

2202 COL 352 Quiz 3

CHINMAY MITTAL

TOTAL POINTS

10 / 10

QUESTION 1

1 Unary undecidable 4 / 4

+ 0 pts Incorrect/Not Attempted

✓ + 1 pts *Statement is True*

✓ + 3 pts *Correct Proof*

+ 2 pts Partially correct proof

QUESTION 2

2 Unary PCP 6 / 6

✓ + 6 pts *Correct*

+ 0 pts Incorrect

+ 3 pts Partially Correct

+ 1 pts Decidable

Name: CHINMAY MITTAL

Roll No: 2020 CS10336

(COL 352) Introduction to Automata and Theory of Computation

April 19, 2023

Quiz 3

Duration: 40 minutes

(10 points)

Beware: Be clear in your writing. If you use a statement proved in class or in the problem set, then write down the entire statement before using it. You will not get a new sheet, so make sure you are certain when you write something (maybe use a dark pencil). Make a judicious decision of which tool(s) to use to get a clean and short answer that fits in the space. If you cheat, you will surely get an F in this course.

1. (4 points) Prove or disprove: There exists a unary language (i.e., $L \subseteq \{1\}^*$) which is undecidable.

Consider the set of all unary string $= \{1\}^*$. Clearly, This set is countable infinite. We can make an surjection from $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ to $\{1\}^*$ (map n to string with n 1's)

The set of all unary Languages $A = \{L \mid L \subseteq \{1\}^*\}$ is the powerset of $\{1\}^*$ and as shown in class - It has the same cardinality as the set of Real Numbers \mathbb{R} .

Let $M = \{\langle M_i \rangle \mid M_i \text{ is a Turing machine decider for a unary language over } \{1\}^*\}$
clearly $|M| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$ since M is a subset of $\{0,1\}^*$ and hence is countable. ($\{0,1\}^*$ is countable)

clearly $|M| \leq |\mathbb{N}| < |\mathbb{R}| = |A|$

Hence no surjective mapping exists M to A
 \Rightarrow there exists a unary language for which there is no Turing Machine decider.
and Hence there exists a unary language which is undecidable.

★ $M \rightarrow$ compute $a_i = |x_i| - |y_i| \neq i$
 if all $a_i > 0$ or all $a_i < 0 \rightarrow$ Halt and reject
 otherwise Halt and accept.

2. (6 points) Recall the Post Correspondence problem (PCP): You are given as input, a set of pairs of strings $P = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. You are required to decide if there exists a finite sequence $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^m$ such that $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m}$ (we stated the problem a little differently in class, but these two formulations are equivalent). Prove or disprove: Unary PCP (i.e., PCP where $\Sigma = \{1\}$) is undecidable.

This problem can be mapped to a similar problem (the key idea being that since there is only one letter in the alphabet the ordering of dominos in the match doesn't matter)

for each i , we compute $|x_i| - |y_i| = a_i$ (extra 1's that the numerator string has compared to the denominator string)
 The problem is equivalent to finding $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum \alpha_i a_i = 0 \quad (1)$$

 since order of dominos does not matter we always keep all

the dominos of type (x_1, y_1) first then all of (x_2, y_2) and so on...
 a match corresponds to an equal number of one's in the numerator and denominator which is equivalent to equation (1).

if any $a_i = 0$ we can keep $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ \rightarrow YES
 if all $a_i > 0$ Then no match exists \rightarrow NO (all α_i need to be 0 which is not possible and otherwise eqn is > 0)
 if all $a_i < 0$ then no match exists \rightarrow NO (all α_i need to be 0 which is not possible and otherwise eqn is < 0)

remaining case is when one $a_i < 0$ and one $a_j > 0$ exists (others can be anything)
 in this case a match always exists
 let $\alpha_i = |a_i|$ and $\alpha_j = |a_j|$, others are zero

Hence the PCP is decidable by the following M which implements the above algorithm

★ (↑ on top)