CS201A: ENDSEM

Harshit Bansal(200428), Jaya Gupta(200471), Pratyush Gupta(200717)

March 31, 2022

1. Answer to question 1:

We are given a set U to be set of all sets. Let S be set of all the chains of U defined under partial ordering by inclusion such that $A \leq B$ implies $A \subseteq B$ for $A, B \in U$. Now we define B such that $B = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i$ which is upper bound of chain C. Now in question it is shown that since every chain has an upper bound, hence by Zorn's lemma set U will have an maximal element say M. But here we cannot apply Zorn's lemma as we see now.

Let's assume Zorn's lemma holds in this case. This implies that S must also have a maximal element say L and this maximal element is a chain of U. Now L must have an upper bound in U say l. Its easy to see that $L \subseteq L \cup l$ but L is maximal element in S hence $L = L \cup l$, which means l is in L, hence upper bound of maximal chain is in chain itself. We define an element $e \in U$ not belonging to chain L as $e = \{l, \{l\}\}$ and since $l \subset e$, l clearly cannot be upper bound of L, our assumption that Zorn's lemma holds here is false and hence set U cannot have any maximal element.

2. Answer to question 2:

We have to show that a bipartite graph defined as H(G,G,E) has a perfect matching where

- (G,\cdot) is a finite group with only one element a_2 such that $a_2 \neq e$ and $a_2^2 = e$
- Edge (a, b) if $a \neq e$ and $b = a^k$ for some $1 < k \le s$ where s is the minimum positive integer such that $a^s = e$ or a = e and $b = a_2$.

Before proceeding with the proof we prove that fact that inverse of every element is unique.

Let different elements a and b have equal inverse c which means $a \cdot c = e = b \cdot c$. Now $b \cdot (a \cdot c) = b$ and $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a$ which gives a = b. This is contradiction to assumed fact that a and b are different, hence all elements have unique inverse in the group.

Now we prove the fact that there exists finite $k_i \in N$ for every a_i such that $a_i^{k_i} = e$. Observe that group G is a finite group by closure property of group for every k, a_i^k is present in the G. Now since G has finite number of elements and there are infinite values of k, which means values must repeat. Lets say $a_i^p = a_i^q$ with q > p then $k_i = min(q - p)$ for every such p, q.

So we construct a mapping from G to G such that:

- e maps to a_2 ,
- a_2 maps to e,
- Now consider for every other a_i : Let k_i be such that $a_i^{k_i} = e$

$$a_i^{k_i} = e$$
$$a_i^{k_i - 1} \cdot a_i = e$$

which means $a_i^{k_i-1}$ is inverse of a_i . Now we need to show that $a_i^{k_i-1}$ is not equal to a_i or e or a_2 . This can be shown easily is we see this

- If $a_i^{k_i-1}$ is equal to a_i which leads to equation $a_i^2 = e$ which is contradiction to the condition given in question that only a_2 has this property.
- Clearly a_2 is inverse of itself and every element has a unique inverse hence $a_i^{k_i-1}$ cannot be equal to a_2 .
- Similar argument holds for \boldsymbol{e} as well.

Finally we map a_i with its inverse. This gives us unique image for every a_i and hence perfect matching.

3. Answer to question 3:

• To prove : (a) is an ideal of R

$$(a) = \{b \cdot a | b \in R\}$$

For $I \subseteq R$ to be ideal of ring (R, *, +) it should satisfy the following

- For every $g, h \in I$, $g + h \in I$
- For every $a \in R$ and $g \in I$, $(a * g) \in I$
- $-b_1 \cdot a \in (a), b_2 \cdot a \in (a) \Rightarrow (b_1 + b_2) \cdot a \in (a)$

$$\forall b_1, b_2 \in R \quad \text{and} \quad (b_1 + b_2) \in R$$

 $-b_1 \cdot a \in (a), b_2 \in R \Rightarrow b_2 \cdot b_1 \cdot a \in (a)$

$$\forall b_2 \in R, (b_1 \cdot a) \in (a) \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 \cdot b_1 \in R$$

Hence (a) satisfies all the properties of ideal of ring R

• To prove: R_p is a ring

$$R_p = \{ \frac{f}{g} | f, g \in R \text{ and } g(P) \neq 0 \}$$

#R is quotient ring and Elements of R are equivalence classes.

For $(R_p, +, *)$ to be a ring (here (+, *) means arithmetic modulo C)

– R_p is closed under addition (If $a, b \in R_p \Rightarrow (a+b) \in R_p$)

$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} \in R_p$$
 and $\frac{f_2}{g_2} \in R_p$

$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2} = \frac{(f_1 * g_2 + f_2 * g_1)}{g_1 * g_2}$$

$$\forall g_1, g_2, f_1, f_2 \in R$$

$$(g_1 * g_2) \in R, (f_1 * g_2) \in R \text{ and } (f_2 * g_1) \in R \Rightarrow (f_1 * g_2 + f_2 * g_1) \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(f_1 * g_2 + f_2 * g_1)}{g_1 * g_2} \in R_p$$

Addition is associative and commutative

$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2} = \frac{f_2}{g_2} + \frac{f_1}{g_1} \qquad (g_1 * g_2 = g_2 * g_1)$$

$$\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2}\right) + \frac{f_3}{g_3} = \frac{f_1}{g_1} + \left(\frac{f_2}{g_2} + \frac{f_3}{g_3}\right)$$

- $(\frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2}) + \frac{f_3}{g_3} = \frac{f_1}{g_1} + (\frac{f_2}{g_2} + \frac{f_3}{g_3})$ R_p contains an additive identity element, which is [0](elements of [0] are of the form (C)where (C) = Q(x, y) * C) such that $\frac{f}{g} + [0] = \frac{f}{g}$
- For every element of $\frac{f}{g} \in R_p \ \exists \frac{-f}{g} \Rightarrow \frac{f}{g} + \frac{-f}{g} = 0$ R_p is closed under multiplication (If $a, b \in R_p \Rightarrow (a*b) \in R_p$)

$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} \in R_p \quad \text{ and } \quad \frac{f_2}{g_2} \in R_p$$

$$\frac{f_1}{q_1} * \frac{f_2}{q_2} = \frac{f_1 * f_2}{q_1 * q_2} \in R_p \qquad (f_1 * f_2, g_1 * g_2 \in R)$$

- Multiplication is associative and also distributive over addition

– There exists a multiplicative identity [1] such that $\frac{f}{g} * [1] = \frac{f}{g}$.

This proves that R_p is a ring.

• I_p is maximal ideal of R_p

$$I_p = \{\frac{f}{g}|f, g \in R \text{ and } g(P) \neq 0 \text{ and } f(P) = 0\}$$

- Let us assume \exists an ideal J_p such that $I_p \subset J_p$. $\Rightarrow \exists$ an element $\frac{F}{G}$ such that $F(P) \neq 0$ and
- Now, the element $\frac{F(P)-F}{G} \in I_P$, hence $\frac{F(P)-F}{G} \in J_P$.
- Since J_P is an ideal, $\frac{F}{G} + \frac{F(P) F}{G}$ will also lie in the ideal. $\Rightarrow \frac{F(P)}{G} \in J_P$. F(P) is constant, so $\frac{1}{F(P)} \in R_P$. So $\frac{1}{F(P)} * \frac{F(P)}{G} \in J_P$. $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{G} \in J_P$.
- Consider any $\frac{f}{g} \in R_P$. Since $\frac{G}{g} \in R_P \forall g$ such that $g(P) \neq 0$. Hence $\frac{1}{g} \in J_P \forall$ such g. Also, $f \in R_p \text{ so } f * \frac{1}{q} = \frac{f}{q} \in J_p.$
- So $\forall \frac{f}{g} \in R_p, \frac{f}{g} \in J_p \Rightarrow J_p = R_p.$

This proves that I_p is the maximal ideal of R_p .

• For point
$$P = (1,0), I_p = (y)$$

 $(y) = \{[f] * [y]|[f] \in R_p\}$

$$(y) = \{ \frac{f}{g} * y \ \forall \ \frac{f}{g} \in R_p \}$$

$$I_p = \{ \frac{f}{g} | f, g \in R \text{ and } g(P) \neq 0 \text{ and } f(P) = 0 \}$$

- -P=(1,0), so $f*y=0\forall f$, hence $\alpha\in I_P\forall \alpha\in(y)$ hence $(y)\subseteq I_P$
- Consider any element $\frac{F}{G} \in I_P$. F = N(x,y) + f(x) where N(x,y) contains all the terms with at least one y and f(x) contains the rest of the terms. f(x) is a polynomial in x.

- Since $\frac{F}{G} \in I_P$, F(P) = 0. Because every term in N(x,y) contains a y, N(P) = 0. $\Rightarrow f(P) = 0$, so f(1) = 0, hence f(x) = (x-1)h(x). $\Rightarrow \frac{f}{G} \in I_P$.
- At P, $x^2 + x \neq 0$, so consider $\frac{(x^2 + x)f(x)}{(x^2 + x)G} = \frac{(x^3 x)h(x)}{(x^2 + x)G}$
- $-(x^3-x)h(x) = h(x)*(x^3+x*y^2-x) + h(x)*(-x*y^2) = h(x)*C(x,y) + (-h(x)*x*y^2)$ $\Rightarrow [(x^3-x)h(x)] = [(-h(x)*x*y^2)]([] \text{ denotes equivalence classes) which is further equal to } [y]*[polynomial in x].$
- Hence for any $\frac{F}{G} \in I_p$ where F = N(x,y) + (x-1) * h(x), it can be written in the form of $\frac{[y*h(x,y)]}{[G']} = (y)$.

This proves that for point $P = (1,0), I_p = (y)$.

• For point P = (0,1), $(x) \subseteq I_p$ $(x) = \{[f] * [x] | [f] \in R_p\}$

$$(x) = \{ \frac{f}{g} * x \ \forall \ \frac{f}{g} \in R_p \}$$

 $I_p = \{\frac{f}{g}|f,g \in R \text{ and } g(P) \neq 0 \text{ and } f(P) = 0\}$

Since P = (0,1) , all elements of (x) will lie in $I_p(f * x = 0)$ at point P = (0,1)

Let's consider the term $\frac{(y-1)}{g}$. This is of the form $\frac{f}{g}$ such that f(P)=0. So $\frac{(y-1)}{g}\in I_p$ and $\frac{(y-1)}{g}\notin (x)$.

This clearly proves that $(x) \subseteq I_p$ and $(x) \neq I_p$.