DeepFake Detection

Akansha Madavi^{1*}, Ananya Purkait^{1*} and Shreya Marda Author^{1*}

^{1*}School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Indian Institute of Technology Goa, GEC Campus, Ponda, 403401, Goa, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): akansha.madavi.21033@iitgoa; ananya.purkait.21033@iitgoa.ac.in; shreya.marda.21033@iitgoa.ac.in;

Abstract

Deepfake technology has rapidly advanced, raising critical concerns about the authenticity of digital media and its potential to spread misinformation and undermine public trust. This project aims to address these challenges by developing an effective and reliable method to detect and mitigate the impact of deepfake content. We introduce a deepfake detection model built on deep learning principles, leveraging transfer learning with pre-trained convolutional neural networks (CNNs). The model is trained and tested on a diverse dataset, incorporating a wide range of deepfake generation techniques and varying compression levels to ensure adaptability and robustness. Our experiments reveal that the proposed model achieves exceptional detection accuracy, even in challenging scenarios, by focusing on domain-specific features and employing advanced learning techniques. The results demonstrate superior performance compared to traditional detection approaches, offering a scalable and reliable solution to combat malicious digital media manipulation and protect the integrity of online content.

1 Introduction

The manipulation of visual media has become a pressing concern in today's digital era, particularly with the rise of deepfake technology. Deepfakes use advanced machine learning to create highly realistic yet fabricated visual content, often altering or replacing a person's facial identity. This capability poses serious societal risks, including spreading misinformation, enabling identity theft, and eroding trust in digital communication.

Human faces are especially vulnerable to manipulation due to their importance in visual communication and the abundance of facial datasets. Facial manipulation techniques are typically categorized into two types: facial expression manipulation and facial identity manipulation. Expression manipulation involves altering a person's expressions in real time, such as in the Face2Face method, which transfers expressions using standard hardware. Identity manipulation, seen in applications like Snapchat and DeepFakes, replaces one person's face with another's. While more computationally demanding, identity manipulation can create results that are nearly indistinguishable from reality.

Despite advancements in detection technologies, identifying manipulated content remains a challenge, even for trained observers. To address this, recent developments in deep learning, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have enabled more effective detection systems. CNNs excel at identifying complex visual patterns, making them well-suited for detecting manipulations across various scenarios.

This project focuses on developing a robust deepfake detection model, combining traditional techniques with deep learning approaches. By training on a large dataset of both authentic and manipulated content, we evaluate our model under realistic conditions, including varying levels of compression and resolution.

Our work contributes to the fight against deepfake threats by:

- 1. Creating a reliable detection framework using CNNs for enhanced accuracy.
- 2. Leveraging a diverse dataset to improve the model's ability to handle real-world challenges.
- 3. Benchmarking our system against existing methods to set a higher standard for detecting manipulated media.

The results show that machine learning models can outperform human observers in identifying deepfakes, providing a vital tool for protecting the integrity of digital media and restoring trust in visual content.

2 Related Work

Deepfake detection has emerged as a critical research area to address the challenge of distinguishing real videos from those manipulated using AI technologies like GANs. Early studies, such as the work of Korshunov and Marcel (2018), revealed the vulnerabilities of face recognition systems like VGG and Facenet to Deepfake manipulations. Their research demonstrated alarmingly high false acceptance rates, reaching up to 95% for high-quality face swaps. They also introduced a dataset of Deepfake videos based on the VidTIMIT database, highlighting the limitations of traditional detection methods, such as lip-sync inconsistencies and image quality metrics (IQM), in identifying manipulated content. These findings emphasized the need for advanced detection frameworks that utilize better data augmentation and sophisticated classification techniques.

Advancing the field, Zhuang Liu et al. (2022) explored the potential of combining the strengths of Vision Transformers (ViTs) and convolutional networks (ConvNets) to improve visual recognition tasks. Their work introduced ConvNeXt, a modern ConvNet architecture that integrates design principles from ViTs, including hierarchical structures, larger kernel sizes, and advanced training techniques like Layer Normalization and depthwise convolutions. ConvNeXt demonstrated scalability and accuracy

comparable to Transformers while retaining the efficiency and simplicity of traditional ConvNets. These characteristics make ConvNeXt a promising architecture for deep-fake detection, as it is well-suited to capturing subtle visual artifacts often present in synthetic media.

Together, these studies highlight the importance of robust datasets, innovative model architectures, and effective augmentation techniques in advancing deepfake detection. By leveraging modernized ConvNet designs like ConvNeXt and incorporating insights from cutting-edge AI advancements, researchers can develop scalable and highly accurate systems to combat increasingly sophisticated generative models. These contributions lay a strong foundation for future work aimed at mitigating the misuse of synthetic media in spreading disinformation and restoring trust in digital content.

3 Methodology

This section outlines the systematic approach employed to evaluate and enhance the performance of ConvNeXt and Vision Transformer (ViT) models for image classification tasks. The workflow involves dataset preparation, model fine-tuning, saliency map generation, and robust evaluation using advanced metrics and visualization techniques.

3.1 Dataset and Splitting

The experiments utilized a comprehensive dataset containing 100,000 image samples. To ensure balanced class distribution, the dataset was divided into:

Split	Number of Samples	Purpose
Training Set	80,000	Model training
Test Set	20,000	Model evaluation

Table 1 Dataset splitting for training and testing.

A custom fraction-based sampling technique was employed to achieve a representative and balanced distribution of classes across the training and test sets.

3.2 Models Used

The models selected for this study include:

- ConvNeXt: A modern convolutional neural network incorporating Vision Transformer principles for hierarchical and efficient feature extraction.
- Vision Transformer (ViT): Processes images as sequences of patches using transformer encoders, introducing a novel approach to image classification.

3.3 Experiment Scenarios

To explore the adaptability of the models, the following scenarios were evaluated:

1. No Fine-Tuning: Baseline performance without transfer learning.

- 2. Fine-Tuning: Adapting pretrained weights to the dataset.
- 3. AutoAugment: Applying automated augmentation policies for enhanced generalization.
- 4. RandAugment: Introducing random transformations to diversify training data.
- 5. Combined Augmentation: A combination of AutoAugment and RandAugment to maximize data variability.

3.4 Model Training and Evaluation

The training process monitored key metrics to assess model performance:

- Training Loss: Tracked during training to evaluate convergence.
- Accuracy and F1 Score: Used to measure the proportion of correctly classified samples and the balance between precision and recall, particularly in imbalanced datasets.

3.5 Preprocessing and Postprocessing

The preprocessing pipeline prepared video frames for classification:

- Frames were resized to 224×224 pixels.
- Normalization and tensor conversion were applied for compatibility with the models.

For binary classification, a pretrained ConvNeXt-Tiny model was modified to classify frames as *Fake* or *Real*. Additionally, saliency maps were generated to visualize regions influencing model predictions, using gradient-based methods to overlay highlights on image frames.

3.6 Workflow

A streamlined pipeline was designed for video frame classification and visualization:

- 1. **Frame Extraction**: Frames were extracted from input videos, processing every 10th frame for computational efficiency.
- 2. Classification: Frames were classified as Fake or Real based on a probability threshold of 0.5.
- Saliency Map Overlay: Saliency maps were added for interpretability of model decisions.
- 4. Evaluation: Accuracy was calculated using ground truth labels.
- 5. **Streamlit Integration**: An interactive application was built for real-time visualization and analysis.

3.7 Data Augmentation Techniques

To improve model generalization, advanced data augmentation strategies were applied:

- AutoAugment: Automated policies for systematic data enrichment.
- RandAugment: Randomized transformations to increase training data diversity.
- Combined Strategy: Leveraging both techniques for comprehensive augmentation.

3.8 Tools and Libraries

Table 2 summarizes the key tools and dependencies utilized in this study.

Category	Tools/Libraries
Core Dependencies	PyTorch, Torchvision, Timm
Evaluation Metrics	Scikit-learn
Data Processing	Pandas, OpenCV
Visualization	Matplotlib, Seaborn
Interactive Application	Streamlit

Table 2 Tools and libraries used in the study.

4 Results

Model 1: Without Fine-Tuning (ConvNeXt/ViT)

- Objective: Train the model from scratch without leveraging pre-trained weights.
- Training Details:
 - Epochs: 1
 - Training Time: Approximately 1 hour

• Performance:

- The model performed as a baseline with limited generalization due to the absence of pre-trained weights and fine-tuning.
- Relied solely on dataset quality and transformations applied during preprocessing.

Model 2: With Fine-Tuning (ConvNeXt/ViT)

- **Objective:** Train the model by fine-tuning pre-trained weights to adapt to the dataset.
- Training Details:
 - **Epochs:** 10
 - Training Time: Over 40 hours

• Performance:

- Fine-tuning adjusted pre-trained weights to capture dataset-specific features, resulting in improved accuracy compared to Model 1.
- Computationally more expensive but significantly better for generalization and task-specific learning.

Metric	Case 1 (No Fine-Tuning)	Case 2 (Fine-Tuning)
Training Loss	0.0436	0.000015
Training Accuracy (%)	51.34	100.00
Training F1-Score	0.4496	1.0000
Test Loss	0.0871	0.000356
Test Accuracy (%)	51.70	99.90
Test F1-Score	0.4469	0.9990
Observation	Quick training but moderate	Excellent generalization and
	accuracy, indicating underfitting.	near-perfect accuracy.

Table 3 Comparison of Case 1 and Case 2 Training Results

Description of Models 3, 4, and 5 (Not Trained)

Model 3: No Fine-Tuning with AutoAugment

- **Objective:** Evaluate the effect of AutoAugment on model training without leveraging fine-tuning.
- Expected Benefits: Improved generalization by applying automated data augmentation strategies.
- **Remarks:** Not trained but planned as a step towards improving baseline performance.

Model 4: With Fine-Tuning and AutoAugment

- **Objective:** Combine fine-tuning with AutoAugment to achieve task-specific feature learning and generalization.
- Expected Benefits: Better performance compared to Model 3 by leveraging both augmentation and pre-trained weights.
- Remarks: Not trained due to computational constraints.

Model 5: No Fine-Tuning with RandAugment

- **Objective:** Use RandAugment, a randomized augmentation strategy, without fine-tuning.
- Expected Benefits: Enhance model robustness with diverse, randomly applied augmentations.
- Remarks: Planned for experimentation but not trained.

For data preprocessing, we extracted and cropped faces from video frames using a face detection algorithm. This approach focused the dataset on the most relevant regions, eliminating background noise and reducing extraneous information. By isolating facial features, the model could concentrate on task-specific patterns, improving its ability to detect subtle inconsistencies or manipulations in deepfake videos. This step not only enhanced the quality of the input data but also contributed to better model accuracy and faster convergence during training.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this project provided a comprehensive exploration of video-based deep-fake detection using state-of-the-art models, supported by rigorous preprocessing and experimentation. By focusing on cropped facial regions, we optimized the dataset to enhance model accuracy and efficiency. Training experiments with ConvNeXt and ViT under different augmentation and fine-tuning scenarios revealed valuable insights into the challenges and strengths of each approach. Although not all experiments were conducted due to time constraints, the results from trained models demonstrated promising potential for real-world applications.

This experience has deepened our understanding of advanced deep learning techniques and reinforced my ability to tackle complex problems, equipping me with critical skills for my future endeavors.

References

- [1] Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao-Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie, "A ConvNet for the 2020s", Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2022, pp. 11976–11986. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.03545
- [2] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, et al., "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2021. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11929
- [3] Darius Afchar, Vincent Nozick, Junichi Yamagishi, and Isao Echizen, "Mesonet: A Compact Facial Video Forgery Detection Network," arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08685, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.08685v1
- [4] Yuezun Li, Pu Sun, Honggang Qi, and Siwei Lyu, "Deepfakes: A New Threat to Face Recognition? Insights and Countermeasures," Papers with Code. [Online]. Available: https://paperswithcode.com/paper/deepfakes-a-new-threat-to-face-recognition