1 题 3) This year marks the 100th anniversary of the founding of the Communist Party of China (CPC).

是命题, 真值为 True。

4 题 求主析取范式。 $(P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land R) \lor (Q \land R)$

一些同学求错了,求成合取范式了;还有同学的析取子式不全对,对一项得1分。

方法一: 等值推演法

 $(P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land R) \lor (Q \land R)$

 $\Leftrightarrow (P \land Q \land (\neg R \lor R)) \lor (\neg P \land R \land (\neg Q \lor Q)) \lor (Q \land R \land (\neg P \lor P))$

 $\Leftrightarrow (P \land Q \land R) \lor (P \land Q \land \neg R) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q \land R) \lor (\neg P \land Q \land R)$

方法二:真值表法

5 题 In the questions below suppose the variable x represents students and y represents courses, and:

U(y): y is an upper-level course M(y): y is a math course f(x): x is a freshman

A(x): x is a part-time student T(x,y): student x is taking course y.

Write the statement using these predicates and any needed quantifiers.

b) There is a part-time student who is not taking any math course.

$$\exists x \forall y (A(x) \land (M(y) \rightarrow \neg T(x, y)))$$

c) Every part-time freshman is taking some upper-level course.

$$\forall x \exists y [(F(x) \land A(x)) \rightarrow (U(y) \land T(x, y))]$$

6题求前束范式,

 $((\forall x)P(x) \lor (\exists y)Q(y)) \rightarrow (\forall x)R(x)$

 $\equiv ((\forall x) P(x) \lor (\exists y) Q(y)) \rightarrow (\forall z) R(z)$ 异变元换名原则

 $\equiv (\forall x \exists y)(P(x) \lor Q(y)) \rightarrow (\forall z)R(z)$

异变元量词自由进出原则

 $\equiv (\exists x \forall y \forall z) ((P(x) \lor Q(y) \rightarrow R(z))$

蕴含式后件量词辖域扩张,量词不变

7 题 Determine whether the following two propositions are logically equivalent:

(1)
$$\exists x \forall y (A(x) \rightarrow B(y))$$
 and $\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall y B(y)$ [Yes

8 题 In the questions below suppose the variable x represents students, f(x) means "x is a freshman", and M(x) means "x is a math major". Match the statement in symbols with one of the English statements in this list:

h)
$$\forall x (\neg M(x) \lor \neg F(x))$$
. [3] No math major is a freshman.

9 题 In the questions below suppose P(x,y) is a predicate and the universe for the variables x and y is $\{1,2,3\}$. Suppose P(1,3), P(2,1), P(2,2), P(2,3), P(3,1), P(3,2) are true, and P(x,y) is false otherwise. Determine whether the following statements are true.

d)
$$\forall y \exists x (P(x,y) \rightarrow P(y,x))$$
. [True]

10 题 Show that the arguments. If Superman were able and willing to prevent evil, he would

do so. If Superman were unable to prevent evil, he would be impotent; if he were unwilling to prevent evil, he would be malevolent. Superman does not prevent evil. If Superman exists, he is neither impotent nor malevolent. Therefore, Superman does not exist.

前半部分要给出6个语句的命题逻辑描述,3分;

后半部分推理过程 5 分,错一步扣 1 分,全部不写推理依据扣 3 分,某些步骤不写依据酌情扣分。

iE:

(1) 设 A 表示超人能够防止邪恶, W 表示超人愿意防止邪恶, P 表示超人防止了邪恶; I 表示超人是无能的, M 表示超人是恶意的, E 表示超人存在。

前提表示为:

 $A \land W \rightarrow P$, $\neg A \rightarrow I$, $\neg W \rightarrow M$, $\neg P$, $E \rightarrow \neg I \land \neg M$.

结论表示为: ¬E.

(2) 推理过程:

| 序号 | 逻辑式 | 依据 |
|----|---|---------------|
| 1 | $A \land W \rightarrow P$ | 前提1 |
| 2 | $\neg P$ | 前提 4 |
| 3 | ¬ (A∧ W) | 由 1,2 用拒取式 |
| 4 | $\neg A \lor \neg W$ | 德摩根律 |
| 5 | $\neg A \rightarrow I$ | 前提 2 |
| 6 | $A \vee I$ | 由 5 蕴含等值式 |
| 7 | $A \lor I \lor SE$ | 由 6 附加律 |
| 8 | ¬ A→(I ∨ M) | 由7用蕴含等值式 |
| 9 | $\neg W \rightarrow M$ | 前提3 |
| 10 | $W \vee M$ | 由 9 蕴含等值式 |
| 11 | $W \vee M \vee I$ | 由 10 附加律 |
| 12 | ¬W→(I ∨ M) | 1 用蕴含等值式 |
| 13 | $(\neg A \lor \neg W) \to (\ I \lor M)$ | 由 8,12 用蕴含等价式 |
| 14 | l∨M | 由 4,13 用假言推理 |
| 15 | ¬ (¬I∧¬M) | 由 14 用德摩根律 |
| 16 | $E \rightarrow \neg I \land \neg M$ | 前提 5 |
| 17 | ¬E | 由 15, 16 用拒取式 |

11 题: Are these propositions consistent?

Rainy days make gardens grow.

Gardens don't grow if it is not hot.

It always rains on a day if it is not hot.

4分,给出答案 1分,命题符号化1分,论证 2分。

答: Not consistent.

Let r denote rainy days, g denote gardens grow, h denote It is hot.

 $r \rightarrow g$

$$\neg h \rightarrow \neg g$$
 $\neg h \rightarrow r$

As $\neg h \rightarrow r$ and $r \rightarrow g$, so $\neg h \rightarrow g$, but $\neg h \rightarrow \neg g$, then contradiction.

12 题: Hypotheses: Everyone in the class has a graphing calculator. Everyone who has a graphing calculator understands the trigonometric functions. Conclusion: Ralphie, who is in the class, understands the trigonometric functions. Give an argument using the rules of inference to show that conclusion from the hypotheses.

前半部分3分,包括:给出命题函数定义,可得2分(缺或错,扣1分);用逻辑式正确表 示前提和结论,可得1分(缺或错,扣1分)。

后半部分推理过程5分,错一步扣1分,全部不写推理依据扣3分,某些步骤不写依据酌情 扣分。

解:

前半部分:

Let S(x): x is a student in the class, P(x): x has a graphing calculator. Q(x): x understands the trigonometric functions.

Hypotheses: $\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow P(x)), \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)), S(Ralphie)$

Conclusion: Q(Ralphie)

后半部分: 注意证明过程不唯一, 且和前提表达有关。

解法 1:

| No | Assertion | Reasons |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | $\forall x (S(x) \to P(x))$ | Hypothesis (前提) |
| 2 | $S(Ralphie) \rightarrow P(Ralphie)$ | Univ. instantiation using Step 1 (全称实例) |
| 3 | $\forall x \ (P(x) \to Q(x))$ | Hypothesis (前提) |
| 4 | $P(Ralphie) \rightarrow Q(Ralphie)$ | Univ. instantiation using Step 3(全称实例) |
| 5 | $S(Ralphie) \rightarrow Q(Ralphie)$ | Hypothetical syllogism using Steps 2 & 4 (假言三段 |
| 论) | | |
| 6 | S(Ralphie) | Hypothesis(前提) |
| 7 | Q(Ralphie) | Modus ponens using Steps 2 and 3(假言推理) |

解法 2: 限定个体域

Universe of Discourse: All the students in the class.

Let P(x): x has a graphing calculator. Q(x): x understands the trigonometric functions.

Hypotheses: $\forall x P(x)$, $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$, Ralphie in U.

Conclusion: Q(Ralphie)

| No | Assertion | Reasons |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | $\forall x P(x)$ | Hypothesis (前提) |
| 2 | P(Ralphie) | Univ. instantiation using Step 1(全称实例) |
| 3 | $\forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))$ | Hypothesis(前提) |
| 4 | $P(Ralphie) \rightarrow Q(Ralphie)$ | Univ. instantiation using Step 3 (全称实例) |
| 5 | Q(Ralphie) | Modus ponens using Steps 2 and 4 (假言推理) |
| 武士 | | |

或者

4 Q(Ralphie) Universal modus ponens using Steps 2 and 3(全称假言推理)

- 14 题证明题, Prove or disprove that
 - 1) If a+b is irrational, then a or b is irrational.
 - 2) If a+b is irrational, then a and b are irrational.

第一小题,错的多数是不知道 irrational 是无理数的单词,或者忘记有理数的数学定义了。 论证关键是使用反证法,过程和教材 1.7 中证明两个有理数的和一定是有理数一样。

第二小题,不成立,要求举出反例。错误原因多数是不知道 irrational 是无理数的单词。 disproof: Counterexamples $\sqrt{2}$ is a irrational. 0 is a rational. But $\sqrt{2+0} = \sqrt{2}$ is a irrational.