

# Algebraic Methods in Combinatorics

## Solutions of Assignment 13

*The aim of the homework problems is to help you understand the theory better by actively using it to solve exercises. **Do not read the solutions** before you believe you have solved the problems: it ruins your best way of preparing for the exam. The purpose of this write-up is merely to provide some guideline on how solutions should look like, and to help clean up hazy arguments. For hints, feel free to consult your teaching assistant.*

**Problem 1:** We follow the proof of Theorem 3.6. Write  $v := v(G)$ . By definition, we know that  $e(G) = vd(G)/2 > v(p-1)$ . For each  $e \in E(G)$  we define a variable  $x_e \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Now, for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we define the polynomial  $p_v((x_e)_e) = \sum_{e:v \in e} x_e^{p-1}$ . Since  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg p_v = v(p-1) < e(G)$ , where the RHS is the number of variables, we may apply the Chevalley–Warning Theorem to conclude that the number of simultaneous solutions  $(x_e)_{e \in E(G)}$  to all the  $p_v$  is divisible by  $p$ . There is a trivial solution given by  $x_e = 0$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ , so there must exist another solution in which not all variables are 0.

Note that for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $x^{p-1} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  if and only if  $x \neq 0$ . Thus, if  $\sum_{e:v \in e} x_e^{p-1} = 0$ , i.e.  $p_v((x_e)_e) = 0$ , and  $|\{e : v \in e, x_e \neq 0\}| > 0$ , then due to the fact that  $d_G(v) \leq 2p-1$ , it must hold that  $|\{e : v \in e, x_e \neq 0\}| = p$ . It follows that the subgraph having edge set  $\{e \in E(G) : x_e \neq 0\}$  is 3-regular and nonempty.  $\square$

**Problem 2:** Let us first give the proof following the hints. Denote  $y_i = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$  with the convention that  $y_0 = 0$ . Note that  $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  for all  $i$ . By the pigeonhole principle, among  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$ , there exist some  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that  $y_i = y_j$ . This implies that  $x_{i+1} + \dots + x_j = 0$ . We can safely take  $I := \{i+1, i+2, \dots, j\}$ .

The second proof is to apply the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv Theorem. Let us add  $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \dots, x_{2n-1} = 0$ . By the Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv Theorem, there exists a set  $J \subseteq [2n-1]$  of size  $|J| = n$  such that  $\sum_{i \in J} x_i = 0$ . Taking  $I = J \cap [n]$ , we obtain the desired set.  $\square$

**Problem 3:** We intend to use the Chevalley–Warning Theorem. For this purpose, we define

polynomials  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3p-1}]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3p-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{3p-1} x_i^{p-1}, \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3p-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{3p-1} x_i^{p-1} a_i, \\ f_3(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3p-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{3p-1} x_i^{p-1} b_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \deg f_i = 3(p-1)$  and the number of variables is  $3p-1$ , so by the Chevalley-Waring Theorem, the number of common roots of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  is divisible by  $p$ . Since the all-zero vector is a common root of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  there exists some non-zero vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{3p-1})$  for which  $f_i(x) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Consider the set  $I = \{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ . Recall that  $y^{p-1} = 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$ , so for the set  $I$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} 1 &= 0 && \text{(because } f_1(x) = 0), \\ \sum_{i \in I} a_i &= 0 && \text{(because } f_2(x) = 0), \\ \sum_{i \in I} b_i &= 0 && \text{(because } f_3(x) = 0). \end{aligned}$$

The first line implies that  $|I|$  is divisible by  $p$ . Since we assumed  $x$  is a non-trivial solution, we have  $|I| > 0$  and since  $|I| \leq 3p-1$ , it follows that  $|I| = p$  or  $|I| = 2p$ . In the former case we are done, whereas in the latter, we can take  $I' = [3p] \setminus I$ , for which we have  $\sum_{i \in I'} v_i = \sum_{i \in [3p]} v_i - \sum_{i \in I} v_i = 0 - 0 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4:** We follow the proof of Lemma 3.28. If  $|A| + |B| \geq p+3$  then for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we have

$$|(x-A) \cap B| = |x-A| + |B| - |(x-A) \cup B| \geq |A| + |B| - p \geq 3$$

so there exist distinct  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$  and (distinct)  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B$  such that  $x - a_i = b_i$  for  $i \in [3]$ . Note that the number of solutions  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $a(x-a) = 1$  is at most 2 (using that  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a finite field). Thus,  $a_i b_i = 1$  holds for at most two  $i \in [3]$ . In other words, there exist  $i \in [3]$  such that  $a_i b_i \neq 1$ . Since  $a_i + b_i = x$ , it holds that  $x \in X$ . As this holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we know that  $|X| = p$  when  $|A| + |B| \geq p+3$ .

Otherwise, we have  $|A| + |B| \leq p+2$ . Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $|X| \leq |A| + |B| - 4$ . Then we may choose  $X' \supset X$  such that  $|X'| = |A| + |B| - 4$ . Now define the

polynomial  $f(x, y) = (xy - 1) \prod_{c \in X'} (x + y - c)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and observe that  $f = 0$  on  $A \times B$  and  $\deg f = |X'| + 2 = (|A| - 1) + (|B| - 1)$ . Moreover, observe that the coefficient of the term  $x^{|A|-1}y^{|B|-1}$  in  $f$  is exactly  $\binom{|A|+|B|-4}{|A|-2} \pmod{p}$ . Now note that

$$\binom{|A|+|B|-4}{|A|-2} = \frac{(|A|+|B|-4)!}{(|A|-2)!(|B|-2)!} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

since the numerator of the above expression is a product of positive integers of size at most  $|A| + |B| - 4 < p$ . Thus we may apply Corollary 3.23 to reach a contradiction.

**Problem 5(a):** This is a very standard exercise in Linear Algebra. Here, we give a proof using Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. Let us consider  $\det M$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Note that whenever  $x_i = x_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ ,  $M$  contains two identical rows, so  $\det M = 0$ . In other words, for fixed  $i \neq j$ ,  $\det M$  vanishes over all zeros of the polynomial  $x_i - x_j$ . By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, there exists some positive integer  $k$  such that  $(x_i - x_j) \mid \det(M)^k$ . Because  $x_i - x_j$  is a linear polynomial, and hence irreducible, it follows that  $(x_i - x_j) \mid \det(M)$ . Therefore, we can write  $\det(M) = \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) \cdot Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  since  $\prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$  is the minimal polynomial divisible by all polynomials  $x_i - x_j, i \neq j$ . Now we need to show that  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ . Note that  $\deg(\det(M)) = \binom{n}{2} = \deg(\prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j))$  so  $\deg Q = 0$ , implying that  $Q = c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Finally, the coefficient of  $x_1^0 x_2^1 \dots x_n^{n-1}$  equals 1 in  $\det(M)$  and 1 in  $\prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$ , so  $c = 1$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5(b)** If  $n = p$ , then  $A = B = \mathbb{F}_p$ . In this case, we can simply take  $a_i = b_i = i - 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . As  $p \geq 3$ , all  $(a_i + b_i)$  are distinct, as desired.

From now on, we assume that  $n \leq p - 1$ . Denote an arbitrary ordering of  $A$  by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . Consider the following polynomial defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i>j} (x_i + a_i - x_j - a_j).$$

Notice that any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$  with  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$  is an ordering of  $B$  where all  $(a_i + x_i)$  are distinct (we may take  $b_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ ). Since  $\deg f = n(n - 1)$  and  $|B| = n > n - 1$ , by Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (Corollary 3.23), it suffices to show that the coefficient of  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_n^{n-1}$  is nonzero. To this end, notice that the coefficient of  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_n^{n-1}$  in  $f$  is the same as the coefficient of  $x_1^{n-1} \dots x_n^{n-1}$  in  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$ . By 5(a),  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(M)^2$ . Now, recall the Leibniz formula for determinants that

$$\det(M) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n M_{i, \pi(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\pi(i)-1},$$

where  $S_n$  is the symmetric group of order  $n$ , and  $\text{sign}(\cdot) \in \{1, -1\}$  is the sign functions of

permutations. Thus,

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(M)^2 = \sum_{\pi, \tau \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) \text{sign}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\pi(i)-1+\tau(i)-1}.$$

In order to get monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1}$ , it must hold that  $\pi(i) + \tau(i) = n + 1$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Now, recall that  $\text{sign}(\pi) = (-1)^{N(\pi)}$ , where  $N(\pi)$  is the number of inversions of  $\pi$ , i.e.  $|\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n : \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}|$  (for example, one can check Wikipedia). It is easy to see that  $N(\pi) + N(\tau) = \binom{n}{2}$  if  $\pi(i) + \tau(i) = n + 1$  for all  $i \in [n]$ , which means  $\text{sign}(\pi) \text{sign}(\tau) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}$  for this  $\pi$  and  $\tau$ . Also, note that for every  $\pi \in S_n$ , there is a unique  $\tau \in S_n$  satisfying  $\pi(i) + \tau(i) = n + 1$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1}$  in  $h$  (and also in  $f$ ) is  $n!(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Here, we used that  $n < p$  and  $p$  is a prime. This finishes the whole proof.  $\square$