# Comparative Analysis of AI and Signal Processing Techniques for Power System Condition Monitoring

#### 1. Introduction

Power system condition monitoring is an important process to ensure stability and efficiency of electricity networks. The paper employs a hybrid approach with Artificial Intelligence models and signal processing technique to identify faults and fault classification more effectively. Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Radial Basis Neural Network (RBNN), and Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) are employed as Artificial Intelligence models in this research work. Wavelet Transform as a signal processing technique facilitates easy extraction of interest features from raw signals of power systems.

## 2. Methodology

#### 2.1 Data Preprocessing

- The data includes electrical parameters: Ia, Ib, Ic (currents) and Va, Vb, Vc (voltages).
- Target attribute is **G**, which signifies fault conditions.
- Data is split into training (80%) and test (20%) sets.

#### 2.2 Wavelet Transform for Feature Extraction

- **db4 wavelet** with **3-level decomposition** is utilized.
- Mean and standard deviation of each wavelet coefficient are utilized as extracted features.
- These features form the input to AI models.

#### 2.3 AI Models Implemented

#### (a) Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

- **Model**: Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) with two hidden layers.
- Training: Backpropagation with ReLU activation and Adam optimizer.
- Evaluation Metric: Accuracy.

#### (b) Support Vector Machine(SVM)

• Support vector machines (SVMs) are a set of supervised learning methods used for classification, regression and outliers detection.

#### (c) Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)

- Hybrid of fuzzy logic and neural networks.
- Performs **rule extraction from data** and learns it with a learning algorithm.\

#### (d) Radial Basis Neural Network (RBNN)

- Uses an **RBF** kernel for feature classification.
- Requires Gaussian function for activation of hidden layer.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The table below summarizes the accuracy of each model:

#### Model Performance:

Model	Precision	Recall	F1-score	Accuracy	AUC-ROC Score
ANN	0.98(Class0), 0.78(Class1)	0.79(Class 0), 0.98 (Class 1)	0.87 (for both classes)	86.01%	0.88
SVM	0.89(Class 0), 0.84(Class 1)	0.88 (Class 0), 0.85 (Class 1)	0.88 (Class 0), 0.85 (Class 1)	87%	0.87
ANFIS	0.85 (Class0), 0.70(Class1)	0.72 (Class 0), 0.83 (Class 1)	0.78 (Class 0), 0.76 (Class 1)	77%	0.78
RBNN	0.88 (Class0), 0.83(Class1)	0.87 (Class 0), 0.85 (Class 1)	0.87 (Class 0), 0.84 (Class 1)	86%	0.86

### **Best Performing Model:**

Based on accuracy, F1-score, and AUC-ROC score, the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is the best-performing model, achieving an **accuracy of 86.01%** and an AUC-ROC score of 0.88. Although SVM also performed well **with an accuracy of 87%**, ANN had a slightly better AUC-ROC score, indicating better overall classification ability

#### 4. Conclusion

In summary, ANN was better than SVM, ANFIS and RBNN for classification accuracy. While SVM was equally accurate to ANN, ANN had a higher AUC-ROC score. ANFIS had the worst accuracy and AUC-ROC score and thus was the lowest-performing model for this test. Future enhancements could be hyperparameter tuning and additional feature engineering to optimize model performance.