Name: - K.Venkat

HTNO: 2403A52053

ASSIGNMENT – 9.2

Task Description #1 (Documentation – Google-Style Docstrings for Python Functions)

- Task: Use AI to add Google-style docstrings to all functions in a given Python script.
- Instructions: o Prompt AI to generate docstrings without providing any input-output examples.
- o Ensure each docstring includes:
- Function description
- Parameters with type hints
- Return values with type hints
- Example usage
- o Review the generated docstrings for accuracy and formatting.
- Expected Output #1:
- o A Python script with all functions documented using correctly formatted Google-style docstrings.

```
def add_numbers(a: int, b: int) -> int:

"""Adds two integers and returns the result.

Args:

a (int): The first integer.

b (int): The second integer.

Returns:

int: The sum of the two integers.

Example:

>>> add_numbers(3, 5)

8

"""

return a + b
```

```
def greet_user(name: str) -> str:
  """Generates a greeting message for a user.
  Args:
    name (str): The name of the user.
  Returns:
    str: A greeting message that includes the user's name.
  Example:
    >>> greet user("Alice")
    'Hello, Alice!'
  return f"Hello, {name}!"
def factorial(n: int) -> int:
  """Calculates the factorial of a given non-negative integer.
  Uses a recursive approach.
  Args:
    n (int): A non-negative integer.
  Returns:
    int: The factorial of the input number.
  Raises:
    ValueError: If n is negative.
  Example:
    >>> factorial(5)
    120
  111111
  if n < 0:
    raise ValueError("n must be a non-negative integer")
  if n == 0 or n == 1:
```

```
return 1

return n * factorial(n - 1)

print(add_numbers(3, 5))

print(greet_user("Alice"))

print(factorial(5))

OUTPUT

8

Hello, Alice!
```

OBSERVATION

120

- After adding Google-style docstrings, every function in the script is now well-documented.
- The docstrings clearly describe:
 - What the function does (description).
 - What inputs it expects (parameters with type hints).
 - What it returns (return type).
 - How to use it (example usage).
 - Possible errors (Raises section in factorial).
- Running the functions produced correct outputs:
 - add_numbers $(3, 5) \rightarrow 8$
 - greet_user("Alice") → "Hello, Alice!"
 - factorial(5) \rightarrow 120
- The documentation makes the code easier to understand and maintain, especially for new users or collaborators.
- Example usage inside the docstrings also serves as inline testing for correctness.

Task Description #2 (Documentation – Inline Comments for Complex Logic)

• Task: Use AI to add meaningful inline comments to a Python program explaining only complex logic parts.

- Instructions:
- o Provide a Python script without comments to the AI.
- o Instruct AI to skip obvious syntax explanations and focus only on tricky or non-intuitive code sections.
- o Verify that comments improve code readability and maintainability.
- Expected Output #2:
- o Python code with concise, context-aware inline comments for complex logic blocks

```
def is_prime(n: int) -> bool:
  """Check if a number is prime."""
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  for i in range(2, int(n**0.5) + 1):
    # Only check divisors up to sqrt(n),
    # because any larger factor would already
    # have a corresponding smaller factor.
    if n \% i == 0:
      return False
  return True
def fibonacci(n: int) -> list[int]:
  """Generate the first n Fibonacci numbers."""
  sequence = [0, 1]
  while len(sequence) < n:
    # Next number is sum of the last two numbers in the sequence.
    sequence.append(sequence[-1] + sequence[-2])
  return sequence[:n]
def binary search(arr: list[int], target: int) -> int:
  """Perform binary search on a sorted list."""
  left, right = 0, len(arr) - 1
  while left <= right:
```

```
mid = (left + right) // 2
    # Compare middle element with the target
    if arr[mid] == target:
      return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
      # Target is in the right half
      left = mid + 1
    else:
      # Target is in the left half
      right = mid - 1
  return -1
def factorial(n: int) -> int:
  """Calculate factorial recursively."""
  if n == 0 or n == 1:
    return 1
  # Recursive call reduces the problem size by 1 each step.
  return n * factorial(n - 1)
print(is_prime(7))
                     # Prime number
print(is_prime(12)) # Not prime
print(fibonacci(7)) # First 7 Fibonacci numbers
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 7)) # Find element
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 4)) # Element not found
print(factorial(5)) # Factorial of 5
OUTPUT
True
False
[0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8]
```

120

OBSERVATION

- nline comments were added only in complex logic sections, such as:
 - Explaining why prime checking stops at sqrt(n).
 - Clarifying how Fibonacci numbers are generated.
 - Showing how binary search adjusts search boundaries.
 - Explaining recursion in factorial.
- ② **Obvious syntax** (like return n + 1) was not commented, keeping the code clean.
- 2 Comments **improved readability** by giving context to tricky steps without cluttering.
- Code runs correctly, producing expected outputs for all test cases.

Task Description #3 (Documentation – Module-Level Documentation)

- Task: Use AI to create a module-level docstring summarizing the purpose, dependencies, and main functions/classes of a Python file.
- Instructions:
- o Supply the entire Python file to AI.
- o Instruct AI to write a single multi-line docstring at the top of the file.
- o Ensure the docstring clearly describes functionality and usage without rewriting the entire code.
- Expected Output #3:
- o A complete, clear, and concise module-level docstring at the beginning of the file.

```
def is_prime(n: int) -> bool:
    """Check if a number is prime."""
    if n <= 1:
        return False
    for i in range(2, int(n**0.5) + 1):
        if n % i == 0:
            return False</pre>
```

```
return True
def fibonacci(n: int) -> list[int]:
  """Generate the first n Fibonacci numbers."""
  sequence = [0, 1]
  while len(sequence) < n:
    sequence.append(sequence[-1] + sequence[-2])
  return sequence[:n]
def binary_search(arr: list[int], target: int) -> int:
  """Perform binary search on a sorted list."""
  left, right = 0, len(arr) - 1
  while left <= right:
    mid = (left + right) // 2
    if arr[mid] == target:
       return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
      left = mid + 1
    else:
      right = mid - 1
  return -1
def factorial(n: int) -> int:
  """Calculate factorial recursively."""
  if n == 0 or n == 1:
    return 1
  return n * factorial(n - 1)
# Sample test calls
print(is_prime(11))
print(fibonacci(6))
print(binary_search([2, 4, 6, 8, 10], 8))
```

print(factorial(5))

OUTPUT

True

[0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5]

3

120

OBSERVATION

The module-level docstring at the top summarizes the purpose, functions, dependencies, and usage of the file.

It clearly lists available functions and their roles, making the module self-explanatory for future users.

2 No external dependencies are required, keeping the module lightweight.

Running the test calls verified correctness:

- is_prime(11) \rightarrow True
- fibonacci(6) \rightarrow [0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5]
- binary search([2,4,6,8,10], 8) \rightarrow 3
- factorial(5) \rightarrow 120

☑ The docstring improves maintainability and usability, serving as quick documentation for the entire file.

Task Description #4 (Documentation – Convert Comments to Structured Docstrings)

- Task: Use AI to transform existing inline comments into structured function docstrings following Google style.
- Instructions:
- o Provide AI with Python code containing inline comments.
- o Ask AI to move relevant details from comments into function docstrings.
- o Verify that the new docstrings keep the meaning intact while improving structure.
- Expected Output #4:
- o Python code with comments replaced by clear, standardized docstrings.

```
def is_prime(n: int) -> bool:
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  for i in range(2, int(n**0.5) + 1):
    if n % i == 0:
       return False
  return True
def fibonacci(n: int) -> list[int]:
  sequence = [0, 1]
  while len(sequence) < n:
    sequence.append(sequence[-1] + sequence[-2])
  return sequence[:n]
def binary_search(arr: list[int], target: int) -> int:
  left, right = 0, len(arr) - 1
  while left <= right:
    mid = (left + right) // 2
    if arr[mid] == target:
       return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
       left = mid + 1
    else:
       right = mid - 1
  return -1
def factorial(n: int) -> int:
  if n == 0 or n == 1:
    return 1
  return n * factorial(n - 1)
# Sample test calls
```

```
print(is_prime(7))
print(is_prime(12))
print(fibonacci(7))
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 7))
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 4))
print(factorial(5))
```

OUTPUT

True

False

[0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8]

3

-1

120

OBSERVATION

② nline comments were **converted into structured Google-style docstrings**, preserving their meaning.

- Each function now has:
 - **Description** of what it does.
 - Args with type hints.
 - **Returns** with type hints.
 - Example usage for clarity.
- The code executed successfully and produced expected results:
 - is_prime(7) \rightarrow True
 - is prime(12) \rightarrow False
 - fibonacci(7) \rightarrow [0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8]
 - binary_search([...], 7) \rightarrow 3
 - binary_search([...], 4) → -1
 - factorial(5) \rightarrow 120

The new structure makes documentation standardized and professional, improving code readability and reusability.

Task Description #5 (Documentation – Review and Correct Docstrings)

- Task: Use AI to identify and correct inaccuracies in existing docstrings.
- Instructions:
- o Provide Python code with outdated or incorrect docstrings.
- o Instruct AI to rewrite each docstring to match the current code behavior.
- o Ensure corrections follow Google-style formatting.
- Expected Output #5:
- o Python file with updated, accurate, and standardized docstrings.

```
def is_prime(n: int) -> bool:
  if n <= 1:
    return False
  for i in range(2, int(n**0.5) + 1):
    if n \% i == 0:
       return False
  return True
def fibonacci(n: int) -> list[int]:
  sequence = [0, 1]
  while len(sequence) < n:
    sequence.append(sequence[-1] + sequence[-2])
  return sequence[:n]
def binary_search(arr: list[int], target: int) -> int:
  left, right = 0, len(arr) - 1
  while left <= right:
    mid = (left + right) // 2
    if arr[mid] == target:
       return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
```

```
left = mid + 1
    else:
       right = mid - 1
  return -1
def factorial(n: int) -> int:
  if n < 0:
    raise ValueError("n must be a non-negative integer")
  if n == 0 or n == 1:
    return 1
  return n * factorial(n - 1)
# Sample test calls
print(is_prime(11))
print(is_prime(12))
print(fibonacci(6))
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 7))
print(binary_search([1, 3, 5, 7, 9], 4))
print(factorial(5))
OUTPUT
True
False
[0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5]
3
-1
120
```

OBSERVATION

- The original docstrings were reviewed and corrected to accurately describe code behavior.
- 2 Now every docstring follows **Google-style formatting** with correct:

- Function description
- Args with type hints
- Returns with type hints
- Example usage
- Error handling (Raises in factorial)
- Test calls confirm correctness:
 - Prime check → True / False
 - Fibonacci sequence generated correctly
 - Binary search returned correct index or -1
 - Factorial returned correct result 120
- 2 The code is now fully documented, standardized, and consistent with functionality.

Task Description #6 (Documentation – Prompt Comparison Experiment)

- Task: Compare documentation output from a vague prompt and a detailed prompt for the same Python function.
- Instructions:
- o Create two prompts: one simple ("Add comments to this function") and one detailed ("Add Google-style docstrings with parameters, return types, and examples").
- o Use AI to process the same Python function with both prompts.
- o Analyze and record differences in quality, accuracy, and completeness.
- Expected Output #6:
- o A comparison table showing the results from both prompts with observations.

```
# Function without documentation

def square(n):
    return n * n

# Output from vague prompt: "Add comments to this function"

def square_vague(n):
    # multiply the number by itself
    return n * n
```

```
# Output from detailed prompt: "Add Google-style docstrings with parameters, return types,
and examples"

def square_detailed(n: int) -> int:
    return n * n

# Sample test calls

print(square(5))

print(square_vague(6))

print(square_detailed(7))

OUTPUT

25

36

49
```

OBSERVATION

- 1. The **vague prompt** added only a single inline comment, which is technically correct but lacks detail.
- 2. The **detailed prompt** generated a complete **Google-style docstring**, including description, parameters, return type, and example usage.
- 3. Running test calls confirmed correct outputs for all function versions.
- 4. This shows that **specific prompts lead to higher-quality documentation**, while vague prompts produce minimal results.