


Computational Biology

Lecturers:
Tanja Stadler, Tim Vaughan & Carsten Magnus

Teaching Assistants:
Antoine Zwaans, Adrian Lison,
James Munday & Marcus Overwater

Computational Evolution
Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering

HS 2023



- Continuous traits and comparative methods
- Comparing discrete characters
- Comparing continuous characters
- Toolbox: Brownian motion
- Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters
- The contrasts method
- Example: Fiddler crabs
- Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour
- Summary
- References

Statistical testing: Questions

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

- ① Is there a way to test how to best root a maximum likelihood tree?
- ② Can you use the bootstrapping ideas for assessing confidence in a UPGMA tree?
- ③ What is required to infer the direction of transmission from a phylogeny?

Overview



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Outline of lecture 8

We will answer the following question:

How can we compare **phenotypic traits/characters**
between individuals/species that **evolved on a phylogeny?**

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Outline of lecture 8

We will answer the following question:

How can we compare **phenotypic traits/characters** between individuals/species that **evolved on a phylogeny?**

such characters/traits could be:

- ▶ spike numbers of HIV virions
- ▶ number of legs in arthropods
- ▶ fur patterns in rodents

- ▶ height
- ▶ surface to weight ratio
- ▶ virulence of influenza
- ▶ shape of dinosaur jaws

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Outline of lecture 8

We will answer the following question:

How can we compare **phenotypic traits/characters** between individuals/species that **evolved on a phylogeny?**

such characters/traits could be:

- ▶ spike numbers of HIV virions
 - ▶ number of legs in arthropods
 - ▶ fur patterns in rodents
 - ▶ height
 - ▶ surface to weight ratio
 - ▶ virulence of influenza
 - ▶ shape of dinosaur jaws
- discrete characters
- continuous characters

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Comparing discrete characters.

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

The problem

Example: We want to know whether eye color is correlated with hair color. We examine 10 individuals:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	individual
█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	hair color (character 1)
█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	eye color (character 2)

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

The problem

Example: We want to know whether eye color is correlated with hair color. We examine 10 individuals:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	individual
█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	hair color (character 1)
█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	eye color (character 2)

To test whether there is a true correlation we need to perform a statistical test.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

The problem

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References


Example: We want to know whether eye color is correlated with hair color. We examine 10 individuals:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	individual
■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	hair color (character 1)
■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	eye color (character 2)

To test whether there is a true correlation we need to perform a statistical test. In this situation we can apply **Fisher's exact test** with a significance level of 0.05:

\mathcal{H}_0 : Having brown eyes is equally likely among red- and black-haired individuals.

Fisher's exact test I



H_0 : Having brown eyes is equally likely among red- and black-haired individuals.

With Fisher's exact test, we test whether the observed result happened due to chance alone:

contingency table:

eyes \ hair	brown	blue
red	0	6
black	4	0

$$P(\text{red/brown}) = \frac{(\# \text{ red in brown}) \times (\# \text{ brown in black})}{\# \text{ comb brown amongst all}}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{6}{0} \binom{4}{4}}{\binom{10}{4}} = 0.0048 < 0.05$$

⇒ We reject the hypothesis of independent character evolution, i.e. we can see a correlation.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

The problem: a phylogenetic approach

However, the analysis could be biased due to relatedness of the individuals:



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

The problem: a phylogenetic approach

However, the analysis could be biased due to relatedness of the individuals:



Correct way to look at the problem: Is the change of characters on the branches correlated?

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Fisher's exact test II

\mathcal{H}_0 : The character changes are equally likely on every branch.

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Fisher's exact test II

\mathcal{H}_0 : The character changes are equally likely on every branch.

contingency table:

		yes	no
eyes	yes	1	0
	no	0	17

$$\begin{aligned} P(2 \text{ changes on 1 branch}) &= \frac{\binom{1}{1} \binom{17}{0}}{\binom{18}{1}} \\ &= 0.05555 > 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow p\text{-value} &> 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Fisher's exact test II

\mathcal{H}_0 : The character changes are equally likely on every branch.

contingency table:

		yes	no
eyes	yes	1	0
	no	0	17

$$\begin{aligned} P(2 \text{ changes on 1 branch}) &= \frac{\binom{1}{1} \binom{17}{0}}{\binom{18}{1}} \\ &= 0.05555 > 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow p\text{-value} &> 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

⇒ We cannot reject the hypothesis that character change is equally likely, i.e. we cannot say that there is a correlation between the characters.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Fisher's exact test II

\mathcal{H}_0 : The character changes are equally likely on every branch.

contingency table:

eyes \ hair	yes	no
yes	1	0
no	0	17

$$\begin{aligned} P(2 \text{ changes on 1 branch}) &= \frac{\binom{1}{1} \binom{17}{0}}{\binom{18}{1}} \\ &= 0.05555 > 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow p\text{-value} &> 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

⇒ We cannot reject the hypothesis that character change is equally likely, i.e. we cannot say that there is a correlation between the characters.

To summarize: Neglecting the phylogenetic background can lead to false conclusions on correlations between characters. This is mainly the case because of the non-independence of species data points as a result of shared ancestry.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Methods to find correlations on discrete characters

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

- ▶ In our approach we do not consider differences in branch lengths. Changes are more likely to happen on longer branches than on short branches.
- ▶ Several methods correct for varying branch lengths in the phylogenies.
- ▶ [Ridley, 1983] used parsimony, [Pagel, 1994] used likelihood methods. For an overview of further methods see [Felsenstein, 2004].

Comparing continuous characters.

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Continuous characters

So far, we have mostly looked at evolution on a **discrete space**:

- ▶ nucleotide substitution models in lectures 3 and 4
- ▶ codon and amino acid substitution models in lecture 4
- ▶ space of tree topologies (not considering branch lengths) in phylogenetic reconstruction based on nucleotide sequences in lectures 5-7
- ▶ correlation between discrete phenotypic characters in this lecture

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Continuous characters

So far, we have mostly looked at evolution on a **discrete space**:

- ▶ nucleotide substitution models in lectures 3 and 4
- ▶ codon and amino acid substitution models in lecture 4
- ▶ space of tree topologies (not considering branch lengths) in phylogenetic reconstruction based on nucleotide sequences in lectures 5-7
- ▶ correlation between discrete phenotypic characters in this lecture

For the rest of the lecture we want to learn how evolution of **continuous phenotypic characters** (e.g. height, weight, virulence) can be modelled and how we can test for correlations amongst continuous traits.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary


References

Why linear regression cannot be used to compare two characters evolved on a phylogeny

Imagine a species tree



and two characters evolved on this tree:



[Felsenstein, 2004]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary


References

Why linear regression cannot be used to compare two characters evolved on a phylogeny

Imagine a species tree



and two characters evolved on this tree:



[Felsenstein, 2004]

Is there a correlation?

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary


References

Why linear regression cannot be used to compare two characters evolved on a phylogeny

Imagine a species tree



and two characters evolved on this tree:



[Felsenstein, 2004]

Is there a correlation?

No correlation. Only clade effects.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Toolbox: Brownian motion

One commonly used model to describe evolution of continuous traits on a phylogeny is the Brownian motion model.

- ▶ named after Robert Brown (1773 - 1858) who observed crop seeds on water under the microscope
- ▶ Albert Einstein (1879 -1955): crop seed movement due to hits from water molecules (described in a paper from 1905), seen as proof for molecules and atoms
- ▶ football stadium analogy

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – mathematical description

The theory behind Brownian motion is quite advanced as it is a time-continuous stochastic process on a continuous state space.

In short: Brownian motion is described as a Wiener¹ process, $(W_t)_{t \in T}$, which fulfills the following four conditions:

1. $W_0 = 0$
2. W_t is almost surely continuous
3. W_t has independent increments (**memoryless** process)
4. for $0 \leq s \leq t$, the $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(t-s))$

¹named after Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), American mathematician.
For further reading: [Gardiner, 2010]

Continuous traits and comparative methods
Comparing discrete characters
Comparing continuous characters
Toolbox: Brownian motion
Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters
The contrasts method
Example: Fiddler crabs
Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour
Summary
References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – mathematical description

The theory behind Brownian motion is quite advanced as it is a time-continuous stochastic process on a continuous state space.

In short: Brownian motion is described as a Wiener¹ process, $(W_t)_{t \in T}$, which fulfills the following four conditions:

1. $W_0 = 0$
 - ▶ the process starts in 0
2. W_t is almost surely continuous
3. W_t has independent increments (**memoryless** process)
4. for $0 \leq s \leq t$, the $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(t-s))$

¹named after Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), American mathematician.
For further reading: [Gardiner, 2010]

Continuous traits and comparative methods
Comparing discrete characters
Comparing continuous characters
Toolbox: Brownian motion
Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters
The contrasts method
Example: Fiddler crabs
Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour
Summary
References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – mathematical description

The theory behind Brownian motion is quite advanced as it is a time-continuous stochastic process on a continuous state space.

In short: Brownian motion is described as a Wiener¹ process, $(W_t)_{t \in T}$, which fulfills the following four conditions:

1. $W_0 = 0$
 - ▶ the process starts in 0
2. W_t is almost surely continuous
 - ▶ $P(W_t \text{ continuous}) = 1$
3. W_t has independent increments (**memoryless** process)
4. for $0 \leq s \leq t$, the $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(t-s))$

¹named after Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), American mathematician.
For further reading: [Gardiner, 2010]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – mathematical description

The theory behind Brownian motion is quite advanced as it is a time-continuous stochastic process on a continuous state space.

In short: Brownian motion is described as a Wiener¹ process, $(W_t)_{t \in T}$, which fulfills the following four conditions:

1. $W_0 = 0$
 - ▶ the process starts in 0
2. W_t is almost surely continuous
 - ▶ $P(W_t \text{ continuous}) = 1$
3. W_t has independent increments (**memoryless** process)
 - ▶ for $0 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 < s_2 \leq t_2$, $(W_{t_1} - W_{s_1})$ and $(W_{t_2} - W_{s_2})$ are independent
4. for $0 \leq s \leq t$, the $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(t-s))$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

¹named after Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), American mathematician.

For further reading: [Gardiner, 2010]

Toolbox: Brownian motion – mathematical description

The theory behind Brownian motion is quite advanced as it is a time-continuous stochastic process on a continuous state space.

In short: Brownian motion is described as a Wiener¹ process, $(W_t)_{t \in T}$, which fulfills the following four conditions:

1. $W_0 = 0$
 - ▶ the process starts in 0
2. W_t is almost surely continuous
 - ▶ $P(W_t \text{ continuous}) = 1$
3. W_t has independent increments (**memoryless** process)
 - ▶ for $0 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 < s_2 \leq t_2$, $(W_{t_1} - W_{s_1})$ and $(W_{t_2} - W_{s_2})$ are independent
4. for $0 \leq s \leq t$, the $W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(t-s))$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$: normal distribution with density function:

$$f_{\mu, \sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

¹named after Norbert Wiener (1894-1964), American mathematician.
For further reading: [Gardiner, 2010]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – A model for continuous character evolution

Analogies between models for evolution on discrete and continuous character space:

discrete	continuous
probability to visit any state	probability density on state space
memorylessness due to Markov Chain model	memorylessness due to Brownian motion
transition probabilities scale with time	variance scales with branch length

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour


Summary

References

Toolbox: Brownian motion – On a phylogeny

Given a phylogeny, we can apply a Brownian motion model on this phylogeny to evolve a continuous character (e.g. height):

(a)



picture from: [Symonds and Bomberg, 2014]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour


Summary

References


Toolbox: Brownian motion – On a phylogeny

Given a phylogeny, we can apply a Brownian motion model on this phylogeny to evolve a continuous character (e.g. height):

(a)



(b)



picture from: [Symonds and Bomberg, 2014]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Toolbox: Linear regression

Statistical method to determine the dependency of a variable Y on another variable X . We measure X and Y for n independent realisations and fit a regression model to the data. The observations $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ need to be

- ▶ independent
- ▶ with the same (normally) distributed errors

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour


Summary

References

Toolbox: Linear regression

Statistical method to determine the dependency of a variable Y on another variable X . We measure X and Y for n independent realisations and fit a regression model to the data. The observations $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ need to be

- ▶ independent
- ▶ with the same (normally) distributed errors



en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_regression

Model:

$$y_i = \beta x_i + b + \epsilon$$

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

Fitting:

Least squares method

Goodness of fit:

R^2 : perfect fit if close to 1; no dependency if close to 0

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary


References

Why linear regression cannot be used to compare two characters evolved on a phylogeny

Imagine a species tree



and two characters evolved on this tree:



[Felsenstein, 2004]

Is there a correlation?

No correlation. Only clade effects.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Why linear regression cannot be used to compare two characters evolved on a phylogeny



When two characters evolve on a tree

- ▶ they share common evolutionary history (**not independent realisations!!!**)
- ▶ the "error" (variance added by Brownian motion) is not equally distributed

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour


Summary

References

Constructing independent variables

One method to overcome interdependencies of the evolutionary trait is the contrast method.

Suppose a phylogeny of 4 species:



traits X_1^j and X_2^j are not independent as they share the evolutionary lineages t_5 , t_6

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour


Summary

References

Constructing independent variables


One method to overcome interdependencies of the evolutionary trait is the contrast method.

Suppose a phylogeny of 4 species:



traits X_1^j and X_2^j are not independent as they share the evolutionary lineages t_5 , t_6

Instead of characters, we look at their contrasts:



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Independent contrasts

We need to calculate/estimate the **values of the contrasts** and their **variances** in order to perform a linear regression on the contrasts:



$$Z_{(1,2)}^i = X_1^i - X_2^i$$

$$Z_{(6,3)}^j = X_6^j - X_3^j$$

$$Z_{(5,4)}^j = X_5^j - X_4^i$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Independent contrasts

We need to calculate/estimate the **values of the contrasts** and their **variances** in order to perform a linear regression on the contrasts:



$$Z_{(1,2)}^j = X_1^j - X_2^j$$

$$Z_{(6,3)}^j = X_6^j - X_3^j$$

$$Z_{(5,4)}^j = X_5^j - X_4^j$$

- ☞ We assume character evolution according to Brownian motion.
- ☞ We observed the tip values, but we have to estimate the values at internal nodes.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Variance of sum of random variables

To calculate the variance, we apply the following formula:

$$\text{Var}[\alpha X + \beta Y] = \alpha^2 \text{Var}[X] + \beta^2 \text{Var}[Y] + 2\alpha\beta \text{Cov}[X, Y]$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts at cherries



$$Z_{(1,2)}^j = X_1^j - X_2^j$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts at cherries



$$Z_{(1,2)}^j = X_1^j - X_2^j$$

$$\text{Var}[X_1^j] = \sigma^2(t_1 + t_6 + t_5)$$

$$\text{Var}[X_2^j] = \sigma^2(t_2 + t_6 + t_5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[Z_{(1,2)}^j] &= \text{Var}[X_1^j - X_2^j] \\ &= \text{Var}[X_1^j] + \text{Var}[X_2^j] - 2\text{Cov}[X_1^j, X_2^j] \\ &= \sigma^2(t_1 + t_6 + t_5 + t_2 + t_6 + t_5) \\ &\quad - 2(t_6 + t_5) \\ &= \sigma^2(t_1 + t_2) \end{aligned}$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

- The value for contrasts at cherries can easily be calculated. The variance is proportional to the branch lengths between the two external nodes.


Contrasts further down in the tree

For the sake of simpler notation: superscripts j are omitted here!

Wanted:

$$Z_{(i,l)} = X_i - X_l \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}]$$



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts further down in the tree

For the sake of simpler notation: superscripts j are omitted here!


We have to calculate the values at internal nodes:

Wanted:

$$Z_{(i,l)} = X_i - X_l \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}]$$

$$X_i =$$



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts further down in the tree

For the sake of simpler notation: superscripts j are omitted here!

We have to calculate the values at internal nodes:

Wanted:


$$Z_{(i,l)} = X_i - X_l \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}]$$

$$X_i = \frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n$$

and their variances:

$$\text{Var}[X_i] = \text{Var}\left[\frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n\right]$$



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts further down in the tree

For the sake of simpler notation: superscripts j are omitted here!

We have to calculate the values at internal nodes:

Wanted:


$$Z_{(i,l)} = X_i - X_l \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}]$$

$$X_i = \frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n$$

and their variances:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[X_i] &= \text{Var}\left[\frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n\right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\underbrace{\frac{t_m t_n}{t_m + t_n}}_{t'_i} + t_i + t_k + \dots \right)\end{aligned}$$



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Contrasts further down in the tree

For the sake of simpler notation: superscripts j are omitted here!

We have to calculate the values at internal nodes:


Wanted:

$$Z_{(i,l)} = X_i - X_l \text{ and} \\ \text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}]$$

$$X_i = \frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n$$

and their variances:

$$\text{Var}[X_i] = \text{Var}\left[\frac{t_n}{t_m + t_n} X_m + \frac{t_m}{t_m + t_n} X_n\right] \\ = \sigma^2 \left(\underbrace{\frac{t_m t_n}{t_m + t_n}}_{t'_i} + t_i + t_k + \dots \right)$$



calculate values at internal nodes, and the corrected branch lengths, then calculate the contrasts and their variances:

$$\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}] = \sigma^2 (t'_i + t'_l)$$

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Normalisation of contrasts

To be able to compare the independent contrast, all contrasts need to have the same variance. Thus, we need to normalise the contrasts in a last step.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Normalisation of contrasts

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

To be able to compare the independent contrast, all contrasts need to have the same variance. Thus, we need to normalise the contrasts in a last step.



Given the contrast $Z_{(i,l)}^j$ with variance $\text{Var}[Z_{(i,l)}^j] = \sigma^2 c_{Z_{(i,l)}^j}$

We know that $\text{Var}(\alpha X) = \alpha^2 \text{Var}(X)$. Thus, we can replace the contrasts by

$$Z_{(i,l)}^j = Z_{(i,l)}^j / \sqrt{c_{Z_{(i,l)}^j}}$$

Therefore all $Z_{(i,l)}^j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ and are ready for a linear regression. Remember that the superscript j indicates the j -th trait.

Independent contrasts in the first example



[Felsenstein, 2004]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method



Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Independent contrasts in the first example



[Felsenstein, 2004]

The independent contrast method supports our early suspicion that no correlation between the two characters evolved on this particular phylogeny can be found.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Example: Fiddler crabs



male fiddler crab
[Fiddlercrab, 2015]

Is there a correlation between carapace breadth and propodus length in five *Uca* fiddler crab species?

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Carapace breadth and propodus length



c carapace breadth

p propodus length

[Symonds and Bomberg, 2014]

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Carapace breadth and propodus length




c carapace breadth

p propodus length

[Symonds and Bomberg, 2014]

Linear regression on the data gives:



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method


Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Carapace breadth and propodus length




c carapace breadth

p propodus length

[Symonds and Bomberg, 2014]

At first sight, carapace breadth seems to be a predictor for the propodus length.

Linear regression on the data gives:



Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Independent contrasts

We can now derive the independent contrasts by first calculating the corrected branch lengths and the character values in the nodes:



Character values at internal nodes:

j	c	p
x_7^j	1.04	1.395
x_8^j	0.94	1.29
x_6^j	0.99	1.3425

Contrasts:

	c _{raw}	p _{raw}	SD	c _{st}	p _{st}
$z_{(1,2)}^j$	0.04	0.03	$\sqrt{2}$	0.028	0.021
$z_{(3,4)}^j$	0.04	0.14	$\sqrt{2}$	0.028	0.099
$z_{(7,8)}^j$	0.1	0.105	$\sqrt{3}$	0.058	0.061
$z_{(6,5)}^j$	0.1	0.2125	$\sqrt{4.75}$	0.046	0.098

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs



Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Regression on independent contrasts

Regression on the standardized contrasts leads to:



No signal for dependency between carapace breadth and propodus length.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Comparison of a discrete and a continuous character.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs


Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Antelope group sizes as predictors for antipredator behavior

[Ives and Garland, 2014] studied the dependency between a **continuous** variable (\log_{10} group size in yellow-red) and the **discrete** antipredator behaviour (hide = white, flee/fight = black) in 75 antelope species:



The four bottom rows show predictions of the antipredator behaviour using different models.

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Summary

- ▶ Continuous character evolution on a phylogeny can be modelled by Brownian motion.
 - ▶ More advanced models for character evolution can be used, e.g. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-process in HIV virulence evolution
- ▶ Discrete and continuous character evolution cannot be analysed directly with a regression if species/individuals have a common evolutionary history.
- ▶ If species/individuals evolved on a phylogeny, one has to correct for the common evolutionary trajectories before comparing characters (e.g. using independent contrasts).

Continuous traits and comparative methods

Comparing discrete characters

Comparing continuous characters

Toolbox: Brownian motion

Interdependence of phylogenetically linked characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

Continuous traits and comparative methods: Questions.

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References

- ② In a Fisher's exact test, how would you calculate which values for one of the cells in the contingency table would lead to a rejection of the null hypothesis, given that row and column sums remain the same?
- ② Is the Brownian motion model a good model for all continuous traits? Could you imagine situations where this is not the case and which assumption in this model could be violated?
- ② Do you think it is a good strategy to first determine the species tree and then look at character evolution, or would a co-estimation of characters and the phylogeny make more sense?

References |

- Felsenstein, J. (2004). *Inferring phylogenies*. Sinauer associates Sunderland.
- Fiddlercrab (2015). Information on fiddler crabs: <http://www.fiddlercrab.info>.
- Gardiner, C. W. (2010). *Stochastic Methods A Handbook for the Natural and Social Sciences*. Springer Berlin.
- Ives, A. and Garland, T. J. (2014). *Phylogenetic Regression for Binary Dependent Variables*. Book chapter in: *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology*. Springer.
- Pagel, M. (1994). Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: A general method for the comparative analysis of discrete characters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B*, 255:37–45.
- Ridley, M. (1983). *The Explanation of Organic Diversity: The Comparative Method and Adaptations for Mating*. Oxford University Press.
- Symonds, M. and Bomberg, S. (2014). *A Primer on Phylogenetic Generalised Least Squares*. Book chapter in: *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology*. Springer.

Continuous traits and
comparative methods

Comparing discrete
characters

Comparing continuous
characters

Toolbox: Brownian
motion

Interdependence of
phylogenetically linked
characters

The contrasts method

Example: Fiddler crabs

Prediction of antelope
antipredator behaviour

Summary

References