

## Lecture 21: Co-analytic Ranks

In the previous lecture we learned about how  $\Pi_1^1$  set can be analyzed in terms of countable ordinals. In this lecture we will deepen this analysis. We will develop the theory of  $\Pi_1^1$ -**ranks**, which is a powerful tool in descriptive set theory. We can view the recursive function  $f$  that we constructed in the proof of Theorem 20.2 as the central fact:

$$\text{If } R_e \text{ is well-founded, then } \rho(R_e) \leq |f(e)|_{\mathcal{O}} \quad (*)$$

### Boundedness Principles

We start by picking up the observation made in Lemma 20.1. It states that r.e. subsets of  $\mathcal{O}$  are **uniformly bounded**: Given an index  $e$  of an r.e. subset of  $\mathcal{O}$ , we can compute uniformly in  $e$  a ordinal bounding all ordinals denoted by  $W_e$ . We can strengthen this to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.

**Theorem 21.1** (Spector): *If  $X \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then there exists  $b \in \mathcal{O}$  such that*

$$\forall x \in X \quad |x|_{\mathcal{O}} < |b|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t$  be a reduction from  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\text{WF}_{\mathbb{N}}$ , that is  $t$  is recursive such that

$$x \in \mathcal{O} \iff R_{t(x)} \text{ is well-founded.}$$

The idea is that if  $X$  is unbounded in  $\mathcal{O}$ , then we can characterize  $\mathcal{O}$  by a  $\Sigma_1^1$  formula, contradicting Corollary 20.7. If the desired  $b$  does not exist, then, for each  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ , we can find a  $y \in X$  such that there exists an embedding of  $R_{t(x)}$  into  $\mathcal{O}$  below  $y$ . Using the proof of Theorem 20.2, we can formulate this as a property  $P(x)$ ,

$$P(x) \iff \exists y [y \in X \wedge \exists \gamma \forall z_0, z_1 (R_{t(x)}(z_0, z_1) \Rightarrow \langle \gamma(z_0), \gamma(z_1) \rangle \in W_{g(z)})],$$

where  $g$  is a recursive function so that  $W_{g(z)} = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x <_{\mathcal{O}} y <_{\mathcal{O}} z\}$  (see Proposition 19.7). If  $X$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then  $P$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

If  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $R_{t(x)}$  is well-founded, hence by (\*),  $\rho(R_{t(x)}) \leq |f(t(x))|_{\mathcal{O}}$ , and thus if  $X$  is unbounded in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $P(x)$  holds. If  $P(x)$  holds on the other hand, then  $R_{t(x)}$  must be well-founded (otherwise such a mapping would not exist), and thus  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ . Hence  $P$  would be a  $\Sigma_1^1$  characterization of  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 21.2:** *If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ , and  $h$  is recursive such that  $x \in X$  if and only if  $h(x) \in \mathcal{O}$ , then there exists a  $b \in \mathcal{O}$  such that*

$$\forall x \in X \quad |h(x)| < |b|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

A similar statement holds with  $\text{WF}_{\mathbb{N}}$  in place of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

### *Boundedness for sets of reals*

The key to Spector's theorem is the fact that  $\text{WF}_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  are  $m$ -complete for the class of  $\Pi_1^1$  sets of natural numbers.

We have seen (Theorem 17.6) that the set  $\text{WOrd}, \text{WF} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  are  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete with respect to *Wadge-reducibility*. This lets us obtain a similar result for  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets of reals.

**Theorem 21.3** ( $\Sigma_1^1$ -boundedness for reals): *Let  $A \subseteq \text{WOrd}$  be  $\Sigma_1^1$ . Then there exists a  $\xi < \omega_1^{\text{CK}}$  such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in A \quad \|\alpha\| < \xi,$$

where  $\|\alpha\|$  denotes the order type of the well-ordering coded by  $\alpha$ .

An analogous statement holds for  $\text{WF}$ , with respect to the rank function  $\rho$  of a well-founded relation.

*Proof.* If such a  $\xi$  did not exist, then

$$\alpha \in \text{WOrd} \iff \exists \beta [\beta \in A \wedge \text{WOrd}_{\beta}].$$

The right-hand side is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , and hence  $\text{WOrd}$  would be  $\Sigma_1^1$ , contradiction.  $\square$

### **Rank analysis of co-analytic sets**

The previous results constitute a powerful technique when analyzing the complexity of sets. In particular, they give us a method to show that a  $\Pi_1^1$  set is *not* Borel, besides proving that they are  $\Pi_1^1$ -complete.

If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $\Pi_1^1$ , then there exists a recursive tree  $T$  such that

$$\alpha \in A \iff T(\alpha) \text{ is well-founded.}$$

Every well-founded  $T(\alpha)$  has a rank  $\rho(T(\alpha))$ .  $\Sigma_1^1$ -boundedness tells us that if  $A$  is moreover  $\Delta_1^1$ , then the *spectrum* of these ranks is **bounded by a computable ordinal**. This means that we can show that  $A$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$  by showing that its ordinal spectrum  $\{\rho(T(\alpha)) : \alpha \in A\}$  is unbounded in  $\omega_1^{\text{CK}}$ .

These observations generalize (using relativization) to  $\Pi_1^1$  sets: Ranks of Borel sets are bounded by an ordinal  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

The downside of this method is that the tree  $T$  associated with a  $\Pi_1^1$  set is a rather generic object, stemming from the canonical representation of  $\Pi_1^1$  sets, and it may be rather difficult to prove anything about the ordinals  $\rho(T(\alpha))$ .

In many cases one can replace the canonical rank function with a “custom” one that better reflects the structure of a set.

Given a set  $S$ , a **rank** on  $S$  is a map  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ . A rank is called **regular** if  $\varphi(S)$  is an ordinal, i.e.  $\varphi(S)$  is an initial segment of  $\text{Ord}$ .

Each rank gives rise to a **prewellordering**  $\leq_\varphi$ :

$$x \leq_\varphi y \iff \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y).$$

A prewellordering is a binary relation on  $S$  that is reflexive, transitive, and *connected* (any two elements are comparable), and every non-empty subset of  $S$  has a  $\leq_\varphi$ -minimal element.

Under AC every set can be well-ordered, which means that every set admits a regular rank function that is one-one. However, we would like a rank function to reflect the complexity and structure of the set. In particular, we would like to preserve the boundedness properties of  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets. For those to hold it was crucial that the initial segments  $\text{WOrd}_\xi$ ,  $\xi < \omega_1$  (and similarly for  $\mathcal{O}$ ) are Borel.

We formulate a similar property that ensures the same for general rank functions.

**Definition 21.4:** Let  $X$  be a Polish space, and suppose  $A \subseteq X$ . A rank  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  is a  **$\Pi_1^1$ -rank** if there exists a  $\Sigma_1^1$  relation  $\leq_\varphi^\Sigma$  and a  $\Pi_1^1$  relation  $\leq_\varphi^\Pi$  such that for  $y \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in A : \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)\} &= \{x \in X : x \leq_\varphi^\Sigma y\} \\ &= \{x \in X : x \leq_\varphi^\Pi y\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the initial segments  $\leq_\varphi$  below a given  $y \in A$  are uniformly  $\Delta_1^1$ .

**Theorem 21.5:** Every  $\Pi_1^1$  set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  admits a  $\Pi_1^1$ -rank.

*Proof.* We first show that WOrd admits a  $\Pi_1^1$ -rank. The function  $\varphi$  is obviously  $\varphi(\alpha) = \|\alpha\|$ . We have to express  $\|\alpha\| \leq \|\beta\|$  in a  $\Sigma_1$  and a  $\Pi_1^1$  way.

For the  $\Sigma_1^1$  relation  $\leq_\varphi^\Sigma$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \leq_\varphi^\Sigma \beta &\iff E_\alpha \text{ is a linear ordering and} \\ &\quad \exists \gamma [\gamma \text{ is a one-one, relation preserving mapping } \gamma : E_\alpha \rightarrow E_\beta] \\ &\iff E_\alpha \text{ is a linear ordering and } \exists \gamma \forall m, n [m E_\alpha n \Rightarrow \gamma(m) E_\beta \gamma(n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that “ $E_\alpha$  is a linear ordering” is  $\Pi_1^0$ , hence  $\leq_\varphi^\Sigma$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

For the  $\Sigma_1^1$  relation  $\leq_\varphi^\Pi$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \leq_\varphi^\Pi \beta &\iff E_\alpha \text{ is a well-ordering and} \\ &\quad \text{there is no relation preserving mapping of } E_\beta \text{ onto an initial segment of } E_\alpha \\ &\iff \alpha \in \text{WOrd and } \forall \gamma \neg \exists k \forall m, n [m E_\beta n \Rightarrow \gamma(m) E_\alpha \gamma(n) E_\alpha k]. \end{aligned}$$

Since WOrd is  $\Pi_1^1$ ,  $\leq_\varphi^\Pi$  is  $\Pi_1^1$ , too.

Now we have for  $\beta \in \text{WOrd}$ ,

$$\alpha \leq_\varphi^\Sigma \beta \iff \alpha \leq_\varphi^\Pi \beta \iff \|\alpha\| \leq \|\beta\|,$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 21.6** (Boundedness for arbitrary rank functions): *Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\Pi_1^1$  but not Borel and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -rank on  $A$ . If  $B \subseteq A$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then there is an  $x_0 \in A$  such that*

$$\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x_0) \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

*Proof.* If not, then

$$x \in A \iff \exists y [y \in B \wedge x \leq_\varphi^\Sigma y],$$

and thus  $A$  would be  $\Sigma_1^1$ , and thus Borel, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 21.7:** *Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\Pi_1^1$  and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  is a regular  $\Pi_1^1$ -rank. Then*

- (a)  $\varphi(A) \leq \omega_1$ ;
- (b)  $A$  is Borel if  $\varphi(A) < \omega_1$ ;
- (c) if  $B \subseteq A$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then  $\sup\{\varphi(x) : x \in B\} < \omega_1$ .

## The Cantor-Bendixson Rank

We illustrate the concept of  $\Pi_1^1$ -ranks with a rank function that is different from the canonical rank function.

Suppose  $T$  is a tree on  $\{0, 1\}$ . Define the **Cantor-Bendixson derivative** of  $T$  as

$$T' = \{\sigma \in T : \sigma \text{ has at least two incompatible extensions}\}.$$

We can iterate this derivative along the ordinals:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(\xi+1)} &= (T^{(\xi)})' \quad \text{and} \\ T^{(\lambda)} &= \bigcup_{\xi < \lambda} T^{(\xi)} \quad \text{for } \lambda \text{ limit.} \end{aligned}$$

We clearly have  $T^{(\zeta)} \subseteq T^{(\xi)}$  for  $\zeta < \xi$ . There must exist an ordinal  $\xi_0$  such that  $(T^{(\xi_0)})' = T^{(\xi_0)}$ . Since  $T$  is countable,  $\xi_0 < \omega_1$ . We call the least such  $\xi_0$  the **Cantor-Bendixson rank** of  $T$ ,  $\|T\|_{\text{CB}}$ .

The following is not hard to see.

**Proposition 21.8:** *For any tree  $T$ ,*

- (a) *if  $[T^{\|T\|_{\text{CB}}}] \neq \emptyset$ , then  $[T^{\|T\|_{\text{CB}}}]$  is a perfect subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ;*
- (b)  *$T^{\|T\|_{\text{CB}}} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $[T]$  is countable.*

We hence have a new proof of the Cantor-Bendixson Theorem 2.5 for  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

One can show that  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{CB}}$  is indeed a  $\Pi_1^1$ -rank on the set of all countable compact subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . This follows from the theory of **Borel derivatives**, which generalizes the Cantor-Bendixson derivative to other settings (see [Kechris \[1995\]](#)).

Since for any given ordinal  $\xi < \omega_1$ , we can find a tree  $T \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\|T\|_{\text{CB}} = \xi$ , it follows that the set

$$K_{\omega}(2^{\mathbb{N}}) = \{K \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}} : K \text{ countable}\}$$

is not Borel.

Using a different derivative, [Kechris and Woodin \[1986\]](#) showed that the set

$$\text{Diff} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}[0, 1] : f \text{ differentiable on } [0, 1]\}$$

is not Borel.