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|  | 1 | Lab 4: Advanced Prompt Engineering – Zero-shot, One-shot, and Few-shot Techniques  **Lab Objectives:**   * To explore and apply different levels of prompt examples in AI-assisted code generation. * To understand how zero-shot, one-shot, and few-shot prompting affect AI output quality. * To evaluate the impact of context richness and example quantity on AI performance. * To build awareness of prompt strategy effectiveness for different problem types.   **Lab Outcomes (LOs):**  After completing this lab, students will be able to:   * Use zero-shot prompting to instruct AI with minimal context. * Use one-shot prompting with a single example to guide AI code generation. * Apply few-shot prompting using multiple examples to improve AI responses. * Compare AI outputs across the three prompting strategies.   **Task #1 – Zero-Shot Prompting with Conditional Validation**  Objective  Use zero-shot prompting to instruct an AI tool to generate a function that validates an Indian mobile number.  Requirements   * The function must ensure the mobile number:   + Starts with 6, 7, 8, or 9   + Contains exactly 10 digits   Expected Output   * A valid Python function that performs all required validations without using any input-output examples in the prompt. * Prompt: Write a Python function to validate an Indian mobile number. It must be 10 digits long and start with 6, 7, 8, or 9. Return True if valid, else False.       **Task #2 – One-Shot Prompting with Edge Case Handling**  Objective  Use one-shot prompting to generate a Python function that calculates the factorial of a number.  Requirements   * Provide one sample input-output pair in the prompt to guide the AI. * The function should handle:   + 0! correctly   + Negative input by returning an appropriate message   Expected Output   * A Python function with correct factorial logic and edge case handling, generated from a single example. * Prompt: Write a Python function that calculates the factorial of a number. If the input is negative, return "Factorial is not defined for negative numbers." If the input is 0, return 1.       **Task #3 – Few-Shot Prompting for Nested Dictionary Extraction**  Objective  Use few-shot prompting (2–3 examples) to instruct the AI to create a function that parses a nested dictionary representing student information.  Requirements   * The function should extract and return:   + Full Name   + Branch   + SGPA   Expected Output   * A reusable Python function that correctly navigates and extracts values from nested dictionaries based on the provided examples. * Prompt: Write a Python function that extracts student details from a nested dictionary. The function should return the student's full name, branch, and SGPA.         **Task #4 – Comparing Prompting Styles for File Analysis**  Objective  Experiment with zero-shot, one-shot, and few-shot prompting to generate functions for CSV file analysis.  Requirements   * Each generated function should:   + Read a .csv file   + Return the total number of rows   + Count the number of empty rows   + Count the number of words across the file   Expected Output   * Working Python functions for each prompting style, with a brief reflection comparing their accuracy, clarity, and efficiency. * Prompt: Write a Python function that reads a .csv file and returns: The total number of rows, The number of empty rows,The total number of words across the file Assume no prior examples or context.         **Task #5 – Few-Shot Prompting for Text Processing and Word** **Frequency**  Objective  Use few-shot prompting (with at least 3 examples) to generate a Python function that processes text and analyzes word frequency.  Requirements  The function must:   * Accept a paragraph as input * Convert all text to lowercase * Remove punctuation * Return the most frequently used word   Expected Output   * A functional Python script that performs text cleaning, tokenization, and returns the most common word using only the examples provided in the prompt. * Prompt:             **Note:** Report should be submitted a word document for all tasks in a single document with prompts, comments & code explanation, and output and if required, screenshots  **Evaluation Criteria:**   | **Criteria** | **Max Marks** | | --- | --- | | Zero Shot (Task #1) | 0.5 | | One Shot (Task#2) | 0.5 | | Few Shot (Task#3, Task#4 & Task #5) | 1.5 | | **Total** | **2.5 Marks** | | | | | | | Week2 - Monday |  |