



# Dynamic Behavior and Stability of Closed-Loop Control Systems

Block representation

Closed-loop transfer function

Stability of closed-loop control systems

# Block Diagram Representation




**Figure 11.8** Standard block diagram of a feedback control system.

## Process

In section 4.3 the approximate dynamic model of a stirred-tank blending system was developed:

$$X'(s) = \left( \frac{K_1}{\tau s + 1} \right) X'_1(s) + \left( \frac{K_2}{\tau s + 1} \right) W'_2(s) \quad (11-1)$$


where  $\tau = \frac{V\rho}{\bar{w}}$ ,  $K_1 = \frac{\bar{w}_1}{\bar{w}}$ , and  $K_2 = \frac{1 - \bar{x}}{\bar{w}}$  (11-2)



## Composition Sensor-Transmitter (Analyzer)

We assume that the dynamic behavior of the composition sensor-transmitter can be approximated by a first-order transfer function:

$$\frac{X'_m(s)}{X'(s)} = \frac{K_m}{\tau_m s + 1} \quad (11-3)$$



## Current-to-Pressure (I/P) Transducer

Because transducers are usually designed to have linear characteristics and negligible (fast) dynamics, we assume that the transducer transfer function merely consists of a steady-state gain  $K_{IP}$ :

$$\frac{P'_t(s)}{P'(s)} = K_{IP} \quad (11-9)$$




Figure 11.5 Block diagram for the I/P transducer.

## Controller

Suppose that an electronic proportional plus integral controller is used. The controller transfer function is

$$\frac{P'(s)}{E(s)} = K_c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tau_I s} \right) \quad (11-4)$$

where  $P'(s)$  and  $E(s)$  are the Laplace transforms of the controller output  $p'(t)$  and the error signal  $e(t)$ . Note that  $p'$  and  $e$  are electrical signals that have units of mA, while  $K_c$  is dimensionless.

## Control Valve

As discussed in Section 9.2, control valves are usually designed so that the flow rate through the valve is a nearly linear function of the signal to the valve actuator. Therefore, a first-order transfer function usually provides an adequate model for operation of an installed valve in the vicinity of a nominal steady state. Thus, we assume that the control valve can be modeled as

$$\frac{W'_2(s)}{P'_t(s)} = \frac{K_v}{\tau_v s + 1} \quad (11-10)$$




Figure 11.6 Block diagram for the control valve.

# Block Diagram Representation





Figure 11.1 Composition control system for a stirred-tank blending process.








Figure 11.7 Block diagram for the entire blending process composition control system.




Figure 11.8 Standard block diagram of a feedback control system.

# Closed-Loop Transfer Functions

The block diagrams considered so far have been specifically developed for the stirred-tank blending system. The more general block diagram in Fig. 11.8 contains the standard notation:

$Y$  = controlled variable

$U$  = manipulated variable

$D$  = disturbance variable (also referred to as *load variable*)

$P$  = controller output

$E$  = error signal

$Y_m$  = measured value of  $Y$

$Y_{sp}$  = set point


$\tilde{Y}_{sp}$  = internal set point (used by the controller)

**Set-Point Changes**  $Y = Y_d + Y_u$  (11-14)

$$Y_d = G_d D = 0 \text{ (because } D = 0\text{)} \quad (11-15)$$

$$Y_u = G_p U \quad (11-16)$$

$$Y = G_p U \quad (11-17)$$



$$Y = G_p G_v P = G_p G_v G_c E \quad (11-23)$$

$$= G_p G_v G_c (\tilde{Y}_{sp} - Y_m) \quad (11-24)$$

$$= G_p G_v G_c (K_m Y_{sp} - G_m Y) \quad (11-25)$$


$$\frac{Y}{Y_{sp}} = \frac{K_m G_c G_v G_p}{1 + G_c G_v G_p G_m} \quad (11-26)$$

## Disturbance Changes

$$Y = Y_d + Y_u = G_d D + G_p U \quad (11-27)$$

Substituting (11-18) through (11-22) gives

$$Y = G_d D + G_p U = G_p G_v G_c (K_m Y_{sp} - G_m Y) \quad (11-28)$$



Because  $Y_{sp} = 0$  we can arrange (11-28) to give the closed-loop transfer function for disturbance changes:

$$\frac{Y}{D} = \frac{G_d}{1 + G_c G_v G_p G_m} \quad (11-29)$$

A comparison of Eqs. 11-26 and 11-29 indicates that both closed-loop transfer functions have the same denominator,  $1 + G_c G_v G_p G_m$ . The denominator is often written as  $1 + G_{OL}$  where  $G_{OL}$  is the *open-loop transfer function*,  $G_{OL} \triangleq G_c G_v G_p G_m$ .

At different points in the above derivations, we assumed that  $D = 0$  or  $Y_{sp} = 0$ , that is, that one of the two inputs was constant.

But suppose that  $D \neq 0$  and  $Y_{sp} \neq 0$ , as would be the case if a disturbance occurs during a set-point change. To analyze this situation, we rearrange Eq. 11-28 and substitute the definition of  $G_{OL}$  to obtain

$$Y = \frac{G_d}{1 + G_{OL}} D + \frac{K_m G_c G_v G_p}{1 + G_{OL}} Y_{sp} \quad (11-30)$$

Thus, the response to simultaneous disturbance variable and set-point changes is merely the sum of the individual responses, as can be seen by comparing Eqs. 11-26, 11-29, and 11-30.

This result is a consequence of the Superposition Principle for linear systems.

# Closed-Loop Responses of Simple Control Systems

In this section we consider the dynamic behavior of several elementary control problems for disturbance variable and set-point changes.

The transient responses can be determined in a straightforward manner if the closed-loop transfer functions are available.






Figure 11.15 Liquid-level control system.

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q_2'(s)} = G_p(s) = \frac{K_p}{\tau s + 1} \quad (11-34)$$


$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q_1'(s)} = G_d(s) = \frac{K_p}{\tau s + 1} \quad (11-35)$$

where  $K_p = R$  and  $\tau = RA$ . Note that  $G_p(s)$  and  $G_d(s)$  are identical because  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are both inlet flow rates and thus have the same effect on  $h$ .





# Proportional Control and Set-Point Changes



If a proportional controller is used, then  $G_c(s) = K_c$ .

$$\frac{H'(s)}{H'_{sp}(s)} = \frac{K_c K_v K_p K_m / (\tau s + 1)}{1 + K_c K_v K_p K_m / (\tau s + 1)} \quad (11-36)$$

$$\frac{H'(s)}{H'_{sp}(s)} = \frac{K_c K_v K_p K_m / (\tau s + 1)}{1 + K_c K_v K_p K_m / (\tau s + 1)} \quad (11-36)$$

This relation can be rearranged in the standard form for a first-order transfer function,

$$\frac{H'(s)}{H'_{sp}(s)} = \frac{K_1}{\tau_1 s + 1} \quad (11-37)$$

where:

$$K_1 = \frac{K_{OL}}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-38)$$

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\tau}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-39)$$

and the *open-loop gain*  $K_{OL}$  is given by

$$K_{OL} = K_c K_v K_p K_m \quad (11-40)$$

The closed-loop response to a unit step change of magnitude  $M$  in set point is given by

$$h'(t) = K_1 M (1 - e^{-t/\tau_1}) \quad (11-41)$$


$$K_1 = \frac{K_{OL}}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-38)$$

The new steady-state value :  $h'(\infty) = K_1 M$

The offset is defined as

$$\text{offset} \triangleq h'_{sp}(\infty) - h'(\infty) \quad (11-42)$$

$$\text{offset} = M - K_1 M = \frac{M}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-43)$$



## Proportional Control and Disturbance Changes

From Fig. 11.16 and Eq. 11-29 the closed-loop transfer function for disturbance changes with proportional control is

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = \frac{K_p / (\tau s + 1)}{1 + K_{OL} / (\tau s + 1)} \quad (11-53)$$

Rearranging gives

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = \frac{K_2}{\tau_1 s + 1} \quad (11-54)$$

where  $\tau_1$  is defined in (11-39) and  $K_2$  is given by

$$K_2 = \frac{K_p}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-55)$$

- A comparison of (11-54) and (11-37) indicates that both closed-loop transfer functions are first-order and have the same time constant.
- However, the steady-state gains,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , are different.
- From Eq. 11-54 it follows that the closed-loop response to a step change in disturbance of magnitude  $M$  is given by

$$h'(t) = K_2 M (1 - e^{-t/\tau_1}) \quad (11-56)$$

The offset can be determined from Eq. 11-56. Now  $h'_{sp}(\infty) = 0$  since we are considering disturbance changes and  $h'(\infty) = K_2 M$  for a step change of magnitude  $M$ .

Thus,

$$\text{offset} = 0 - h'(\infty) = -K_2 M = -\frac{K_p M}{1 + K_{OL}} \quad (11-57)$$




Figure 11.18 Set-point responses for Example 11.2.




Figure 11.19 Load responses for Example 11.3.



## PI Control and Disturbance Changes

For PI control,  $G_c(s) = K_c (1 + 1/\tau_I s)$ . The closed-loop transfer function for disturbance changes can then be derived from Fig. 11.16:

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = \frac{K_p / (\tau s + 1)}{1 + K_{OL} (1 + 1/\tau_I s) / (\tau s + 1)} \quad (11-58)$$

Clearing terms in the denominator gives

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = \frac{K_p \tau_I s}{\tau_I s (\tau s + 1) + K_{OL} \tau_I s} \quad (11-59)$$

Further rearrangement allows the denominator to be placed in the standard form for a second-order transfer function:

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = \frac{K_3 s}{\tau_3^2 s^2 + 2\zeta_3 \tau_3 s + 1} \quad (11-60)$$

where

$$K_3 = \tau_I / K_c K_v K_m \quad (11-61)$$

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 + K_{OL}}{\sqrt{K_{OL}}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\tau_I}{\tau}} \quad (11-62)$$

$$\tau_3 = \sqrt{\tau \tau_I / K_{OL}} \quad (11-63)$$

For a unit step change in disturbance,  $Q'_1(s) = 1/s$ , and (11-59) becomes

$$H'(s) = \frac{K_3}{\tau_3^2 s^2 + 2\zeta_3 \tau_3 s + 1} \quad (11-64)$$

For  $0 < \zeta_3 < 1$ , the response is a damped oscillation that can be described by

$$h'(t) = \frac{K_3}{\tau_3 \sqrt{1 - \zeta_3^2}} e^{-\zeta_3 t / \tau_3} \sin \left[ \sqrt{1 - \zeta_3^2} t / \tau_3 \right] \quad (11-65)$$

## PI Control of an Integrating Process

Consider the liquid-level control system shown in Fig. 11.22. This system differs from the previous example in two ways:

1. the exit line contains a pump and
2. the manipulated variable is the exit flow rate rather than an inlet flow rate.

In Section 5.3 we saw that a tank with a pump in the exit stream can act as an integrator with respect to flow rate changes because

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_3(s)} = G_p(s) = -\frac{1}{As} \quad (11-66)$$

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q'_1(s)} = G_d(s) = \frac{1}{As} \quad (11-67)$$




Figure 11.22 Liquid-level control system with pump in exit line.

If the level transmitter and control valve in Eq. 11.22 have negligible dynamics, the  $G_m(s) = K_m$  and  $G_v(s) = K_v$ . For PI control,  $G_c(s) = K_c (1 + 1/\tau_I s)$ . Substituting these expressions into the closed-loop transfer function for disturbance changes

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q_1'(s)} = \frac{G_d}{1 + G_c G_v G_p G_m} \quad (11-68)$$

and rearranging gives

$$\frac{H'(s)}{Q_1'(s)} = \frac{K_4 s}{\tau_4^2 s^2 + 2\zeta_4 \tau_4 s + 1} \quad (11-69)$$

where

$$K_4 = -\tau / K_c K_v K_m \quad (11-70)$$

$$\tau_4 = \sqrt{\tau_I / K_{OL}} \quad (11-71)$$

$$\zeta_4 = 0.5 \sqrt{K_{OL} \tau_I} \quad (11-72)$$

And  $K_{OL} = K_c K_v K_p K_m$  with  $K_p = -1/A$ .

# Stability of Closed-Loop Control Systems

## *Example 11.4*

Consider the feedback control system shown in Fig. 11.8 with the following transfer functions:

$$G_c = K_c \qquad G_v = \frac{1}{2s+1} \qquad (11-73)$$

$$G_p = G_d = \frac{1}{5s+1} \qquad G_m = \frac{1}{s+1} \qquad (11-74)$$

Show that the closed-loop system produces unstable responses if controller gain  $K_c$  is too large.




Figure 11.23. Effect of controller gains on closed-loop response to a unit step change in set point (example 11.1).

# Stability

- Most industrial processes are stable without feedback control. Thus, they are said to be *open-loop stable* or *self-regulating*.
- An open-loop stable process will return to the original steady state after a transient disturbance (one that is not sustained) occurs.
- By contrast there are a few processes, such as exothermic chemical reactors, that can be *open-loop unstable*.

***Definition of Stability.*** *An unconstrained linear system is said to be stable if the output response is bounded for all bounded inputs. Otherwise it is said to be unstable.*



## *Characteristic Equation*

As a starting point for the stability analysis, consider the block diagram in Fig. 11.8. Using block diagram algebra that was developed earlier in this chapter, we obtain

$$Y = \frac{K_m G_c G_v G_p}{1 + G_{OL}} Y_{sp} + \frac{G_d}{1 + G_{OL}} D \quad (11-80)$$

where  $G_{OL}$  is the open-loop transfer function,  $G_{OL} = G_c G_v G_p G_m$ . For the moment consider set-point changes only, in which case Eq. 11-80 reduces to the closed-loop transfer function,

$$\frac{Y}{Y_{sp}} = \frac{K_m G_c G_v G_p}{1 + G_{OL}} \quad (11-81)$$

Comparing Eqs. 11-81 and 11-82 indicates that the poles are also the roots of the following equation, which is referred to as the *characteristic equation* of the closed-loop system:

$$1 + G_{OL} = 0 \quad (11-83)$$

***General Stability Criterion.*** *The feedback control system in Fig. 11.8 is stable if and only if all roots of the characteristic equation are negative or have negative real parts. Otherwise, the system is unstable.*

### ***Example 11.8***

Consider a process,  $G_p = 0.2 / (-s + 1)$ , and thus is open-loop unstable. If  $G_v = G_m = 1$ , determine whether a proportional controller can stabilize the closed-loop system.




Figure 11.25  
Stability regions  
in the complex  
plane for roots  
of the charact-  
eristic equation.




Figure 11.26  
Contributions of  
characteristic  
equation roots to  
closed-loop  
response.

## Solution

The characteristic equation for this system is

$$s + 0.2K_c - 1 = 0 \quad (11-92)$$

Which has the single root,  $s = -1 + 0.2K_c$ . Thus, the stability requirement is that  $K_c < 5$ . This example illustrates the important fact that feedback control can be used to stabilize a process that is not stable without control.

## Routh Stability Criterion

The Routh stability criterion is based on a characteristic equation that has the form

$$a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0 = 0 \quad (11-93)$$

## Routh array:

Row				
1	$a_n$	$a_{n-2}$	$a_{n-4}$	$\dots$
2	$a_{n-1}$	$a_{n-3}$	$a_{n-5}$	$\dots$
3	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$\dots$
4	$c_1$	$c_2$	$\dots$	
$\vdots$	$\vdots$			
$n + 1$	$z_1$			

where:

$$b_1 = \frac{a_{n-1}a_{n-2} - a_n a_{n-3}}{a_{n-1}} \quad (11-94)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{a_{n-1}a_{n-4} - a_n a_{n-5}}{a_{n-1}} \quad (11-95)$$

$\vdots$

and:

$$c_1 = \frac{b_1 a_{n-3} - a_{n-1} b_2}{b_1} \quad (11-96)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{b_1 a_{n-5} - a_{n-1} b_3}{b_1} \quad (11-97)$$

$\vdots$

### ***Routh Stability Criterion:***

*A necessary and sufficient condition for all roots of the characteristic equation in Eq. 11-93 to have negative real parts is that all of the elements in the left column of the Routh array are positive.*

### *Example 11.9*

Determine the stability of a system that has the characteristic equation

$$s^4 + 5s^3 + 3s^2 + 1 = 0 \quad (11-98)$$

#### **Solution**

Because the  $s$  term is missing, its coefficient is zero. Thus, the system is unstable. Recall that a necessary condition for stability is that all of the coefficients in the characteristic equation must be positive.



**Example 11.10**

Find the values of controller gain  $K_c$  that make the feedback control system of Eq. 11.4 stable.

**Solution**

From Eq. 11-76, the characteristic equation is

$$10s^3 + 17s^2 + 8s + 1 + K_c = 0 \quad (11-99)$$

All coefficients are positive provided that  $1 + K_c > 0$  or  $K_c < -1$ .  
The Routh array is

10	8
17	$1 + K_c$
$b_1$	$b_2$
$c_1$	

To have a stable system, each element in the left column of the Routh array must be positive. Element  $b_1$  will be positive if  $K_c < 12.6$ . Similarly,  $c_1$  will be positive if  $K_c > -1$ .

## Direct Substitution Method

- The imaginary axis divides the complex plane into stable and unstable regions for the roots of characteristic equation, as indicated in Fig. 11.26.
- On the imaginary axis, the real part of  $s$  is zero, and thus we can write  $s=j\omega$ . Substituting  $s=j\omega$  into the characteristic equation allows us to find a stability limit such as the maximum value of  $K_c$ .
- As the gain  $K_c$  is increased, the roots of the characteristic equation cross the imaginary axis when  $K_c = K_{cm}$ .

### *Example 11.12*

Use the direct substitution method to determine  $K_{cm}$  for the system with the characteristic equation given by Eq. 11-99.

#### **Solution**

Substitute  $s = j\omega$  and  $K_c = K_{cm}$  into Eq. 11-99:

$$-10j\omega^3 - 17\omega^2 + 8j\omega + 1 + K_{cm} = 0$$

or

$$(1 + K_{cm} - 17\omega^2) + j(8\omega - 10\omega^3) = 0$$

(11-105)

Equation 11-105 is satisfied if both the real and imaginary parts are identically zero:

$$1 + K_{cm} - 17\omega^2 = 0 \quad (11-106a)$$

$$8\omega - 10\omega^3 = \omega(8 - 10\omega^2) = 0 \quad (11-106b)$$

Therefore,

$$\omega^2 = 0.8 \Rightarrow \omega = \pm 0.894 \quad (11-107)$$

and from (11-106a),

$$K_{cm} = 12.6$$

# Root Locus Diagrams

## *Example 11.13*

Consider a feedback control system that has the open-loop transfer function,

$$G_{OL}(s) = \frac{4K_c}{(s+1)(s+2)(s+3)} \quad (11-108)$$

Plot the root locus diagram for  $0 \leq K_c \leq 20$ .

### **Solution**

The characteristic equation is  $1 + G_{OL} = 0$  or

$$(s+1)(s+2)(s+3) + 4K_c = 0 \quad (11-109)$$

- The root locus diagram in Fig. 11.27 shows how the three roots of this characteristic equation vary with  $K_c$ .
- When  $K_c = 0$ , the roots are merely the poles of the open-loop transfer function, -1, -2, and -3.




Figure 11.6.

Figure 11.27 Root locus diagram for third-order system. X denotes an open-loop pole. Dots denote locations of the closed-loop poles for different values of  $K_c$ . Arrows indicate change of pole locations as  $K_c$  increases.




Figure 11.29. Flowchart for performing a stability analysis.