

## The problem

The problem statement is: can we find a way to compute the softmax of a vector without going through the vector 3 times, but also preventing the exponential from exploding?

$$X = [3, 2, 5, 1]$$

### Pseudocode:

$$m_0 = -\infty$$

for  $i = 1$  to  $N$

$$m_i = \max(m_{i-1}, x_i)$$

$$l_0 = 0$$

for  $j = 1$  to  $N$

$$l_j = l_{j-1} + e^{x_j - m_N}$$

for  $k = 1$  to  $N$

$$x_k \leftarrow \frac{e^{x_k - m_N}}{l_N}$$

Let's try fixing the computation of the max element with the normalization constant

$$\text{softmax}(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i - x_{\max}}}{\sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j - x_{\max}}}$$

STEP 1:

$$\max_1 = 3$$

$$l_1 = e^{3-3}$$

$$X = [3, 2, 5, 1]$$

STEP 2

$$\max_2 = \max(3, 2) = 3$$

$$l_2 = l_1 + e^{2-3}$$

If our vector only contained the first two elements, then the max element and the normalization factor we've computed would be correct.

However, things change at position 3...

$$X = [3, 2, 5, 1]$$

STEP 3

$$\max_3 = \max(3, 5) = 5$$

$$l_3 = l_2 + e^{5-5} = e^{3-3} + e^{2-3} + e^{5-5}$$

The  $l_3$  we computed is wrong!

$$l_3 = \underbrace{e^{3-3} + e^{2-3}} + e^{5-5}$$

Here it is wrong!

Can we fix it ON THE FLY? YES!

$$l_3 = l_2 \cdot \underbrace{e^{3-5}}_{\text{correction factor}} + e^{5-5} = (e^{3-3} + e^{2-3})e^{3-5} + e^{5-5}$$

$$= e^{3-3+3-5} + e^{2-3+3-5} + e^{5-5} = \underbrace{e^{3-5} + e^{2-5} + e^{5-5}}_{\text{CORRECT!}}$$

So, every time we encounter a number bigger than the current maximum, we can "fix" the normalization constant computed so far!

$$X = [3, 2, 5, 1]$$

STEP 4

$$\max_4 = \max(5, 1) = 5$$

$$l_4 = l_3 \cdot e^{5-5} + e^{1-5}$$

IN THIS CASE  
WE DO NOT NEED  
TO FIX ANYTHING

New pseudocode

$$m_0 = -\infty$$

$$l_0 = 0$$

for  $i = 1$  to  $N$

$$m_i = \max(m_{i-1}, x_i)$$

$$l_i = l_{i-1} \cdot e^{m_{i-1} - m_i} + e^{x_i - m_i}$$

for  $k = 1$  to  $N$

$$x_k \leftarrow \frac{e^{x_k - m_N}}{l_N}$$

Can we prove this algorithm is correct?

Let's do it!

$$m_0 = -\infty$$

$$l_0 = 0$$

for  $i = 1$  to  $N$

$$m_i = \max(m_{i-1}, x_i)$$

$$l_i = l_{i-1} \cdot e^{m_{i-1} - m_i} + e^{x_i - m_i}$$

} We want to prove that at the end of this loop:

for  $k = 1$  to  $N$

$$x_k \leftarrow \frac{e^{x_k - m_N}}{l_N}$$

$$m_N = \max(x_i) = x_{\max}$$

$$l_N = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j - x_{\max}}$$

We will prove it by induction:

1) Prove that it holds for a vector of size  $N=1$

$$m_1 = \max(-\infty, x_1) = x_1 = \max_i (x_i) = x_{\max}$$

$$l_1 = 0 \times e^{-\infty} + e^{x_1 - x_1} = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j - x_{\max}}$$

2) If we assume it holds for a vector of size  $N$ , does it hold for a vector of size  $N+1$ ?

$$m_{N+1} = \max(m_N, x_{N+1}) = \max_i (x_i)$$

$$l_{N+1} = l_N e^{m_N - m_{N+1}} + e^{x_{N+1} - m_{N+1}} =$$
$$= \left( \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j - m_N} \right) e^{m_N - m_{N+1}} + e^{x_{N+1} - m_{N+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j - m_{N+1}} + e^{x_{N+1} - m_{N+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} e^{x_j - m_{N+1}}$$