

Recap: p - prime

$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ with operations $+_p$: addition mod p
 \times_p : mult. mod p

Fermat's Little Theorem (FLT):

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \quad \exp_p(a, \underbrace{p-1}_{\uparrow |\mathbb{Z}_p^*|}) = 1$$

$\mathbb{Z}_p^* \equiv$ set of all
elements
in \mathbb{Z}_p co-prime
to p

Last lecture, we generalized this for general natural numbers.

$$[\text{Euler's Thm}] \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*, \quad \exp_n(a, |\mathbb{Z}_n^*|) = 1$$

Proof of FLT used the following properties of $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \times_p)$

- (1) $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$
- (2) $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \Rightarrow a \times_p b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$
- (3) \times_p is assoc. & comm.
- (4) $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*, \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ s.t. $a \times_p b = 1$.

The above properties also hold for \mathbb{Z}_n^* , for any n :

(1) and (3) are immediate.

(2): follows from defⁿ of gcd

(4): Bézout's identity / Extd. Euclid's Algorithm.

LECTURE 12 : PUBLIC KEY ENC. (PKE)

Setup \rightarrow pk, sk
Encrypt(pk, m) \rightarrow ct
Decrypt(sk, ct) \rightarrow m .

Correctness: For all (pk, sk) sampled by Setup,
For all messages m ,
Decrypt(sk , Encrypt(pk, m)) = m .

Security: For any adversary that only has pk
(but not sk), Encrypt(pk, m) hides
the message m .

The first PKE scheme was given by
Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir and Leonard Adleman,
and is the most widely used PKE scheme
currently (called RSA-PKE after the last
names of Rivest, Shamir, Adleman).

Before seeing the RSA PKE scheme, let us see a template scheme that satisfies correctness, but may not be secure. It is based on the following observation:

Observation: Suppose $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, d and e are numbers s.t. $d \cdot e \bmod (|\mathbb{Z}_N^*|) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } a &= \text{exp}_N(a, d \cdot e) \\ &= \text{exp}_N(\text{exp}_N(a, e), d)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Using Euler's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{exp}_N(a, d \cdot e) &= \text{exp}_N(a, k \cdot |\mathbb{Z}_N^*| + 1) \\ &= \text{exp}_N(a, k \cdot |\mathbb{Z}_N^*|) \times_N \text{exp}_N(a, 1) \\ &= 1 \times_N a\end{aligned}$$

■

Using this observation, we build a PKE scheme as follows:

pk = (modulus N , exponent e)

sk = d s.t. $e \cdot d \bmod |\mathbb{Z}_N^*| = 1$

Encrypt (pk = (p, e), $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$) message is an element in \mathbb{Z}_N^*

$$ct = \text{exp}_N(a, e).$$

Even though modulus N is very large,

ct can be computed efficiently using repeated squaring.

Decrypt ($sk = d, ct$):

Output $\exp_N(ct, d)$.

Correctness follows from the observation above, what about security? Recall, adversary sees $pk = (p, e)$ and ciphertext. It must compute m .

In order to run Extd. Euclid's Algorithm, adversary needs to know $|\mathbb{Z}_N^*|$. Given N , can the adversary always compute $|\mathbb{Z}_N^*|$?

Consider $\mathbb{Z}_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$. Let $N = p \cdot q$
 p, q are primes.

$$|\mathbb{Z}_N^*| = pq - p - q + 1 = (p-1)(q-1)$$

↑
 $\varphi(N)$: Euler's Totient Function

Observation: If N is product of two primes,

Computing $\varphi(N) \Leftrightarrow$ Computing prime factors of N

↑
believed to be hard computational problem

Proof : If $\phi(N)$ can be computed efficiently,
 then we have $p \cdot q = N$, $p + q = \frac{N - \phi(N) + 1}{2}$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{N}{p} + p = Z$

Solve for p . □

Rivest, Shamir and Adleman conjectured that the template PKE scheme, when instantiated with $N = \text{product of two large primes}$, is secure. This is called the RSA problem (or RSA assumption). It is very well studied, and so far, the best known algorithms run in superpolynomial time.

RSA Problem :

1. Sample unif. rand. large primes p, q . ↙ $\in [1, 2^{1000}]$
 $N = p \cdot q$.

2. Sample random $m \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$

3. Sample random exponent e s.t. ↙ $\in [1, 2^{1000}]$
 $\gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$.

4. Given $N, e, \text{exp}_N(m, e)$, compute m .

So far, the largest modulus for which RSA problem has been solved is an 800-bit modulus. It is believed that solving RSA problem for 2000-bit moduli is computationally infeasible, even with modern supercomputers.

RSA - PKE : Summary and some details

Setup :

- $\in [2^{1000}]$
1. Sample large primes p, q .

How to sample primes :

1. sample 10^6 unif. rand. numbers in $[2^{1000}]$
2. Check if any of these are prime. If so, output the first one.

The Prime Number Theorem states that there are roughly $2^{1000}/1000$ primes in the range $[2^{1000}]$

Therefore, a random number in $[2^{1000}]$ will be prime w.p. $\sim 1/1000$. Hence, sampling around 10^6 random numbers ensures that one of them will be prime with high probability.

Checking primality: the naive algorithm takes time $2^{1000/2}$, which is very expensive. However, there are efficient algorithms that can check if n is prime, in

time $\log^2(n)$.

2. Set $N = p \cdot q$ and $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.

3. Sample random prime $e \leftarrow [2^{1000}]$

s.t. $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$

e doesn't need to be prime, we only need that $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$.

Again, sample uniformly random number e and check if e is prime and $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$. If so, output e , else repeat.

This process terminates in $\text{polylog}(N)$ steps, since $\varphi(N)$ can have at most $\log(N)$ prime factors, and there are $N/\log N$ prime numbers in this range.

4. Compute d s.t. $e \cdot d \bmod \varphi(N) = 1$.

2 ways of doing this:

1. Using Extd. Euclid's algorithm:

Since $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$, $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$s \cdot e + t \cdot \varphi(N) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow d = s \bmod \varphi(N)$ satisfies $e \cdot d \bmod \varphi(N) = 1$.

This approach takes $\text{polylog}(N)$ time, since Extd. Euclid's algorithm takes $O(\log m + \log n)$

time, where m & n are the inputs.

2. Set $d = e^{\varphi(\varphi(N)) - 1} \bmod \varphi(N)$.

First, note that
$$e^{\varphi(\varphi(N)) - 1} \bmod \varphi(N) = (e \bmod \varphi(N))^{\varphi(\varphi(N)) - 1} \bmod \varphi(N)$$

$e' = e \bmod \varphi(N)$ is an element in $\mathbb{Z}_{\varphi(N)}^*$ (since $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$).

As a result, using Euler's theorem,

$$(e')^{\varphi(\varphi(N))} \bmod \varphi(N) = 1.$$

Hence $e' \cdot (e')^{\varphi(\varphi(N)) - 1} \bmod \varphi(N) = 1$, and

therefore $d = e^{\varphi(\varphi(N)) - 1} \bmod \varphi(N)$ satisfies $e \cdot d \bmod \varphi(N) = 1$.

However, is it efficient to compute d in this manner? This would require computing $\varphi(\varphi(N))$, and this may not be efficiently feasible, given just p and q .

5. Set $pk = (N, e)$, $sk = d$.

$$\text{Enc} (pk = (N, e), m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*) :$$

$$\text{Output } \underline{\text{exp}_N(m, e)}.$$

\hookrightarrow can be computed in $\text{polylog}(N)$ time

We wanted to encrypt messages (which can be arbitrary bit strings). One way to do this: partition the message into small chunks, encode each chunk separately by encoding it as an element in \mathbb{Z}_N^* .

$\text{Dec}(sk, ct) :$

Output $\exp_N(ct, sk)$.

\hookrightarrow can be computed in $\text{poly} \log(N)$ time.

End of PKE