Deep Learning MCQ Rapid Notes — What to Know

Use this as a high-yield cram sheet. Each node lists crisp facts, formulas, and classic MCQ traps.

I. Deep Learning Fundamentals & Context

A. Definitions & Taxonomy

- AI ► ML ► DL ► (LLMs/GenAI): DL ⊂ ML ⊂ AI. LLMs are DL models; many LLMs are generative.
- **Generative AI**: Models that synthesize content (text/images/code/audio) from a learned distribution.
- Shallow learning: ML methods without deep stacks (e.g., linear/logistic regression, SVM, trees).
- DL vs ML: DL learns features automatically; shallow ML relies on hand-engineered features.

MCQ cues: "Which is a subset of which?" \rightarrow **LLMs** \subset **DL** \subset **ML** \subset **AI**.

B. Core Concepts

- Feature extraction: DL does it end-to-end; shallow ML often requires manual features (SIFT, MFCCs, etc.).
- Black box: Hard to interpret internals; mitigations: saliency maps, SHAP, attention viz.
- Scaling laws: Performance improves predictably with more model size, data, and compute.
- **Emergent abilities**: Qualitatively new behaviors at scale (e.g., multi-step reasoning suddenly improves).

 $\textbf{MCQ cues} : \text{``What mainly differentiates DL from classic ML?''} \rightarrow \textbf{Automatic representation learning}.$

C. History & Milestones (high-level)

- McCulloch-Pitts (1943) neurons; Hebbian rule (1949); Turing Test (1950); McCarthy coins "AI" (1956).
- Perceptron (1957); Limits (1969): can't solve non-linearly separable (XOR) without hidden layers.
- **Expert systems (1980s)**: rule bases + inference engines; brittle, knowledge engineering bottleneck.
- Deep Learning era: term in 1980s; Hinton et al. 2006 deep belief nets kick-start modern DL.
- Milestones: ImageNet (2009); AlexNet (2012) deep CNN; AlphaGo (mid-2010s); TensorFlow (2015); ChatGPT (2022).
- 2018 Turing Award: Bengio, Hinton, LeCun.

MCQ cues: "Perceptron's classic failure case?" → XOR/non-linear separability.

II. Neural Networks & Activation Functions

A. Network structure

- Stack of layers: Input → hidden (weights + bias + activation) → output.
- No activations (all linear) ⇒ equivalent to one linear layer.

B. Bio vs. artificial

- Biological: dendrites, soma, axon, spikes.
- Artificial: units/neurons; weights are parameters learned from data.

C. Activations (purpose & quick pros/cons)

- Purpose: Inject non-linearity, enabling complex mappings.
- **Step**: binary output; **not differentiable** → no gradient; rarely used.
- Sigmoid: (0,1); vanishing gradients, not zero-centered, slower.
- Tanh: (-1,1); zero-centered but still can vanish.
- **ReLU**: max(0,x); fast, sparse; issues: **dying ReLU**, not zero-centered.
- Leaky ReLU: small negative slope; mitigates dying ReLU.
- **Swish/SiLU**: $x \cdot sigmoid(x)$; smooth; strong in vision nets (e.g., EfficientNet).
- Mish: self-regularized, non-monotonic; works well in detection/NLP.
- **GELU**: used in **Transformers** (BERT/GPT); smooth, stochastic gating interpretation.

MCQ cues: "Which is zero-centered?" \rightarrow **tanh** (not sigmoid/ReLU). "Which activation in GPT/BERT?" \rightarrow **GELU**.

III. Training, Loss Functions, Backpropagation

A. Optimization basics

- Gradient Descent minimizes loss via gradients.
- SGD (minibatch): noisy but efficient; batch size trades variance vs. throughput.
- **Learning rate (ŋ)**: most critical hyperparameter; often **decay** over time.
- **Backprop**: reverse-mode auto-diff using **chain rule**; enables efficient gradient computation.
- Jacobian: matrix of first-order partials; used conceptually for vector-valued mappings.

MCQ cues: "Why backprop?" → Efficient gradients for all parameters in one backward pass.

B. Losses — Regression

- MSE/L2: penalizes large errors heavily; outlier-sensitive; assumes Gaussian noise.
- MAE/L1: robust to outliers; constant gradient; non-smooth at 0; assumes Laplace noise.
- Smooth L1 (Huber): quadratic near 0, linear for large residuals; combats exploding gradients.

C. Losses — Classification

- **Logistic regression**: sigmoid → Bernoulli prob.
- **Cross-entropy**: measures divergence between true P and model Q; penalizes **confident wrong** predictions strongly.

- Softmax: multi-class probs sum to 1.
- Softmax + Cross-Entropy: numerically stable; equivalent to multinomial logistic regression.

MCQ cues: "Why choose cross-entropy over MSE for classification?" \rightarrow **Better gradients**; avoids saturation issues.

IV. Advanced Optimization & Regularization

A. Optimizers (what distinguishes)

- Momentum: adds velocity to damp zig-zag, speed plateaus.
- Nesterov (NAG): look-ahead gradient at the approx. future position.
- Adagrad: per-parameter LR, accumulates squared grads → ever-decreasing LR.
- RMSProp: moving avg of squared grads (no indefinite decay); stabilizes Adagrad.
- Adam: momentum (1st moment) + RMSProp-like scaling (2nd moment). Typical β₁=0.9, β₂=0.999, ε≈1e-8.
- AdamW: decouples weight decay from gradient update → better regularization than L2 in Adam.
- Nadam: Adam with Nesterov-style momentum.

MCQ cues: "Which optimizer decouples weight decay?" → **AdamW**. "Which has vanishing LR over time?" → **Adagrad**.

B. Regularization (reduce overfitting)

- Overfitting: high train acc, low val acc; Underfitting: low both.
- Bias-variance trade-off: regularization reduces variance (can raise bias).
- L2/weight decay: shrinks weights; improves generalization.
- L1: sparsity; feature selection.
- Elastic Net: L1 + L2.
- Early stopping: stop when val error rises.
- **Label smoothing**: soften targets (e.g., ε =0.1) to prevent over-confidence.
- **Dropout**: randomly drop activations during **training**; **inverted dropout** scales at train time so test time is identity.
- **Variants**: Gaussian dropout, DropConnect (drop weights), variational dropout, attention/embedding dropout, DropBlock.
- Stochastic depth: skip entire residual blocks during training (deep ResNets).

MCQ cues: "Dropout used at test time?" \rightarrow **No** (only inference with full net; scaling handled by training variant).

V. PyTorch Framework & Implementation

A. Concepts

- Dynamic graph (define-by-run): graphs built as Python executes (flexible control flow).
- Autograd: set requires_grad=True ; .backward() computes grads.
- **Freezing**: set | requires_grad=False | for layers when fine-tuning.

B. Workflow

1) Dataset/DataLoader \rightarrow 2) nn.Module model \rightarrow 3) Train loop (forward, loss, backward, step) \rightarrow 4) Eval (no grad) \rightarrow 5) Save/Load (state_dict). - Optimizers: SGD, Adam, RMSprop, Adagrad, Adadelta, AdamW, LBFGS. - LR schedulers: StepLR, MultiStepLR, ExponentialLR, CosineAnnealingLR, etc.

C. Loss APIs (shape gotchas)

- nn.CrossEntropyLoss: **expects logits** of shape (N, C) and **targets** as LongTensor class indices (N,). Internally = LogSoftmax + NLLLoss.
- nn.NLLLoss : expects log-probs.
- nn.BCELoss : expects **probabilities** (0..1).
- nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss : expects **logits**; internally applies sigmoid.
- nn.MSELoss , nn.L1Loss : regression losses.

MCQ cues: "Do you pass softmax before CrossEntropyLoss?" → **No** (pass raw logits).

VI. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

A. Why CNNs

- FC layers on images: parameter explosion, lose spatial structure, no translation invariance.
- **CNNs**: local receptive fields + **weight sharing** → fewer params + translation-equivariant features.

B. Convolution mechanics

- Filter/kernel slides spatially to detect patterns.
- Output size (no padding): (N F)/stride + 1 (per dimension, assume integer result).
- Padding: adds borders; common "same size" pad: (F-1)/2 | when | stride=1 | and odd | F |.
- Parameter count Conv2d: (kH·kW·in_ch·out_ch) + out_ch (if bias).
- Typical block: Conv → Norm (optional) → Activation (e.g., ReLU/SiLU).

C. Pooling

- Max pooling: emphasizes strong responses; Avg pooling: smooths.
- Adaptive pooling: specify output size directly.
- Global average pooling: replaces large dense layer; 0 weights.

D. Transfer learning

- Feature extractor: freeze backbone; train head (fast, less data).
- Fine-tuning: unfreeze some/all layers with small LR; better if you have data.

MCQ cues: "Conv2d params for 3×3, in=64, out=128, bias?" \rightarrow 3*3*64*128 + 128 = 73,856.

Quick-Solve MCQ Patterns (with answers)

1) Which activation is NOT zero-centered? Sigmoid/ReLU. (tanh is zero-centered) 2) Why Softmax+Cross-Entropy over MSE for classification? Better gradients + numerical stability. 3) Perceptron fails on? XOR / non-linearly separable. 4) Which optimizer decouples weight decay? AdamW. 5) Label smoothing does what? Reduces over-confidence by assigning small mass to non-targets. 6) Backprop relies on which calculus rule? Chain rule. 7) CNN advantage vs FC on images? Far fewer params + translation equivariance. 8) Dropout train vs test? Active in training only (inverted scaling); off at test. 9) CrossEntropyLoss expects? Raw logits + integer class targets. 10) Emergent ability definition? New capabilities appearing abruptly as scale increases.

Micro-Formulas to Memorize

```
• ReLU: \max(0, x); Leaky ReLU: \max(\alpha x, x) (\alpha \approx 0.01).

• Swish/SiLU: x \cdot \sigma(x); GELU \approx 0.5 \times (1 + \tanh(\sqrt{(2/\pi)(x + 0.044715x^3))}).

• Softmax: softmax(z_i) = e^{z_i} / \sum_j e^{z_j}.

• Cross-entropy (multi-class): L = -\sum_i y_i \log p_i.

• Conv output (1D): L(N + 2P - F)/S + 1; (apply per spatial dim for 2D/3D).
```

Last-Minute Drill Prompts

- Explain **why** softmax + CE is preferred over sigmoid + MSE.
- Compute conv output for N=32, F=5, S=1, P=2 \Rightarrow 32.
- Contrast Adam vs AdamW in one sentence.
- Two causes of **vanishing gradients** (sigmoid/tanh saturation; deep chains w/ poor init) and two fixes (ReLU/SiLU; residuals/norms; better init; LR schedules).

Exam Strategy Tips

- Watch for **shape/expectation** mismatches in PyTorch loss functions.
- When in doubt on taxonomy, think **subset ladder**: AI \supset ML \supset DL \supset LLM/GenAI.
- Prefer options that describe **numerical stability** and **gradient quality** for training-related questions.