REGULARITY PROPERTIES OF ANALYTIC SETS

3rd Mar, 2025



In this lecture we verify that the analytic sets are Lebesgue measurable (LM) and have the Baire property (BP). Since both properties are closed under complements, they also hold for the class of **co-analytic sets** Π_1^1 .

The analytic sets also have the perfect subset property (PS).

Exercise

Show that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is analytic and uncountable, then it contains a perfect subset.

(Hint: Since A is analytic, there exists a continuous mapping $f: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $A = f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$. Construct an embedding of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ into A. Show that we can find two disjoint open sets U_0, U_1 whose intersection with $A = f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is uncountable. The preimages of the U_i are disjoint open subsets with uncountable images. Show that this process can be continued and defines in the limit an injection of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ into A.)

For Borel sets, one proves (LM) and (BP) by showing that the class of sets having (LM) (or (BP), respectively) forms a σ -algebra and contains the open sets. For the analytic sets, this method is no longer available. We can, however, prove a similar property with respect to the Souslin operation \mathcal{A} , which can be seen as an extension of basic set theoretic operations into the uncountable.

More specifically, we will show the following.

- The Souslin operation \mathcal{A} is **idempotent**, i.e. $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\Gamma = \mathcal{A}\Gamma$. This implies that the analytic sets are closed under \mathcal{A} .
- The family of sets with (LM) (or (BP), respectively), is closed under the Souslin operation. Since the closed sets have both properties, and the Souslin operator is clearly monotone on classes, this yields the desired regularity results.

1 Idempotence of the Souslin operation

Theorem 1.1. For every family Γ of subsets of a set X,

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\Gamma = \mathcal{A}\Gamma.$$

Proof. We clearly have $\Gamma \subseteq A\Gamma$, so that we only need to prove $\mathcal{A}A\Gamma \subseteq A\Gamma$.

Suppose $A = \mathcal{A}P$ with $P_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{A}\Gamma$, that is, $P_{\sigma} = \mathcal{A}Q_{\sigma,\tau}$ with $Q_{\sigma,\tau} \in \Gamma$. Then

$$z \in A \iff \exists \alpha \, \forall m \, (z \in P_{\alpha \upharpoonright m})$$
$$\iff \exists \alpha \, \forall m \, \exists \beta \, \forall n \, (z \in Q_{\alpha \upharpoonright m, \beta \upharpoonright n})$$
$$\iff \exists \alpha \, \exists \beta \, \forall m \, \forall n \, (z \in Q_{\alpha \upharpoonright m, (\beta)_m \upharpoonright n}),$$

where $(\beta)_m$ denotes the *m*-th column of β .



Now we contract the two function quantifiers to a single one, using a (computable) homeomorphism $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and the two universal number quantifiers into a single one using the paring function $\langle .,. \rangle$. Then A can be characterized as

$$z \in A \iff \exists \gamma \ \forall k (z \in R_{\gamma \upharpoonright k})$$

where $R_{\sigma} = Q_{\varphi(\sigma),\psi(\sigma)} \in \Gamma$ for suitable coding functions φ,ψ . We leave an explicit definition of these coding functions as an exercise.

Corollary 1.1.1.

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1 = \mathbf{\Sigma}_1^1. \tag{1}$$

2 Lebesgue measurability of analytic sets

We start with a lemma that essentially says that we can envelop any set with a smallest (up to measure 0) measurable set.

Lemma 2.1. For every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ there exists a set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ and B is Lebesgue measurable,
- (ii) if B' is such that $A \subseteq B' \subseteq B$ and is Lebesgue measurable, then $B \setminus B'$ has measure 0.

Proof. Suppose first that $\lambda^*(A) < \infty$. For every $n \geq 0$, there exists an open set $O_n \supseteq A$ with $\lambda^*(O_n) = \lambda(O_n) < \lambda^*(A) + 1/n$. Then $B = \bigcap_n O_n$ is measurable, and $\lambda(B) = \lambda^*(A)$. Furthermore, if $A \subseteq B' \subseteq B$, then $\lambda^*(A) \leq \lambda^*(B') \leq \lambda^*(B)$.

If B' is also measurable, then

$$\lambda^*(B) = \lambda^*(B \cap B') + \lambda^*(B \setminus B') = \lambda^*(B') + \lambda^*(B \setminus B'), \tag{2}$$

hence $\lambda^*(B \setminus B') = 0$.

If $\lambda^*(A)=\infty$, let $A_n=A\cap [m,m+1)$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\lambda^*(A_m)\leq 1$, and we can choose $B_m\supseteq A_m$ measurable such that $\lambda^*(B_m)=\lambda^*(A_m)$. Then $B=\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}B_m$ has the desired property.

We now apply the lemma to show that Lebesgue measurability is closed under the Souslin operation. The basic idea is to approximate the local "branches" of the Souslin operation on a Souslin scheme by measurable sets from outside, in the sense of the lemma. It turns out that the total error we make by this approximation is negligible, and hence the overall result of the Souslin operation differs from a measurable set only by a nullset and hence is measurable.

Proposition 2.1. The class LM of all Lebesgue measurable sets $\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed under the Souslin operation, that is,

$$A LM \subseteq LM. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $A=(A_\sigma)$ be a Souslin scheme with each A_σ measurable. We can assume that (A_σ) is regular. For each $\sigma\in\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we let

$$A^{\sigma} = \bigcup_{\alpha \supset \sigma} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{\alpha \upharpoonright n} \subseteq A_{\sigma}.$$

Note that $A^{\varnothing} = \mathcal{A} A$.



By the previous lemma, there exist measurable sets $B^{\sigma}\supseteq A^{\sigma}$ so that for every measurable $B\supseteq A^{\sigma}$, $B^{\sigma}\setminus B$ is null.

By replacing B^{σ} with $B^{\sigma} \cap A_{\sigma}$, we can further assume $B^{\sigma} \subseteq A_{\sigma}$, and also that (B^{σ}) is a regular Souslin scheme.

Now let $C_{\sigma} = B^{\sigma} \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{\sigma \cap \langle n \rangle}$. Each C_{σ} is a nullset, by the choice of the B^{σ} and the fact that $A^{\sigma} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^{\sigma \cap \langle n \rangle} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B^{\sigma \cap \langle n \rangle}$. Hence $C = \bigcup_{\sigma} C_{\sigma}$ is a nullset, too.

It remains to show that

$$B^{\varnothing} \setminus C \subseteq A^{\varnothing} = \mathcal{A}A,$$

for this implies $B^{\varnothing} \setminus A^{\varnothing} \subseteq C$ is null, which in turn implies that A^{\varnothing} is Lebesgue measurable (since it differs from a measurable set by a nullset).

So let $x \in B^{\emptyset} \setminus C$. Since $x \notin C_{\emptyset}$, there is an $\alpha(0)$ with $x \in B^{\langle \alpha(0) \rangle}$.

Given $\alpha \upharpoonright n$ with $x \in B^{\alpha \upharpoonright n}$, we can choose $\alpha(n)$ so that $x \in B^{\alpha \upharpoonright n+1}$. This is possible because $x \notin C_{\alpha \upharpoonright n}$. This way we construct $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$x \in \bigcap_{n} B^{\alpha \upharpoonright n} \subseteq \bigcap_{n} A_{\alpha \upharpoonright n} \subseteq A^{\varnothing}.$$

Corollary 2.1.1. Every analytic set is Lebesgue measurable.

Proof. By the idempotence of \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}\Sigma_1^1=\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\Pi_1^0=\mathcal{A}\Pi_1^0=\Sigma_1^1$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{A}\Pi_1^0\subseteq\mathcal{A}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$, since the Souslin operation is monotone on classes. This yields $\Sigma_1^1\subseteq\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$.

3 Universally measurable sets

The previous proof is general enough to work for other kinds of measures on arbitrary Polish spaces.

Given a Polish space X, a **Borel measure** on X is a countably additive set function μ defined on a σ -algebra of the Borel sets in X. A set is μ -measurable if it can be represented as a union of a Borel set and a μ -nullset. A measure μ is σ -finite if $X = \bigcup_n X_n$, where X_n is μ -measurable with $\mu(X_n) < \infty$. Lebesgue measure is σ -finite Borel measure on the Polish space \mathbb{R} .

A set $A \subseteq X$ is **universally measurable** if it is μ -measurable for every σ -finite Borel measure on X.

Theorem 3.1 (Lusin). In a Polish space, every analytic is universally measurable.

4 Baire property of analytic sets

Inspecting the proof of Proposition ??, we see that it works for the Baire property as well (with "measure 0" replaced by "meager", of course), provided we can prove a Baire category version of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 4.1. Let X be a Polish space. For every set $A \subseteq X$ there exists a set $B \subseteq X$ so that

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ and B has the Baire property,
- (ii) if $Z \subseteq B \setminus A$ and Z has the Baire property, then Z is meager.

Proof. Let U_1, U_2, \ldots be an enumeration of countable base of the topology for X. Given $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ set

$$A^* := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall i \ (x \in U_i \Rightarrow U_i \cap A \text{ not meager}) \}.$$

Note that A^* is closed: If $x \notin A^*$, then there exists i with $x \in U_i \& U_i \cap A$ null. If $y \in U_i$, then $y \notin A^*$, since $U_i \cap A$ is null. Hence $U_i \subseteq \neg A^*$.



We have

$$A \setminus A^* = \bigcup \{A \cap U_i : A \cap U_i \text{ meager}\},\$$

which is a countable union of meager sets and hence meager.

If we let $B = A \cup A^* = A^* \cup (A \setminus A^*)$, then B is a union of a meager set and a closed set and hence has the Baire property.

Now assume $B'\supseteq A$ has the Baire property. Then $C=B\setminus B'$ has the Baire property, too. Suppose C is not meager, then $U_i\setminus C$ is meager for some i, and hence also $U_i\cap A\subseteq (U_i\setminus C)$. Besides, $U_i\cap C\neq\emptyset$, for otherwise $U_i\subseteq U_i\setminus C$ would be meager. Thus there exists $x\in U_i$ with $x\not\in A^*$, which by definition of A^* implies that $U_i\cap A$ is not meager, a contradiction.

By adapting the proof of Proposition ??, we obtain the Baire category version and hence can deduce that analytic sets have the Baire property.

Proposition 4.1. In any Polish space X, the class \mathbf{BP} of all sets $\subseteq X$ with the Baire property is closed under the Souslin operation, i.e.

$$A BP \subseteq BP. \tag{4}$$