

In this tutorial, we will show how to use the sparse LP solver. This solver aims to solve the following optimisation problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \|x\|_0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_{eq}x = b_{eq} \\ & A_{ieq}x \leq b_{ieq} \\ & l \leq x \leq u \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It has been proved that zero-norm is a non-convex function and the minimisation of zero-norm is a NP-hard problem. Non-convex approximations of zero-norm extensively developed. For a complete study of non-convex approximations of zero-norm, the reader is referred to [1].

The method is described in [1]. The sparse LP solver contains one convex (ℓ_1 norm) and 6 non-convex approximation of zero-norm

- Capped-L1 norm
- Exponential function
- Logarithmic function
- SCAD (Smoothly Clipped Absolute Deviation) function
- ℓ_p norm with $p < 0$
- ℓ_p norm with $0 < p < 1$

The tutorial consist of two parts. Part 1 shows a basic usage of the solver. In part 2 provides an application of the code for finding the minimal set of reactions subject to a LP objective. Ready-made scripts are provided for both parts.

Example of using sparseLP solver on randomly data

One randomly creates a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The right hand side vector b is then computed by $b = A * x_0$.

```

1 n = 100;
  m = 50;
3 x0 = rand(n,1);
  constraint.A = rand(m,n);
5 constraint.b = constraint.A*x0;
  constraint.lb = -1000*ones(n,1);
7 constraint.ub = 1000*ones(n,1);
  constraint.csense = repmat('E', m, 1);

```

There are three optional inputs for the method. The two first ones : maximum number of iterations (*nbMaxIteration*) and threshold (*epsilon*) are stopping criterion conditions. *theta* is the parameter of zero-norm approximation. The

greater the value of *theta*, the better the approximation of the zero-norm. However, the greater the value of *theta*, the more local solutions the problem (1) has. If the value of *theta* is not given then the algorithm will use a default value and update it gradually.

```

params.nbMaxIteration = 100; % stopping criteria
2 params.epsilon = 10e-6; % stopping criteria
params.theta = 2; % parameter of l0 approximation

```

Call the solver with a chosen approximation

```

1 solution = sparseLP('cappedL1',constraint,params);
% solution = sparseLP('cappedL1',constraint);

```

Finding the minimal set of reactions subject to a LP objective

Load a COBRA model

```

model = load('iLC915.mat');

```

We will firstly find the optimal value subject to a LP objective

```

1 %% Maximize
% max c'v
3 % s.t Sv = b
% l <= v <= u
5 % Define the LP structure
[c,S,b,lb,ub] = deal(model.c,model.S,model.b,model.lb,model.ub);
7 [m,n] = size(S);
csense = repmat('=',m,1);
9 LPproblem = struct('c',-c,'osense',1,'A',S,'csense',csense,'b',b,
% Call solveCobraLP to solve the LP
11 'lb',lb,'ub',ub);
LPsolution = solveCobraLP(LPproblem,params);

```

We will now find the minimum number of reactions needed to attain the same max objective found previously. Then one will add one more constraint: $c^T v = c^T v_{FBA} =: f_{FBA}$.

```

1 constraint.A = [S ; c'];
constraint.b = [b ; c'*vFBA];
3 constraint.csense = repmat('=',m+1,1);
constraint.lb = lb;
5 constraint.ub = ub;

```

Call the sparseLP solver to solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \|v\|_0 \\
 s.t \quad & Sv = b \\
 & c^T v = f_{FBA} \\
 & l \leq v \leq u
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

```

1 % Try all non-convex approximations of zero norm and take the best
  result
2 approximations = { 'cappedL1', 'exp', 'log', 'SCAD', 'lp-', 'lp+' };
3 bestResult = n;
  bestAprox = '';
4
5 for i=1:length(approximations)
  solution = sparseLP(char(approximations(i)), constraint);
6
7   if solution.stat == 1
8     if bestResult > length(find(abs(solution.x)>eps))
9       bestResult=length(find(abs(solution.x)>eps));
10      bestAprox = char(approximations(i));
11      solutionL0 = solution;
12    end
13  end
end

```

References

- [1] Le Thi et al., *DC approximation approaches for sparse optimization*, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2014, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.11.031>.