

A DMC_x^2 Multi-channel cross-correlation analyses of a motor/imaginary human activity experiment electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings

Fernando Ferraz Ribeiro^{1,4}, Andrea de Almeida Brito³, Florêncio Mendes Oliveira Filho⁵, Juan Alberto Leyva Cruz², Gilney Figueira Zebende²

1 Federal University of Bahia, Brazil

2 State University of Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

3 Department of Mathematic, Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology , Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

4 PPGM, State University of Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil

5 Computation Engineeering, SENAI CIMATEC University Center, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

These authors contributed equally to this work.

These authors also contributed equally to this work.

Current Address: Dept/Program/Center, Institution Name, City, State, Country

Deceased

Membership list can be found in the Acknowledgments section.

* correspondingauthor@institute.edu

Abstract

This paper presents an investigation on the electroencephalogram (EEG) 64-channels recordings of a motor/imaginary experiment, using the detrended multiple cross-correlation coefficient (DMC_x^2). Analyzing data from 4 channels, F_{332} , F_{637} (frontal region of the head) and P_{349} , P_{654} (parietal region of the head), the DMC_x^2 was applied to evaluate the correlation among one of the channels with the three others alternately. Analyzing all the motor/imaginary experiments and 108 of the 109 subjects, this research points to a pattern, detected on most of the subjects: Using channel F_{332} against the others, the correlation coefficient is higher compared to the other combinations. The reason why some persons don't match that pattern is still an open question.

Introduction

The electroencephalogram (EEG) is, in short, a technic that reads electrical signals from the brain activity with the use of sensors placed in the scalp of the patient and makes sense of this data. Impulses are amplified and recorded over time in parallel, generating one time serie for each sensor. The EEG equipment measures the electric potential difference from each sensor position in relation with a reference sensor, usually placed in the ear lobe. Although the EEG is almost a centenary technic, in recent decades EEG has addressed new problems as brain-triggered neurorehabilitation treatments, experimental psychology or even computational neuroscience, due to it's versatility and accessibility combined with the advances in signal processing [1].

The recordings used are available at the Physionet on-line databank (<https://physionet.org/pn4/eegmmidb/>). The data is originated from a study that perform 14 experiments on a population of 109 subjects, Using 64 electrodes to record the brain signals. The first 2 experiments are baseline references, the subjects where resting with eyes opened end the second with eyes closed (one minute for each). The other four experiments are a combination of two categories with two possible options each $2 \times 2 = 4$. In general, the experiments consist of making the subjects react over visual stimulus: a target that appears on a screen. One category is about the target position, one option is a target that will apearar on the left or the right of the screen, in the other,the target will appear on the top or on the bottom of the screen. The second category determines if the subject will actually execute a body action related to the target position (Real) or if the corresponding action will be just imagined (Imaginary) by the subject. The tasks, with duration of two minutes, are described below:

- **Task 1: a target appears on either the left or the right side of the screen. The subject opens and closes the corresponding fist until the target disappears. Then the subject relaxes. (Real (L/R));**
- **Task 2: a target appears on either the left or the right side of the screen. The subject imagines opening and closing the corresponding fist until the target disappears. Then the subject relaxes. (Imag (L/R));**
- **Task 3: a target appears on either the top or the bottom of the screen. The subject opens and closes either both fists (if the target is on top) or both feet (if the target is on the bottom) until the target disappears. Then the subject relaxes. (Real (T/D));**
- **Task 4: a target appears on either the top or the bottom of the screen. The subject imagines opening and closing either both fists (if the target is on top) or both feet (if the target is on the bottom) until the target disappears. Then the subject relaxes. (Imag (T/D)).**

Table 1 presents witch Task is carried in each experiment number. Every subject executes the four tasks three times. Experiments 3, 7 and 11 applies Task 1 to the subjects, experiments 4, 8 and 12, Task 2, experiments 5, 9 and 13, Task 3 and experiments 6, 10 e 14 uses Task 4.


Table 1. Experiment x task relation

Experiment n ^o	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Baseline 1	x													
Baseline 2		x												
Task 1			x				x				x			
Task 2				x				x				x		
Task 3					x				x				x	
Task 4						x				x				x

The experiment and the executed tasks: Two one-minute baseline (eyes open/closed) and three two-minute of four Tasks.

The electrodes in all tasks and experiments where located as shown in Figure 1. The colored circles in Figure 1 correspond to the positions of the electrodes channels whose recordings were used in our analyses: $F_{3/2}$ (blue) and $F_{6/7}$ (yellow), on the frontal region of the head, and $P_{3/4}$ (red) and $P_{6/5}$ (green), on the parietal region of the head.

Fig 1. Electrodes position illustration.



Position of the 64 electrodes according to the international 10-20 system (excluding electrodes Nz, F9, F10, FT9, FT10, A1, A2, TP9, TP10, P9, and P10). The colored dots: F_{332} (blue), F_{637} (yellow), P_{349} (red), P_{654} (green) identify the channels used in this research.

The four channels are alternately picked as dependent variable and the correlation against the other three are calculated by the application of the Detrended Multiple Cross-Correlation Coefficient (DMC_x^2) [2]

In the next sections we will present the dataset; the methodology used to analyze the data, including pre-processing strategies and criteria, and the DMC_x^2 method; the results, statistics and data visualization for the analyzed populations and individual results for randomly selected subjects. The discussion of the results and the conclusions are presented in sequence. In the supporting materials, a link to access all the calculations and data visualization for all the experiment subjects is available.

Materials and methods

The Physionet on-line databank is publicly available at <https://physionet.org/pn4/eegmmidb/>, presents recordings of the EEG experiments described in previous section. The data is provided in EDF (European data format) files. The files of all the experiments for every subject were downloaded using a web

scraping script created by the authors using Python and the package Beautiful Soap.

The EDF files were opened using Python package pyedflib and translated into text files. In EEG experiments, usually the end of the recordings is filled with a sequence of zeroes, corresponding to the time gap between the shooting down of the EEG machine and the recording system. In this pre-processing stage, all the trailing zeroes sequences are eliminated from the records. To properly apply the DMC_x^2 calculations and the intended comparisons between experiments and subjects, all the time series must have the same length. The research found out that the experiment number 5 (Top/Down, Real) conducted with subject S106 has only 5808 valid recorded points. The second smallest time series has 15742 valid records (subject: S100, experiment: 12 -Left/Right, Imaginary).

The interval between each recorded value in this equipment is 6.25 ms, and the recordings on experiment 5 of subject S106 is 36.3 s. The duration is way smaller than the expected 2 minutes and the series is relatively small to the application of the DMC_x^2 method. Cutting all the subjects data to this duration implies a great loss of data. The second smaller series of 15742 represents a total duration of 98.3875 s. The value was considered adequate to the DMC_x^2 method and the duration is about 82% of the expected duration. The decision was to eliminate subject S106 from the experiment and to cut all time series at recording point 15742, resulting in a total number of 108 subjects with all 12 experiments per subject lasting 98.3875 s.

To analyse the dataset, this study uses the Detrended Multiple Cross-Correlation Coefficient (DMC_x^2) [2]. This coefficient, based on the ρ_{DCCA} [3], determines the correlation between one time series (as the dependent variable) and a number n of time series (as independent variables).

The $DCCA$ aims to identify the existence of a correlations among two time series, and is very similar to the DFA algorithm: the steps 1, 2 and 3 are calculated for two timeseries x_{1i} and x_{2i} , generating the integrated series X_{1k} and X_{2k} in the first steps and the detrended series $\tilde{X}_{1k,i}$ and $\tilde{X}_{2k,i}$ in the third step.

$$f_{DCCA}^2(n, i) = \frac{1}{1+n} \sum_{k=i}^{i+n} (X_{1k} - \tilde{X}_{1k,i})(X_{2k} - \tilde{X}_{2k,i}) \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} DMC_x^2 = & (\rho_{X_2, X_3}^2 \times \rho_{Y, X_1}^2 - \rho_{Y, X_1}^2 + \rho_{X_1, X_3}^2 \times \rho_{Y, X_2}^2 - \rho_{Y, X_2}^2 + \\ & 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_2} \times \rho_{Y, X_1} \times \rho_{Y, X_2} - 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_3} \times \rho_{X_2, X_3} \times \rho_{Y, X_1} + \\ & \rho_{X_1, X_2}^2 \times \rho_{Y, X_3}^2 - \rho_{Y, X_3}^2 + 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_3} \times \rho_{Y, X_1} \times \rho_{Y, X_3} - \\ & 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_2} \times \rho_{X_2, X_3} \times \rho_{Y, X_1} \times \rho_{Y, X_3} - \\ & 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_2} \times \rho_{X_1, X_3} \times \rho_{Y, X_2} \times \rho_{Y, X_3} + \\ & 2 \times \rho_{X_2, X_3} \times \rho_{Y, X_2} \times \rho_{Y, X_3}) / \\ & (\rho_{X_1, X_2}^2 + \rho_{X_1, X_3}^2 + \rho_{X_2, X_3}^2 - 2 \times \rho_{X_1, X_2} \times \rho_{X_1, X_3} \times \rho_{X_2, X_3}^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The F_{DFA} root mean square (rms) fluctuation function was already used to analyze a subset of the same dataset used here to evaluate [4] [5] [6] [7, 8], [9], [3], [10],

Results

Fig 2

Nulla mi mi, venenatis sed ipsum varius, Table 1 volutpat euismod diam. Proin rutrum vel massa non gravida. Quisque tempor sem et dignissim rutrum. Lorem ipsum

Fig 2. Bold the figure title.
Subject code: S014




Figure caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

Fig 3. Bold the figure title.
Subject code: S036




Figure caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi at justo vitae nulla elementum
commodo eu id massa. In vitae diam ac augue semper tincidunt eu ut eros. Fusce
fringilla erat porttitor lectus cursus, vel sagittis arcu lobortis. Aliquam in enim semper,
aliquam massa id, cursus neque. Praesent faucibus semper libero.

LOREM and IPSUM nunc blandit a tortor

3rd level heading

Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida. Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed
ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula. Curabitur fringilla pulvinar
lectus consectetur pellentesque. Quisque augue sem, tincidunt sit amet feugiat eget,
ullamcorper sed velit. Sed non aliquet felis. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur
adipiscing elit. Mauris commodo justo ac dui pretium imperdiet. Sed suscipit iaculis mi
at feugiat.

Fig 4. Bold the figure title.
Subject code: S039




Figure
caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using
subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

Fig 5. Bold the figure title.
Subject code: S078




Figure
caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using
subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

1. react 107
2. diffuse free particles 108
3. increment time by dt and go to 1 109

Sed ac quam id nisi malesuada congue 110

Nulla mi mi, venenatis sed ipsum varius, volutpat euismod diam. Proin rutrum vel 111
massa non gravida. Quisque tempor sem et dignissim rutrum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit 112
amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi at justo vitae nulla elementum commodo eu id 113
massa. In vitae diam ac augue semper tincidunt eu ut eros. Fusce fringilla erat porttitor 114
lectus cursus, vel sagittis arcu lobortis. Aliquam in enim semper, aliquam massa id, 115
cursus neque. Praesent faucibus semper libero. 116

- First bulleted item. 117

Fig 6. Bold the figure title.
Subject code: S099




Figure
caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using
subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

Fig 7. Bold the figure title.
Global stats: mean




Figure
caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using
subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

- Second bulleted item.
- Third bulleted item.

118

119

Discussion

120

Nulla mi mi, venenatis sed ipsum varius, Table 1 volutpat euismod diam. Proin rutrum
vel massa non gravida. Quisque tempor sem et dignissim rutrum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit
amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Morbi at justo vitae nulla elementum commodo eu id
massa. In vitae diam ac augue semper tincidunt eu ut eros. Fusce fringilla erat porttitor
lectus cursus, vel sagittis arcu lobortis. Aliquam in enim semper, aliquam massa id,
cursus neque. Praesent faucibus semper libero.

121

122

123

124

125

126

Fig 8. Bold the figure title.
Global stats: median




Figure caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

Fig 9. Bold the figure title.
Global stats: std pop




Figure caption text here, please use this space for the figure panel descriptions instead of using subfigure commands. A: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. B: Consectetur adipiscing elit.

Conclusion

CO₂ Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida. Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula. Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque. Quisque augue sem, tincidunt sit amet feugiat eget, ullamcorper sed velit.

Sed non aliquet felis. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Mauris commodo justo ac dui pretium imperdiet. Sed suscipit iaculis mi at feugiat. Ut neque ipsum, luctus id lacus ut, laoreet scelerisque urna. Phasellus venenatis, tortor nec vestibulum mattis, massa tortor interdum felis, nec pellentesque metus tortor nec nisl. Ut ornare mauris tellus, vel dapibus arcu suscipit sed. Nam condimentum sem eget mollis euismod. Nullam dui urna, gravida venenatis dui et, tincidunt sodales ex. Nunc est dui, sodales sed mauris nec, auctor sagittis leo. Aliquam tincidunt, ex in facilisis elementum, libero lectus luctus est, non vulputate nisl augue at dolor. For more information, see.

Supporting information141

S1 Fig. Bold the title sentence. Add descriptive text after the title of the item142
(optional).143

S2 Fig. Lorem ipsum. Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida.144
Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula.145
Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque.146

S1 File. Lorem ipsum. Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida.147
Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula.148
Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque.149

S1 Video. Lorem ipsum. Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida.150
Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula.151
Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque.152

S1 Appendix. Lorem ipsum. Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices153
gravida. Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec154
euismod ligula. Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque.155

S1 Table. Lorem ipsum. Maecenas convallis mauris sit amet sem ultrices gravida.156
Etiam eget sapien nibh. Sed ac ipsum eget enim egestas ullamcorper nec euismod ligula.157
Curabitur fringilla pulvinar lectus consectetur pellentesque.158

Acknowledgments159

Cras egestas velit mauris, eu mollis turpis pellentesque sit amet. Interdum et malesuada160
fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus. Nam id pretium nisi. Sed ac quam id nisi161
malesuada congue. Sed interdum aliquet augue, at pellentesque quam rhoncus vitae.162

References163

1. Biasiucci A, Franceschiello B, Murray MM. Electroencephalography. Current164
Biology. 2019;29(3):R80–R85. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.052.165
2. Zebende GF, Silva AM. Detrended Multiple Cross-Correlation Coefficient.166
Physica A. 2018;510:91–97. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2018.06.119.167
3. Zebende GF. DCCA Cross-Correlation Coefficient: Quantifying Level of168
Cross-Correlation. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications.169
2011;390(4):614–618. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2010.10.022.170
4. Mesquita VB, Oliveira Filho FM, Rodrigues PC. Detection of Crossover Points in171
Detrended Fluctuation Analysis: An Application to EEG Signals of Patients with172
Epilepsy. Bioinformatics. 2021;37(9):1278–1284.173
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa955.174
5. Oliveira Filho FM, Leyva Cruz JA, Zebende GF. Analysis of the EEG Bio-Signals175
during the Reading Task by D F A Method. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics176
and its Applications. 2019;525:664–671. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2019.04.035.177

6. Filho FMO, Zebende GF, Guedes EF, Filho AMdS, de Castro APN, Cruz JAL. Self-Regulation in Electroencephalographic Signals during an Arithmetic Performance Test: An Approach with an Rms Fluctuation Function. International Journal of Health Science. 2021;1(9):2–12. doi:10.22533/at.ed.15921091210. 178
179
180
181
182
7. Peng CK, Buldyrev SV, Havlin S, Simons M, Stanley HE, Goldberger AL. Mosaic Organization of DNA Nucleotides. Physical Review E. 1994;49(2):1685–1689. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.49.1685. 183
184
185
8. Buldyrev SV, Goldberger AL, Havlin S, Mantegna RN, Malsa ME, Peng CK, et al. Long-Range Correlation Properties of Coding and Noncoding DNA Sequences: GenBank Analysis. Physical Review E. 1995;51(5):5084–5091. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.51.5084. 186
187
188
189
9. Podobnik B, Stanley HE. Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis: A New Method for Analyzing Two Nonstationary Time Series. Physical Review Letters. 2008;100(8). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.084102. 190
191
192
10. Podobnik B, Jiang ZQ, Zhou WX, Stanley HE. Statistical Tests for Power-Law Cross-Correlated Processes. Phys Rev E. 2011;84(6):66118. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.066118. 193
194
195