

Zero-Knowledge Proofs

Exercise 9 (graded)

Submission Deadline: 24/11/2022, 23:59 CEST

Note: Solutions must be typeset in LaTeX. Make sure to name the pdf file of your solutions in the following format:

“<Legi Number>_9.pdf”

9.1 An Interactive Protocol for Block Cipher Evaluation (20 marks)

In this exercise, you will use a variant of the GKR protocol to prove that a round of a block cipher loosely based on AES was computed correctly.¹

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field. Let $K \in \mathbb{F}$ be a key. Consider the following construction of a single round $C: \mathbb{F}^{N^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{N^2}$ of a block cipher, represented by a layered circuit with depth $D = 3$ and layer size $S = N^2$, where $N = 2^n$.

- The input to C at the input layer 3 is a *state* in \mathbb{F}^{N^2} , which can be viewed as a matrix

$$W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{0,0} & x_{0,1} & \cdots & x_{0,N-1} \\ x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N-1,0} & x_{N-1,1} & \cdots & x_{N-1,N-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{N \times N}.$$

- At layer 2, the state W_2 is obtained from W_3 by applying the function $x \mapsto (x + K)^3$ to every field element in the state.
- At layer 1, the state W_1 is obtained from W_2 by moving $x_{i,j}$ from position (i, j) to position $\text{ShiftRows}(i, j) := (i, j - i \bmod N)$ i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{0,0} & x_{0,1} & \cdots & x_{0,N-1} \\ x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N-1,0} & x_{N-1,1} & \cdots & x_{N-1,N-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_{0,0} & x_{0,1} & \cdots & x_{0,N-1} \\ x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N-1,N-1} & x_{N-1,0} & \cdots & x_{N-1,N-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- At layer 0, the output state W_0 is obtained from W_1 using the mapping $W_0 = M \cdot W_1$, where $M \in \mathbb{F}^{N \times N}$.

- a) Let $G_1(X_1, \dots, X_m), \dots, G_L(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ be polynomials of total degree d over \mathbb{F} representing *custom gates* mapping $\mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Consider a layered circuit in which the k -th layer contains *only* gates G_1, \dots, G_L whose locations are described by functions $\text{Custom}_1, \dots, \text{Custom}_L: \{0, 1\}^{\ell_k} \times (\{0, 1\}^{\ell_{k+1}})^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Using G_1, \dots, G_L and $\text{Custom}_1, \dots, \text{Custom}_L$, write down a summation equation connecting the values of the wire functions at level k and level $k + 1$. [1 mark]

When $m \geq 2$, explain in detail how to modify the sumcheck and 2-to-1 reductions in the GKR protocol to verify the correct evaluation of the circuit. State the soundness

¹We make no claims about the security of this block cipher!

errors and communication costs of the modified reductions in terms of m, d, ℓ_k, ℓ_{k+1} , and $|\mathbb{F}|$. [4 marks]

b) Write down a single custom gate $G: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ for layer 2 of C , and a function **Custom** describing the locations of G . [1 marks]

c) View W_k, W_{k+1} as functions $\{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, and **ShiftRows** as a function $\{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Show that the following two statements are equivalent:

$$\forall \mathbf{i} \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}, \quad W_k(\mathbf{i}) = W_{k+1}(\text{ShiftRows}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})) , \quad (1)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{i} \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}, \quad W_k(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}} \text{Eq}(\mathbf{i}; \text{ShiftRows}(\mathbf{j})) \cdot W_{k+1}(\mathbf{j}) . \quad (2)$$

[2 marks]

d) Given functions $W_k, W_{k+1}: \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ satisfying Equation (1), give an interactive protocol reducing a claim of the form “ $\tilde{W}_k(r_1, \dots, r_{2n}) = v_k$ ” to a claim of the form “ $\tilde{W}_{k+1}(s_1, \dots, s_{2n}) = v_{k+1}$ ”. Prove that your protocol is complete and sound, clearly stating its soundness error. [7 marks]

e) Given matrices W_0, M and $W_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{N \times N}$ such that $W_0 = M \cdot W_1$, write down an explicit expression for the (i, j) -th entry of W_0 in terms of the entries of M and W_1 . Hence, describe a method for reducing claims about the MLE of the output state of the circuit to claims about the MLE of the state at layer 1. [2 marks]

f) Using the techniques from the previous parts of the question, design an interactive proof to prove that $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{F}^{N \times N}$ satisfy $C(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{Y}$. State and justify the communication complexity of your protocol in terms of elements of \mathbb{F} . [3 marks]