.4

2303A53016

Batch-46

K . Jyoshno Pranav

### Lab 13: Code Refactoring – Improving Legacy Code with AI Suggestions

#### Task 1:

Refactoring Data Transformation Logic

#### Scenario

You are maintaining a data preprocessing script where numerical transformations are written using verbose loops.

#### Task Description

- Review the legacy code that computes transformed values using an explicit loop.
- Use an AI tool to suggest a more Pythonic refactoring approach.
- Refactor the code using list comprehensions or helper functions while preserving the output. **Legacy Code**

```
values = [2, 4, 6, 8, 10]
```

```
doubled = [] for v in
```

```
values:
```

```
doubled.append(v * 2)
```

```
print(doubled) Expected
```

```
Output [4, 8, 12, 16, 20]
```

#### AI Prompt Used:

Refactor the following Python code to make it more Pythonic and concise without changing its output.

Use modern Python best practices such as list comprehensions if applicable.

```
values = [2, 4, 6, 8, 10]
```

```
doubled = [] for v in
```

```
values:
```

```
doubled.append(v * 2)
```

```
print(doubled) Code:
```

```
1 values = [2, 4, 6, 8, 10]
2 doubled = [v * 2 for v in values]
3 print(doubled)
```

#### Output:

```
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning> & 'c:\Users\dussa\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python313\python.exe' 'c:\Users\dussa\.vscode\extensions\ms-python.debugpy-2025.18.0-win32-x-64\bundled\libs\debugpy\launcher' '63124' '--' 'c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning\ai.py'
[4, 8, 12, 16, 20]
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning>
```

#### Task 2:

## Improving Text Processing Code Readability

### Scenario

You are working on a log message generator that builds messages word by word.

### Task Description

- Analyze the legacy code that constructs a sentence using repeated string concatenation.
- Ask AI to suggest a more efficient and readable approach.
- Refactor the code accordingly while keeping the final output unchanged.

#### Legacy Code

```
words = ["Refactoring", "with", "AI", "improves",  
"quality"]  
message = ""  
for w in words:  
    message += w + " "  
print(message.strip())
```

### Expected Output

Refactoring with AI improves quality

### AI Prompt Used:

Improve the readability and efficiency of the following Python code.

Avoid repeated string concatenation and suggest a more efficient approach while preserving the same output.

```
words = ["Refactoring", "with", "AI", "improves", "quality"]  
message = ""  
for w in words:  
    message += w + " " print(message.strip())
```

```
C:\> Users > dussa > OneDrive > Desktop > Training > ai.py > ...  
1 words = ["Refactoring", "with", "AI", "improves", "quality"]  
2 message = " ".join(words)  
3 print(message)
```

### Output:

```
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Training> C:\> cd C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Training & C:\Users\dussa\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\python.exe  
code\extensions\ms-python.debugpy-2025.18.0-win32-x64\bundle\libs\debugpy\launcher '54036' '--' 'c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Training\ai.py'  
Refactoring with AI improves quality  
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Training>  
main* 0 0 0 BLACKBOX Agent Indexing completed. Open Website Ln 3, Col 15 Spaces: 4 UTF-8
```

### Task 3:

#### Safer Access to Configuration Data

### Scenario

You are maintaining a configuration loader where dictionary keys may or may not exist depending on deployment settings.

### Task Description

- Review the legacy code that manually checks for dictionary keys.
- Use AI suggestions to refactor the code using safer dictionary access methods.
- Ensure the behavior remains the same for missing keys

### Legacy Code

```
config = {"host": "localhost", "port": 8080}
if "timeout" in config:
    print(config["timeout"])
else:
    print("Default timeout used")
```

### Expected Output

Default timeout used

### AI Prompt Used:

Refactor the following Python code to safely access dictionary values using modern Python methods.

Ensure that the behavior remains the same if the key does not exist.

```
config = {"host": "localhost", "port": 8080}
if "timeout" in config:
    print(config["timeout"])
else:
    print("Default timeout used")
```

```
1 config = {"host": "localhost", "port": 8080}
2 timeout = config.get("timeout", "Default timeout used")
3 print(timeout)
```

### Output:

```
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning> c:; cd c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning\code\extensions\ms-python.debugpy-2025.18.0-win32-x64\bundled\libs\debugpy\launcher
Default timeout used
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning>
```

### Task 4:

Refactoring Conditional Logic for Scalability

### Scenario

You are enhancing a utility module that performs different operations based on user input.

### Task Description

- Examine the multiple if–elif conditions used to determine operations.
- Ask AI to suggest a cleaner, scalable alternative.

- Refactor the logic using mapping techniques while preserving functionality. **Legacy Code** action = "divide" x, y = 10, 2 if action == "add":

```
result = x + y elif action
== "subtract":
result = x - y elif action
== "multiply":
result = x * y elif
action == "divide":
result = x / y else:
result = None print(result)
```

#### **Expected Output**

5.0

#### **AI Prompt Used:**

The following Python code uses multiple if-elif conditions to perform operations.

Refactor it into a cleaner and more scalable approach using mapping techniques or functions, without changing the output.

```
action = "divide"
```

```
x, y = 10, 2
```

```
if action == "add":
result = x + y elif action
== "subtract":    result =
x - y elif action ==
"multiply":    result = x
* y elif action ==
"divide":
    result = x / y else:
    result = None
```

```
print(result)
```

#### **Code:**

```

1  from operator import add, sub, mul, truediv
2
3  action = "divide"
4  x, y = 10, 2
5
6  operations = {
7      "add": add,
8      "subtract": sub,
9      "multiply": mul,
10     "divide": truediv,
11 }
12
13 result = operations.get(action, lambda a, b: None)(x, y)
14
15 print(result)

```

### Output:

```

PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning> & 'c:\Users\dussa\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python313\python.exe' 'c:\Users\dussa\.vscode\extensions\ms-python.debugpy-2025.18.0-win32-x64\libs\debugpy\launcher' '60163' '-...' 'c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning\ai.py'
5.0
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning>

```

### Task 5:

#### Simplifying Search Logic in Collections

##### Scenario

You are reviewing legacy inventory-check code that uses manual loops to find elements.

##### Task Description

- Identify the explicit loop used for searching an item.
- Use AI assistance to refactor the logic into a more concise and readable form.
- Maintain the same output behavior. **Legacy Code**

```
inventory = ["pen", "notebook", "eraser", "marker"]
```

```
found = False
for item in inventory:
    if item ==
```

```
"eraser":
```

```
found = True
break
```

```
print("Item Available" if found else "Item Not Available")
```

##### Expected Output

Item Available

##### AI Prompt Used:

Refactor the following Python code to simplify the search logic.

Replace manual looping and flag variables with a more concise and Pythonic solution while keeping the same output behavior.

```
inventory = ["pen", "notebook", "eraser", "marker"]
found = False
```

```
for item in inventory:
    if item == "eraser":
        found = True
        break

print("Item Available" if found else "Item Not Available")
```

#### Code:

```
1 inventory = ["pen", "notebook", "eraser", "marker"]
2
3 print("Item Available" if "eraser" in inventory else "Item Not Available")
```

#### Output:

```
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning> c:: cd 'c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning'; & 'c:\Users\dussa\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\python.exe' -u -i c:\Users\dussa\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\python.exe c:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning\inventory.py
Item Available
PS C:\Users\dussa\OneDrive\Desktop\Traning>
```