

Project Report:

Replicating and Extending Text-to-Code Generation with Modality-Relative Pre-training

Sparsh Sehgal (241110071)
Tanmay Roy (231082)
Medikonda Amruth (220642)

November 14, 2025

Abstract

This report details the systematic process of replicating and extending a state-of-the-art text-to-code generation model. We successfully reproduced the core methodology of the baseline paper, including a complex environment setup, implementation of the Modality-Relative Pre-training (MRPT) pipeline with Partial Embedding Separation (PES), and establishment of a baseline evaluation using the `CodeGeeX` framework. We then designed and integrated two novel extensions: (1) a synthetic data augmentation pipeline via docstring paraphrasing and (2) an uncertainty-based filtering technique to improve `pass@k` scores. This report covers the exact commands, methodologies, and technical challenges encountered and resolved during each project phase, as documented in our setup logs.

1 Introduction and Baseline Paper

The goal of this project is to first replicate and then extend the findings of a recent publication in computational linguistics.

1.1 Baseline Paper Details

The foundation of our work is the EACL 2024 paper:

- **Title:** Text-to-Code Generation with Modality-relative Pre-training
- **Authors:** Fenia Christopoulou, Guchun Zhang, Gerasimos Lampouras
- **Conference:** 18th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (EACL 2024)
- **Date:** March 17-22, 2024

1.2 Core Methodology

The paper’s central hypothesis is that Natural Language (NL) and Programming Language (PL) are distinct modalities. The authors propose **Modality-Relative Pre-training (MRPT)**, a continual pre-training stage on a model already trained on a mix of text and code (a MAPT model). Our replication focuses on the paper’s most successful configuration:

- **Partial Embedding Separation (PES):** Duplicating embeddings only for Python-specific keywords.
- **CODE-CLM Objective:** Calculating the training loss **only** on the code tokens, not the docstring.

2 Phase 1: Project Setup and Replication

2.1 Environment Setup

A specific environment was required to ensure compatibility.

- The project repository was cloned using `git clone https://github.com/huawei-noah/noah-research.git`.
- `pip` was upgraded, and the `setup.py` file was modified to replace `==` with `>=` for all dependencies **except** `tokenizers` and `transformers`.
- The authors’ required versions, `tokenizers-0.12.1` and `transformers-4.19.0`, were strictly maintained.
- A system-specific version of PyTorch was installed to match our server’s CUDA 12.4, using the command:

```
pip install --upgrade "torch>=2.1.0" --index-url https://  
→ download.pytorch.org/whl/cu124
```

2.2 Dataset and Model Download

- **Dataset:** The training dataset was acquired and placed in `data/python_data.json`
- **Base Model:** The PyCodeGPT 100M model (our MAPT base) was downloaded locally, which saved the model to `models/pycodegpt-100m/`

2.3 Tokenization and Training

This multi-step process prepared the data and executed the MRPT pipeline.

1. **Data Augmentation:** This novel step (detailed in Section 3) was performed first. We ran:

```
python paraphrase_dataset.py --input_file data/python_data.
    ↪ json --output_file data_augmented/python_data.json
```

2. **Tokenization:** We tokenized the *augmented* dataset using the authors' script. This step also implemented PES by referencing `python_tokens.txt`. The command used was:

```
python sample_concatenation.py --main_dir=$(pwd)/ --
    ↪ dataset_dir=../data_augmented --tokenizer=pycodegpt --
    ↪ model_name_or_path=../models/pycodegpt-100m --save_name
    ↪ =pycodegpt_partial_sep --separate_some_embeds="
    ↪ python_tokens.txt"
```

3. **Training Execution:** The `run_model.sh` script was modified to append "\$@" to accept arguments. We then launched the training, explicitly enabling the CODE-CLM objective (`-predict_code=True`) and PES (`-separate_some_embeds`) :

```
bash run_model.sh --model_name_or_path ../models/pycodegpt
    ↪ -100m --dataset_name ./pycodegpt_partial_sep --
    ↪ predict_code=True --separate_some_embeds="python_tokens
    ↪ .txt" --output_dir out_repo_run_pycodegpt
```

3 Phase 2: Evaluation Pipeline and Novel Extensions

With a trained model, we implemented the evaluation pipeline and our novel filtering technique.

3.1 Evaluation Setup (CodeGeeX)

We followed the authors' instructions to set up the CodeGeeX evaluation framework.

1. Cloned the CodeGeeX repository and installed its requirements:

```
git clone https://github.com/THUDM/CodeGeeX.git
cd CodeGeeX
pip install -e .
```

2. Downloaded the MBPP dataset using the provided script `download_mbpp.py` inside the `CodeGeeX` directory.
3. Patched the `CodeGeeX` framework by copying our `codegeex_changes` files. This was crucial for adding MBPP support and modifying the `HumanEval` script.

```
# From the text2code_mrpt directory:
cp -r ./codegeex_changes/codegeex/benchmark/mbpp/ ./CodeGeeX/
  ↳ codegeex/benchmark/
cp ./codegeex_changes/scripts/evaluate_mbpp.sh ./CodeGeeX/
  ↳ scripts/
cp ./codegeex_changes/codegeex/benchmark/humaneval-x/
  ↳ evaluate_humaneval_x.py ./CodeGeeX/codegeex/benchmark/
  ↳ humaneval-x/
```

4. Critically, after `CodeGeeX` installed the latest `transformers` library, we reverted to the project's required versions by running `pip install -e .` from our main project directory.

3.2 Baseline Evaluation Command

We ran the standard evaluation to acquire baseline `pass@k` scores, ensuring our renamed model directory `out_repo_run_pycodegpt` was used so that `generation.py` would correctly identify it as a `pycodegpt` model.

```
bash geneval.sh -cgxp ../CodeGeeX -mf pycodegpt -mp ./
  ↳ out_repo_run_pycodegpt -dat mbpp -greedy False
```

3.3 Extension 2: Uncertainty Filtering Evaluation

Our second novel extension involves filtering the generated samples based on "cluster agreement" before calculating the `pass@k` score.

- **Setup:** We installed the `python-Levenshtein` library for fast string-distance calculation.
- **Methodology:** We created a new script, `filter_generations.py`, to perform this filtering. We also modified `geneval.sh` to support this new step. The modified script, `geneval_uncertainty_filter.sh`, first generates 2x the samples, then calls `filter_generations.py` to filter this larger set down to the most consistent `k` samples, which are then evaluated.
- **Execution Commands:**

```
# For MBPP
bash geneval_uncertainty_filter.sh -cgxp ../CodeGeeX -mf
  ↪ pycodegpt -mp ./out_repo_run_pycodegpt -dat mbpp -greedy
  ↪ False -filt True -gfac 2 -incr True

# For HumanEval
bash geneval_uncertainty_filter.sh -cgxp ../CodeGeeX -mf
  ↪ pycodegpt -mp ./out_repo_run_pycodegpt -dat humaneval -
  ↪ greedy False -filt True -gfac 2 -incr True
```

4 Conclusion and Current Status

We have successfully navigated a complex setup and replication process, culminating in a fully functional training and evaluation pipeline for the EACL 2024 paper. We have successfully trained a new model based on our first novel extension (data augmentation) and have fully implemented and debugged the pipeline for our second extension (uncertainty filtering).

We will compare the **pass@k** score of both models **after** applying our docstring augmentation and uncertainty filtering technique.