

Recap: Structural Induction

Let S be a recursively defined set.

Base cases: $y_1, y_2, \dots \in S$ [there can be infinitely many base cases]

Recursion: $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in S$

\Downarrow
Combine $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in S$

[some way to produce a new element using k elements in S]

We want to prove some property P about S .

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ (universe), and

$P: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$. We want to show
 $P(x) = T$ for all $x \in S$.

Can be done using structural induction.

1. State that you are using structural ind.

2. Show $P(y_i) = T$ for all $y_i \in \text{Base cases}$

3. Show that if $P(y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(y_k)$, then
 $P(\text{combine}(y_1, \dots, y_k)) = T$.

LECTURE 14

We will start with an exercise, which hopefully illustrates when to use PMI, when to use structural induction.

Exercise: Let us define a set $S \subseteq \{\otimes, ?, -\}^*$ as follows:

Base case: $\forall y \in _\^*$ $y \otimes - ? y \in S$

set of all finite length strings consisting of —

↑
set of finite length strings with symbols $\otimes, ?, -$

Recursion:

For all $y, z, w \in _\^*$,
 $(y \otimes z ? w \in S) \Rightarrow (y \otimes z - ? w y \in S)$

Examples of strings in S :

1. $__\otimes_ ? ___ \in S$ [Base case]

2. Using (1) and recursion step, we can conclude $__\otimes_ ___ ? _____ \in S$

3. Using (2) and recursion step, we can conclude
— \otimes — — ? — — — $\in S$.

Can we characterize the set S ?

Claim : Let $T = \left\{ \text{—}^\alpha \otimes \text{—}^\beta ? \text{—}^{\alpha\beta} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

$$S = T.$$

To prove this claim, it suffices to show

$$S \subseteq T \quad \text{and} \quad T \subseteq S.$$

Claim 1 \uparrow

we will use
str. ind. for this

\uparrow Claim 2

we will use
regular PMI for this.

Claim 1: $S \subseteq T$.

In other words, for every
 $\theta \in S$, $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $\theta = \text{—}^\alpha \otimes \text{—}^\beta ? \text{—}^{\alpha\beta}$.

Proof using structural induction.

We will define an appropriate predicate over \mathcal{U} .

$$P(\theta) = \text{true} \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \theta = _{}^{\alpha} \otimes _{}^{\beta} ? _{}^{\alpha\beta}.$$

If $P(\theta) = \text{true}$, then $\theta \in T$ (by def. of set T)

Base case : Need to show that $P(\theta) = \text{true}$ for every θ in the base case of the def. of S .

Base case of S : if $y \in _{}^*$, then $y \otimes _{} ? y \in S$.

Since $y = _{}^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $\theta = _{}^{\alpha} \otimes _{} ? _{}^{\alpha} \in S$.

Check that $P(\theta) = \text{true}$ by setting $\beta = 1$.

Induction step : Suppose θ' is derived from θ using the recursion step, and suppose $P(\theta) = \text{true}$.

Since $P(\theta) = \text{true}$, $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $\theta = \underbrace{_{}^{\alpha}}_y \otimes \underbrace{_{}^{\beta}}_z ? \underbrace{_{}^{\alpha\beta}}_w$.

The recursion step produces
 $\theta' = \underbrace{_{}^{\alpha}}_y \otimes \underbrace{_{}^{\beta}}_z - ? \underbrace{_{}^{\alpha\beta}}_w \underbrace{_{}^{\alpha}}_y$

Check that $P(\theta') = \text{true}$.

Hence using structural induction, $P(\theta) = \text{true}$ for all $\theta \in S$, and therefore $S \subseteq T$.



Claim 2: $T \subseteq S$.

In other words, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $_\alpha \otimes _\beta ? _\alpha\beta \in S$.

Proof using regular induction.

Predicate $Q(\beta) :=$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $_\alpha \otimes _\beta ? _\alpha\beta \in S$.

Base case: $\beta = 1$.

Take any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$
Using base case of defⁿ of S ,
it follows that
 $_\alpha \otimes _ ? _\alpha \in S$.

Induction step: Suppose $Q(\beta) = \text{true}$.

To prove: $Q(\beta+1)$. Take any
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

To show : $_^\alpha \otimes _^{\beta+1} ? _^{\alpha(\beta+1)} \in S.$

Given : $_^\alpha \otimes _^\beta ? _^{\alpha\beta} \in S$ [$Q(\beta) = \text{true}$]

Follows from the induction step.

Hence, using PMI, we conclude $T \subseteq S.$



CONCLUDING REMARKS :

- Structural induction can be used for proving that certain property holds for recursively defined sets.
- The same can also be proven using (regular) induction on the number of steps used to 'derive' the element

