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|  | 1 | Lab 1: Environment Setup – GitHub Copilot and VS Code Integration  **Lab Objectives:**   * To install and configure GitHub Copilot in Visual Studio Code. * To explore AI-assisted code generation using GitHub Copilot. * To analyze the accuracy and effectiveness of Copilot's code suggestions. * To understand prompt-based programming using comments and code context   **Lab Outcomes (LOs):**  After completing this lab, students will be able to:   * Set up GitHub Copilot in VS Code successfully. * Use inline comments and context to generate code with Copilot. * Evaluate AI-generated code for correctness and readability. * Compare code suggestions based on different prompts and programming styles.   Task 0   * Install and configure GitHub Copilot in VS Code. Take screenshots of each step.   Expected Output   * Install and configure GitHub Copilot in VS Code. Take screenshots of each step.       Task 1: Factorial without Functions   * Description: Use GitHub Copilot to generate a Python program that calculates the factorial of a number without defining any functions (using loops directly in the main code). * Expected Output:   + A working program that correctly calculates the factorial for user-provided input.   + Screenshots of the code generation process.     Task 2: Improving Efficiency   * Description: Examine the Copilot-generated code from Task 1 and demonstrate how its efficiency can be improved (e.g., removing unnecessary variables, optimizing loops). * Expected Output:   + Original and improved versions of the code.   + Explanation of how the improvements enhance performance.     Task 3: Factorial with Functions   * Description: Use GitHub Copilot to generate a Python program that calculates the factorial of a number using a user-defined function. * Expected Output:   + Correctly working factorial function with sample outputs.   + Documentation of the steps Copilot followed to generate the function.     Task 4: Comparative Analysis – With vs Without Functions   * Description: Differentiate between the Copilot-generated factorial program with functions and without functions in terms of logic, reusability, and execution. * Expected Output:   + A comparison table or short report explaining the differences.   **With Functions:**   * **Logic:** The factorial calculation is separated into a function ([factorial(n)](vscode-file://vscode-app/c:/Users/mekal/AppData/Local/Programs/Microsoft%20VS%20Code/resources/app/out/vs/code/electron-browser/workbench/workbench.html)), making the code modular and clear. * **Reusability:** The function can be called multiple times, reused in other programs, or tested independently. * **Execution:** The main code is concise, handling only input/output and error checking, while the function does the computation.   **Without Functions:**   * **Logic:** All steps for calculating the factorial are written directly in the main code, making it less organized. * **Reusability:** The logic cannot be reused easily; you must rewrite or copy the code each time. * **Execution:** The program is less readable and harder to maintain, especially if you want to use the factorial calculation elsewhere.   Task 5: Iterative vs Recursive Factorial   * Description: Prompt GitHub Copilot to generate both iterative and recursive versions of the factorial function. * Expected Output:   + Two correct implementations.   + A documented comparison of logic, performance, and execution flow between iterative and recursive approaches.     **Submission Requirements**   1. Generate code for each task with comments. 2. Screenshots of Copilot suggestions. 3. Comparative analysis reports (Task 4 and Task 5). 4. Sample inputs/outputs demonstrating correctness.   **Note: Report should be submitted a word document for all tasks in a single document with prompts, comments & code explanation, and output and if required, screenshots**  **Evaluation Criteria:**   | **Criteria** | **Max Marks** | | --- | --- | | Successful Setup of Copilot | 0.5 | | Comparative Analysis – With vs Without Functions | 1 | | Iterative vs Recursive Factorial | 1 | | **Total** | **2.5 Marks** | | | | | | | Week1 - Monday |  |