

Problem Set 1 Solution

Cell-1 (required library installation)

```
%pip install timed-decorator
```

the line above is a shell command that should be run in terminal but can be executed in jupyter notebook with the help of

1. **% (IPython magic command)** : This is the **recommended** way to install packages. it ensures that the library is installed into the **current** Python kernel environment.
2. **! (Shell Command)** : It tells the notebook to send the command following the **!** explicitly to the underlying operating system's shell (like Bash or PowerShell), exactly as if you typed it in a terminal. but can sometimes install packages into a different Python environment than the one your current notebook kernel is using.
There are many we will see others when we will encounter them.

okay the question arises

1. What is a IPython kernel ?

is the actual process that runs your code. It is the "backend" of a Jupyter Notebook. When you press 'Shift + Enter' in a cell, the Notebook (frontend) sends that code to the Kernel (backend) to be executed.

Purpose: It handles the interactive features of a notebook, like seeing variable outputs immediately, plotting graphs inline, and using magic commands

2. What is a python environment ?

is an isolated folder on your computer that contains a specific version of the Python interpreter and a specific set of libraries (like `pandas` , `numpy` , or `timed-decorator`).

Purpose: To prevent "dependency hell." For example, Project A might need `Django 2.0` , while Project B needs `Django 4.0` . By using two separate environments, you can work on both projects without them crashing each other.

There are Common tools you might know : `venv` , `conda` , etc.

3. What is timed-decorator's work ?

it acts like a **stopwatch** for your code.

When you put the `@timed_decorator` tag above a function, it automatically tracks exactly how long that function takes to run, without you having to write any timer code yourself.

How it works (The Logic)

It wraps your function in a "layer" of extra code that does three things in order:

1. **Start the Clock:** Records the exact time just before your function begins.
2. **Run the Function:** Executes your code normally.
3. **Stop the Clock:** Records the time immediately after your function finishes and calculates the difference (End Time - Start Time).

4. What is a decorator function ?

A **decorator function** is a design pattern in Python that allows you to modify the behavior of a function or class without permanently changing its source code.

How it is built (The Anatomy)

A decorator is a function that takes another function as an argument. It has three distinct parts:

1. **The Decorator (Outer Function):** Accepts the function you want to modify.
2. **The Wrapper (Inner Function):** Where the new logic lives. It runs code before/after the original function.
3. **The Return:** It returns the *wrapper* function to replace the original.

Let's understand this with an example

```
# 1. The Decorator Function
def my_logger_decorator(original_func):
    # 2. The Wrapper (The "Security Guard")
    def wrapper():
        print(f"LOG: About to run {original_func.__name__}...") # Extra code
        BEFORE
        original_func() # <--- The Original Function runs here
        print(f"LOG: Finished running {original_func.__name__}.") # Extra
        code AFTER
    return wrapper # 3. Return the new wrapped version
```

is a decorator function to be used as wrapper for a new function with @ sign

```
@my_logger_decorator
def say_hello():
```

```
print("Hello World!")
# When you call the function now...
say_hello()
```

Output:

```
LOG: About to run say_hello...
Hello World!
LOG: Finished running say_hello.
```

those 2 lines (1 and 3) are executed because of the decorator function

Why the library is being used here ?

to tell you the execution time difference between sklearn code and numpy code for the same logic

Cell-2 (import required library)

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, accuracy_score, f1_score
from timed_decorator.simple_timed import timed
from typing import Tuple
```

Cell-3 (Dummy data to use in exercise)

```
predicted = np.array([
    1,1,1,0,1,0,1,1,0,0
])

actual = np.array([
    1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0
])
big_size = 500000
big_actual = np.repeat(actual, big_size)
big_predicted = np.repeat(predicted, big_size)
```

Cell-4(Exercise-1)

Description: Implement a method to retrieve the confusion matrix values using numpy operations. Aim to make your method faster than the sklearn implementation.

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def tp_fp_fn_tn_sklearn(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> Tuple[int, ...]:
    tn, fp, fn, tp = confusion_matrix(gt, pred).ravel()
    return tp, fp, fn, tn

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> Tuple[int, ...]:
    raise NotImplementedError()

assert tp_fp_fn_tn_sklearn(actual, predicted) == tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(actual, predicted)

```

few questions before solving

1. what could be sklearn library logic for confusion matrix ?
2. what ravel() do here ?
3. How we should implement in numpy ? (what should be our thought process ?)

(Easy question first) What ravel() doing here ?

it is a numpy function (aha! so sklearn confusion matrix return a numpy array) which is used to flatten the array. implies confusion matrix should be giving nd array.

actually it's true right as it give 2×2 matrix

```
[[tn, fp],
 [fn, tp]]
```

so ravel make it 1D array

```
[tn, fp, fn, tp]
```

our implementation in numpy

Rules:

1. write in natural english the logics then code

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> Tuple[int, ...]:
    # True Positive: gt=1 and pred=1
    tp = np.sum((gt == 1) & (pred == 1))

```

```

# False Positive: gt=0 but pred=1
fp = np.sum((gt == 0) & (pred == 1))
# False Negative: gt=1 but pred=0
fn = np.sum((gt == 1) & (pred == 0))
# True Negative: gt=0 and pred=0
tn = np.sum((gt == 0) & (pred == 0))
return tp, fp, fn, tn

```

as `(gt == 1) & (pred == 1)` will give a matrix with 0 and 1 and `np.sum()` will add the matrix to have the respective numbers

and yes i forgot one thing. it's a new syntax as well right ?
the

```

def function (parameter: datatype ) -> return datatype :
    pass

```

this is python3 syntax a bit faster and type safe. it now knows what the function will return and what are the parameters type to check while compiling.

coming to the topic, the output is

```

tp_fp_fn_tn_sklearn(ndarray(10,), ndarray(10,)) -> total time: 0.015788836s
tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(ndarray(10,), ndarray(10,)) -> total time: 0.000119890s

```

so the Numpy code is nearly 10 times faster.

and **sklearn uses numpy then why not the same speed ?**

because sklearn is doing a lot more than “just count matches.” It wraps robust, general, user-friendly behavior around the core counting step, and those extra checks and generalizations cost time. You can get raw, minimal NumPy code that’s faster for your very specific case — but sklearn trades raw speed for safety, flexibility and convenience.

we will talk about it in upcoming implementations

Cell-5 (Exercise - 2)

Description: Implement a method to retrieve the calculate the accuracy using numpy operations.

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def accuracy_sklearn(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    return accuracy_score(gt, pred)

```

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def accuracy_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    raise NotImplementedError()

assert accuracy_sklearn(actual, predicted) == accuracy_numpy(actual,
predicted)

```

Solution:

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def accuracy_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    # get the respective counts
    tp, fp, fn, tn = tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt, pred)
    # implement the accuracy formula
    return (tp + tn) / (tp + fp + fn + tn)

```

Cell-6 (Exercise - 3)

Description: Implement a method to calculate the F1-Score using numpy operations. Be careful at corner cases (divide by 0).

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)

def f1_score_sklearn(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    return f1_score(gt, pred)

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def f1_score_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    raise NotImplementedError()

assert f1_score_sklearn(actual, predicted) == f1_score_numpy(actual,
predicted)

```

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

$$\text{F1-Score} = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def f1_score_sklearn(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    return f1_score(gt, pred)

```

```

# precision function
def precision_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    tp, fp, fn, tn = tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt, pred)
    return tp / (tp + fp)

# recall function
def recall_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    tp, fp, fn, tn = tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt, pred)
    return tp / (tp + fn)

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def f1_score_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    precision = precision_numpy(gt, pred)
    recall = recall_numpy(gt, pred)
    return 2 * (precision * recall) / (precision + recall)

assert f1_score_sklearn(actual, predicted) == f1_score_numpy(actual,
predicted)

```

even if the code is write the assertion will be wrong as we are comparing 2 floats with == sign. why it is bad ? (search for the answer)

```

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def f1_score_sklearn(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    return float(f"{f1_score(gt, pred):.5f}")

# precision function
def precision_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    tp, fp, fn, tn = tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt, pred)
    return tp / (tp + fp)

# recall function
def recall_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    tp, fp, fn, tn = tp_fp_fn_tn_numpy(gt, pred)
    return tp / (tp + fn)

@timed(use_seconds=True, show_args=True)
def f1_score_numpy(gt: np.ndarray, pred: np.ndarray) -> float:
    precision = precision_numpy(gt, pred)
    recall = recall_numpy(gt, pred)
    f1 = 2 * (precision * recall) / (precision + recall)
    return float(f"{f1:.5f}")

```

```
assert f1_score_sklearn(actual, predicted) == f1_score_numpy(actual,  
predicted)
```

Here i trimmed to 5 decimal places and it accepted

Now it's

0.72727

0.72727

Earlier it was

0.7272727272727273

0.7272727272727272

Have Fun ;)