

# Algebraic Methods in Combinatorics

## Solutions to Assignment 2

*The aim of the homework problems is to help you understand the theory better by actively using it to solve exercises. **Do not read the solutions** before you believe you have solved the problems: it ruins your best way of preparing for the exam. The purpose of this write-up is merely to provide some guideline on how solutions should look like, and to help clean up hazy arguments. For hints, feel free to consult your teaching assistant.*

**Problem 1:** Let  $A$  be a Nikodym set in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  and suppose that  $|A| < \binom{p-2+n}{n}$ . Since by Lemma 1.15, the number of monomials of degree at most  $p-2$  is  $\binom{p-2+n}{n}$ , by a lemma we saw in class there is a non-zero polynomial  $f$  of degree at most  $p-2$  such that  $f(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  (this is the same as the argument in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.13 in the notes).

By the property satisfied by  $A$ , we have that for each  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , there is a  $u \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$  such that  $f(x+tu) = 0$  for all  $t \neq 0$ . Note that viewing  $p(t) := f(x+tu)$  as a univariate polynomial of  $t$ , it then has degree at most  $p-2$  and at least  $p-1$  roots. Therefore, it must be the zero polynomial. Now, note that the constant term of the polynomial  $p(t)$  is  $f(x)$  and therefore,  $f(x) = 0$ . But then, this must be true for all  $x$  and thus, since  $f$  has degree at most  $p-2$ , it must be the zero polynomial, which is a contradiction.

**Problem 2(a):** Let us denote the set  $S$  by  $S := \{p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(m)}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We want to show that  $m \leq \binom{n+s+1}{s}$ . Let also  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s$  denote the  $s$  possible distances between distinct points in  $S$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , define the polynomial

$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} (|\mathbf{x} - p^{(j)}|^2 - \delta_i^2).$$

Just as in Section 1.6 of the notes, it is easy to check that these polynomials are linearly independent since  $f_j(p^{(i)}) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  and  $f_j(p^{(j)}) \neq 0$  for all  $j$ . Now we need only to show that these polynomials are contained in a subspace of dimension at most  $\binom{n+s+1}{s}$ . Indeed, note that each polynomial  $f_j$  can be written as

$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} (|\mathbf{x} - p^{(j)}|^2 - \delta_i^2) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq s} \left( |\mathbf{x}|^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k p_k^{(j)} + |p^{(j)}|^2 - \delta_i^2 \right).$$

Therefore, letting  $y := |\mathbf{x}|^2$  (a polynomial of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ),  $f_j$  can be viewed as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[y, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with degree at most  $s$ . By Lemma 1.15 in the notes, this space has at most

$\binom{n+s+1}{n+1} = \binom{n+s+1}{s}$  monomials of degree at most  $s$ , which also form then a basis for the subspace containing the polynomials  $f_j$ , as desired.

**Problem 2(b):** Take  $S$  to be all points in  $\{0, 1\}^{n+1}$  with exactly  $s$  non-zero coordinates. Clearly, the possible distances are  $\sqrt{2s}, \sqrt{2(s-1)}, \dots, \sqrt{2}$ . Furthermore, the set belongs to the space of points with  $x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = s$  and so can be isometrically mapped to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Problem 3(a):** For each of the sets  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $v_A$  be its characteristic vector, and let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be the two possible sizes. For each such  $A$ , define the polynomial  $f_A : R^n \rightarrow R$  as follows:

$$f_A(x) = \left( |A| + x_1 + \dots + x_n - 2\langle x, v_A \rangle - s_1 \right) \left( |A| + x_1 + \dots + x_n - 2\langle x, v_A \rangle - s_2 \right).$$

Notice that if  $x$  is the characteristic vector of a set  $B$ , then  $|A| + x_1 + \dots + x_n - 2\langle x, v_A \rangle$  is precisely equal to  $|A \Delta B|$ . Hence, for  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  one can see that  $f_A(v_B)$  is equal to  $s_1 s_2 \neq 0$  when  $B = A$ , and is equal to 0, otherwise. Hence, as seen in the lecture notes, the defined polynomials are linearly independent.

Now define polynomials  $\bar{f}_A(x)$  obtained from  $f_A(x)$  by replacing each occurrence of  $x_i^2$  by  $x_i$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ . By doing this, we do not change the evaluation of these polynomials in  $v_B$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , hence the new collection of polynomials is again linearly independent. The evaluation does not change since  $v_B$  is a 0/1 vector, and  $x_i = x_i^2$  for  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Now, it is easy to see that this collection of polynomials can be generated by the following set of monomials:

$$1, x_1, \dots, x_n, \text{ and } x_i x_j \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

There is  $1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  of those generating monomials, hence they span a space of at most this dimension, and due to the independence of the  $\bar{f}_A$ 's there is at most  $1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  of them, so we have our desired bound on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Problem 3(b):** Take  $\mathcal{A}$  to be the family consisting of all sets of two elements, together with the empty set.  $\mathcal{A}$  has the desired number of sets, and the possible symmetric differences are in the set  $2, 4$ , which completes the proof.