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|  | 1 | **Lab 9 – Code Review and Quality: Using AI to improve code quality and readability**  **Lab Objectives**   * Inline comments * Docstrings * Auto-documentation tools * AI-assisted summarization   **Task Description #1** (AI-Assisted Bug Detection)  **Scenario:** A junior developer wrote the following Python function to calculate factorials:  def factorial(n):  result = 1  for i in range(1, n):  result = result \* i  return result   * Run the code and test it with factorial(5)   (expected output = 120).   * Use AI (prompting) to review this code and identify the bug. * Ask AI to suggest corrections and rewrite the code. * Compare AI’s corrected code with your own fix.   **Code:**    **Manual Code:**    **Prompt:**  Generate the code and identify the bug and suggest corrections.  **AI-Generated Code:**    **Comparison AI and manual code:**  1. Both use for i in range(1, n+1).  2. Manual code prints an error message; AI code raises a ValueError.  3. Manual code is beginner-friendly; AI code is more descriptive with comments.  **Task Description #2** (Improving Readability & Documentation)  **Scenario:** The following code works but is poorly written:  def calc(a,b,c):  if c=="add":  return a+b  elif c=="sub":  return a-b  elif c=="mul":  return a\*b  elif c=="div":  return a/b   * Use AI to review this code for readability, naming, and documentation issues. * Prompt AI to rewrite the code with: * Clear function & variable names. * Proper docstrings. * Exception handling for division by zero. * Compare the before-and-after versions to evaluate AI’s contribution.   **Prompt:**  Rewrite the code with clear function and variable names and use proper docstrings and exception handling for division by zero.  **Before Versions:**    **After AI versions:**    **Task Description #3** (Enforcing Coding Standards)  **Scenario:** A team project requires following PEP8 style guide. One developer submits:  def Checkprime(n):  for i in range(2,n):  if n%i==0:  return False  return True   * Run this code and verify correctness. * Use AI to perform a code quality review for PEP8 compliance. * Prompt AI to return a refactored version with proper indentation, spacing, and naming conventions. * Discuss how automated AI review can save time in large-scale projects.   **Task Description #4** (AI as a Code Reviewer in Real Projects)  **Scenario:** You are part of a GitHub project. A teammate submits this pull request:  def processData(d):  return [x\*2 for x in d if x%2==0]   * Review this function manually for readability, reusability, and edge cases. * Use AI to generate a code review comment, focusing on: * Naming conventions. * Input validation (e.g., what if d is not a list?). * Adding type hints. * Modify the function based on AI’s suggestions. * Write a short reflection: *Would you trust AI as a standalone reviewer, or only as a support tool? Why?* | | | | | | Week5 - Monday |  |