

Lecture 18: Σ_2^1 Sets

In this lecture we extend the results of the previous lecture to Σ_2^1 sets.

Tree representations of Σ_2^1 sets

Analytic sets are projections of closed sets. Closed sets in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are infinite paths through trees on ω .

We call a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *Y-Souslin* if A is the projection $\exists^{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}[T]$ of some $[T]$, where T is a tree on $\mathbb{N} \times Y$, i.e. $A = \exists^{Y^{\mathbb{N}}}[T] = \{\alpha : \exists y \in Y^{\mathbb{N}} (\alpha, y) \in [T]\}$.

Theorem 18.1 (Shoenfield, 1961): *Every Σ_2^1 set is ω_1 -Souslin. In particular, if A is Σ_2^1 then there is a tree $T \in L$ on $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $A = \exists^{(\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}}}[T]$.*

Proof. Assume first A is Π_1^1 . There is a recursive tree T on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ (and hence, in L , since ‘being recursive’ is definable) such that

$$\alpha \in A \iff T(\alpha) \text{ is well-founded.}$$

Hence, $\alpha \in A$ if and only if there exists an order preserving map $\pi : T(\alpha) \rightarrow \omega_1$. We recast this in terms of getting an infinite branch through a tree. Let $\{\sigma_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a recursive enumeration of $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$. We may assume for this enumeration that $|\sigma_i| \leq i$. We define a tree \tilde{T} on $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ by

$$\tilde{T} = \{(\sigma, \tau) : \forall i, j < |\sigma| [\sigma_i \supset \sigma_j \wedge (\sigma \restriction |\sigma_i|, \sigma_i) \in T \rightarrow \tau(i) < \tau(j)]\}.$$

It is easy to see that \tilde{T} is in L , since it is definable from T and ω_1 . Furthermore, if $\alpha \in A$, then the existence of an order-preserving map $\pi : T(\alpha) \rightarrow \omega_1$ implies that there is an infinite path (α, η) through \tilde{T} . Conversely, if such a path (α, η) exists, then it is easy to see that there is an order preserving map $\pi : T(\alpha) \rightarrow \omega_1$. Hence we have

$$\alpha \in A \iff \exists \eta \in (\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}} (\alpha, \eta) \in [\tilde{T}] \iff \alpha \in \exists^{(\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}}}[\tilde{T}],$$

so A is of the desired form.

Now we extend the representation to Σ_2^1 . If A is Σ_2^1 , then there is a Π_1^1 set $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $A = \exists^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} B$. Since $B \in \Pi_1^1$, we can employ the tree representation of Π_1^1 to obtain a tree T over $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $B = \exists^{(\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}}}[T]$. Now we recast T as a tree T' over $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $\exists^{(\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}}}[T'] = \exists^{(\omega_1)^{\mathbb{N}}} B$. This

is done by using a bijection between $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ and ω_1 . This way we can cast the $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ component of T into a single ω_1 component, and thus transform the tree T into a tree T' over $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $\exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}}[T'] = \exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}}[B]$. \square

Σ_2^1 sets as unions of Borel sets

We can use Shoenfield's tree representation to extend Corollary 17.8 to Σ_2^1 sets.

Theorem 18.2 (Sierpiński, 1925): *Every Σ_2^1 set is a union of \aleph_1 -many Borel sets.*

Sierpinski's original proof used AC. The following proof does not make use of choice.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ be Σ_2^1 . By Theorem 18.1 there exists a tree T on $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $A = \exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}}[T]$. For any $\xi < \omega_1$ let

$$T^\xi = \{(\sigma, \eta) \in T : \forall i \leq |\eta| \ \eta(i) < \xi\}.$$

Since the cofinality of ω_1 is greater than ω (this can be proved without using AC), every $d : \omega \rightarrow \omega_1$ has its range included in some $\xi < \omega_1$. Thus we have

$$A = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}}[T^\xi].$$

For all $\xi < \omega_1$, the set $\exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}}[T^\xi]$ is Σ_1^1 , because the tree T^ξ is a tree on a product of countable sets and hence is isomorphic to a tree on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 17.9, each Σ_1^1 set is the union of \aleph_1 many Borel sets, from which the result follows. \square

Again, an immediate consequence of this theorem is (using the perfect set property of Borel sets):

Corollary 18.3: *Every Σ_2^1 set has cardinality at most \aleph_1 or has a perfect subset and hence cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .*

Absoluteness of Σ_2^1 relations

Shoenfield used the tree representation of Σ_2^1 sets to establish an important absoluteness result for Σ_2^1 sets of reals.

Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Σ_2^1 . Then, by the Kleene Normal Form there exists a bounded formula $\varphi(\alpha, \beta_0, \beta_1, m)$ such that

$$\alpha \in A \iff \exists \beta_0 \forall \beta_1 \exists m \varphi(\alpha, \beta_0, \beta_1, m).$$

Let M be an inner model of ZF, i.e. M is transitive and contains all ordinals. Since arithmetical formulas can be interpreted in ZF, M contains all recursive predicates over \mathbb{N} . In particular, since the truth of the bounded formula φ depends only on finite initial segments of α, β_0, β_1 , it defines a recursive predicate $R_\varphi(\alpha, \beta_0, \beta_1, m) = R_\varphi(\sigma, \tau_0, \tau_1, m)$, which in turn defines a subset of \mathbb{N}^4 that is contained in M . Hence we can define the *relativization* of A to M as

$$A^M(\alpha) \iff \exists \beta_0 \in M \forall \beta_1 \in M \exists m R(\alpha, \beta_0, \beta_1, m).$$

We say that A is **absolute for M** if for any $\alpha \in M$,

$$A^M(\alpha) \iff A(\alpha).$$

Absoluteness itself can be extended and relativized in a straightforward manner to predicates analytical in some $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap M$.

Theorem 18.4 (Shoenfield Absoluteness): *Every $\Sigma_2^1(\gamma)$ predicate and every $\Pi_2^1(\gamma)$ predicate is absolute for all inner models M of ZFC such that $\gamma \in M$. In particular, all Σ_2^1 and Π_2^1 relations are absolute for L .*

Proof. We show the theorem for Σ_2^1 predicates. For the relativized version, one uses the *relative constructible universe* $L[\gamma]$, see [Jech \[2003\]](#) or [Kanamori \[2003\]](#).

Let A be a Σ_2^1 relation. For simplicity, we assume that A is unary. Fix a tree representation of A as a projection of a Π_1^1 set. So, let T be a recursive tree on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha \in A \iff \exists \beta \ T(\alpha, \beta) \text{ is well-founded.}$$

Note that T is in M .

Now assume $\alpha \in M$ and $\alpha \in A^M$. So there is a $\beta \in M$ such that $T(\alpha, \beta)$ is well-founded in M . This is equivalent to the fact that in M there exists an order preserving mapping $\pi : T(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Ord}^M$. Since M is an inner model and T is the same in V and M , such a mapping exists also in V . Hence $T(\alpha, \beta)$ is well-founded in V and thus $\alpha \in A$.

For the converse assume that $\alpha \in A \cap M$. Now we use the tree representation of A given by Theorem 18.1. Let $U \in L \subseteq M$ be a tree on $\mathbb{N} \times \omega_1$ such that $A = \exists^{(\omega_1)^\mathbb{N}} U$. This means that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$,

$$\alpha \in A \iff U(\alpha) \text{ is not well-founded.}$$

So $\alpha \in A \cap M$ implies that there exists no order preserving map $U(\alpha) \rightarrow \omega_1$. But then such a map cannot exist in M either. So, $U(\alpha)$ is a tree in M which is ill-founded in the sense of M . Thus, by Shoenfield's Representation Theorem relativized to M , $\alpha \in A^M$.

Absoluteness for Π_2^1 follows by employing the same reasoning, using that the complement is Σ_2^1 . \square

By analyzing the proof one sees that it actually suffices that M is a transitive \in -model of a certain finite collection of axioms ZF such that $\omega_1 \subseteq M$.

The result is the best possible with respect to the analytical hierarchy, since the statement

$$\exists \alpha [\alpha \notin L]$$

is Σ_3^1 , but cannot be absolute for $M = L$.

Shoenfield's Absoluteness Theorem also holds for sentences rather than formulae, with a similar proof. This means a Σ_2^1 statement is true in L if and only if it holds in V . This has an important consequence regarding the significance of principles like CH for analysis. Many results of classical analysis are Σ_2^1 statements. The Absoluteness Theorem says that if they can be established under $V = L$ (and hence in a world where CH holds), they can be established in ZF alone.

Another consequence concerns the complexity of reals defined by analytical relations.

Corollary 18.5: *If $X \subseteq \omega$ is Σ_2^1 , then $X \in L$. In particular, every Σ_2^1 real (and hence every Π_2^1 real) is in L .*

Proof. Let X be Σ_2^1 via some formula φ . Since $\omega \in L$, and since L is an inner model of ZF, it satisfies the axiom of separation (relativized to L) for φ . So the set $X^L = \{a \in \omega : \varphi^L(a)\}$ is in L . It is clear that the representation and absoluteness results also hold for subsets of ω . (Change the notation to include subsets of ω .) Absoluteness for φ implies that $X^L \cap L = X \cap L$, but since $X \subseteq \omega$, we have $X = X \cap L$ and $X^L \cap L = X^L$, and hence $X \in L$. \square

We cannot extend this to Σ_2^1 sets of reals. In the proof of the Corollary, it is crucial that ω , the set over which we apply separation, is in L . This is not longer the case for sets of reals. For example, the set of all reals is clearly Σ_2^1 , but unless $V = L$, it is not contained in L .