## Outline of Lecture 4

### Randomness for Non-Uniform Distributions

- Randomness for Arbitrary Probability Measures.
- Randomness and Computability.
- Continuous Probability Measures.
- Higher Randomness for Continuous Measures.
- Randomness and Iterates of the Power Set.

# Measures on Cantor Space

### Definition

A measure on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a function  $\mu:2^{<\mathbb{N}}\to[0,\infty)$  such that for all  $\sigma\in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ 

$$\mu(\sigma) = \mu(\sigma 0) + \mu(\sigma 1).$$

If  $\mu(\epsilon) = 1$ ,  $\mu$  is a probability measure.

The Caratheodory Extension Theorem ensures that  $\mu$  has a unique extension to the family of all Borel sets.

In the following, all measures are assumed to be probability measures.

### Borel Sets

The Borel sets are obtained from open sets by closing under complementation and countable unions.

- The Borel sets can be ordered according their topological complexity: open/closed sets, intersections of open sets/unions of closed sets, unions of intersections of . . . .
- This yields a hierarchy, the Borel hierarchy, similar to the arithmetical hierarchy for sets of natural numbers: ∃/∀, ∃∀/∀∃, ∃∀∃/∀∃∀, ...

# Representation of measures

• The space  $\mathcal{P}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$  of all probability measures on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is compact Polish.

Compatible metric:

$$\begin{split} d(\mu,\nu) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} d_n(\mu,\nu) \\ d_n(\mu,\nu) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\sigma|=n} |\mu[\![\sigma]\!] - \nu[\![\sigma]\!]|. \end{split}$$

• Countable dense subset: Basic measures

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{q}} &= \sum \alpha_i \delta_{q_i} \\ &\sum \alpha_i = 1, \; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0}, \; q_i \; \text{`rational points' in } 2^\mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

# Representation of measures

• (Nice) Cauchy sequences of basic measures yield continuous surjection

$$\rho: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathcal{P}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$$
.

• Surjection is effective: For any  $X \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\rho^{-1}(\rho(X))$$
 is  $\Pi_1^0(X)$ .

# Randomness for arbitrary measures

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $R_{\mu}$  a representation of  $\mu$ , and let  $Z \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

• An  $R_{\mu}$ -Z-test is a set  $W\subseteq \mathbb{N}\times 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  which is r.e.  $(\Sigma_1^0)$  in  $R_{\mu}\oplus Z$  such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in W_n} \mu \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \le 2^{-n},$$

where  $W_n = \{ \sigma : (n, \sigma) \in W \}$ .

- A real X passes a test W if  $X \notin \bigcap_n [W_n]$ , i.e. if it is not in the  $G_{\delta}$ -set represented by W.
- A real X is  $\mu$ -Z-random if there exists a representation  $R_{\mu}$  so that X passes all  $r_{\mu}$ -Z-tests.

Levin suggested a representation-free definition. Recently, Day and Miller showed that his definition of randomness agrees with the above one.

### Atomic Measures

### Trivial Randomness

Obviously, every sequence X is trivially random with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\mu(\{X\}) > 0$ , i.e. if X is an atom of  $\mu$ .

If we rule out trivial randomness, then being random means being non-computable.

# Theorem [Reimann and Slaman]

For any sequence X, the following are equivalent.

- There exists a measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(X) = 0$  and X is  $\mu$ -random.
- X is not computable.

# Making Sequences Random

## Features of the proof

- Conservation of randomness. If Y is random for Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ , and  $f: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is computable, then f(Y) is random for  $\lambda_f$ , the image measure.
- A cone of  $\lambda$ -random sequences. By the Kucera-Gacs Theorem, every real above 0' is Turing equivalent to a  $\lambda$ -random real.
- Relativization using the Posner-Robinson Theorem. If a real is not computable, then it is above the jump relative to some G.
- A compactness argument for measures.

# Making Reals Random

Conservation of randomness

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure and  $f:2^{\mathbb{N}}\to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a continuous (Borel) function.

Define the image measure  $\mu_f$  by setting

$$\mu_f(\sigma) = \mu(f^{-1}\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)$$

#### Conservation of randomness

If the transformation f is computable in Z, then it preserves randomness, i.e. it maps a  $\mu$ -Z-random real to a  $\mu_f$ -Z-random one.

### Non-trivial Randomness

Cones and relativization

### Kucera's coding argument:

• Every degree above  $\emptyset'$  contains a  $\lambda$ -random.

#### Relativization:

• Posner-Robinson Theorem: For every non-computable sequence X there exists a G such that  $X \oplus G \ge_T G'$ , i.e. relative to G, X is above the jump.

Conclude that every non-computable sequence X is Turing equivalent to some  $\lambda$ -G-random sequence R for some real G.

### Non-Trivial Randomness

#### Making reals random

The Turing equivalence to a  $\lambda$ -random real translates into an effectively closed set of probability measures.

• The following basis theorem (indep. by Downey, Hirschfeldt, Miller, and Nies) ensures that one of the measures will not affect the randomness of R.

### Theorem

If  $B \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is nonempty and  $\Pi_1^0$ , then, for every R which is  $\lambda$ -random there is  $Z \in B$  such that R is  $\lambda$ -Z-random.

• This argument seems to be applicable in more generality, proving existence of measures.

## Randomness for Continuous Measures

In the proof we have little control over the measure that makes x random.

• In particular, atoms cannot be avoided (due to the use of Turing reducibilities).

## Question

What if one admits only continuous (i.e. non-atomic) probability measures?.

## The Class NCR

Let  $NCR_n$  be the set of all reals which are not n-random with respect to any continuous measure.

## Question

What is the structure/size of NCR<sub>n</sub>?

- Is there a level of logical complexity that guarantees continuous randomness?
- Can we reproduce the proof that a non-computable real is random at a higher level?

### The Class NCR

## Upper bound

 $NCR_n$  is a  $\Pi_1^1$  set, i.e. its complement is the image of an effectively closed set under an effectively continuous transformation.

- NCR<sub>n</sub> does not have a perfect subset.
- Solovay, Mansfield: Every  $\Pi_1^1$  set of reals without a perfect subset must be contained in L.

## The Constructible Universe

### Definition

Gödel's hierarchy of constructible sets L is defined by the following recursion.

- $L_0 = \emptyset$
- $L_{\alpha+1} = \text{Def}(L_{\alpha})$ , the set of subsets of  $L_{\alpha}$  which are first order definable in parameters over  $L_{\alpha}$ .
- $L_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} L_{\alpha}$ .

## Randomness for Continuous Measures

One can analyze the proof of the previous theorem to obtain a more recursion theoretic characterization of continuous randomness.

#### Theorem

Let X be a sequence. For any  $Z \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the following are equivalent.

- Z is random for a continuous measure computable in Z.
- There exists a functional  $\Phi$  computable in Z which is an order-preserving homeomorphism of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\Phi(X)$  is  $\lambda$ -Z-random.
- X is truth-table equivalent (relative to Z) to a  $\lambda$ -Z-random real.

This is an effective version of the classical isomorphism theorem for continuous probability measures.

# The Structure of NCR<sub>1</sub>

A sequence X is hyperarithmetic if it is recursive in some  $\emptyset^{(\alpha)}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a recursive ordinal.

- Woodin: outside the hyperarithmetic sequences, the Posner-Robinson theorem holds for a truth-table reduction.
- Conclude that all elements of NCR<sub>1</sub> are hyperarithmetic. In other words, if X is not hyperarithmetic, it is random for some continuous measure.

# The Structure of NCR<sub>n</sub>

For larger n, we can still show:

Theorem [Reimann and Slaman]

For all n,  $NCR_n$  is countable.

# Examples of higher order

#### Theorem

Kleene's 0 is an element of NCR<sub>3</sub>.

Based on this, one can use the theory of jump operators (Jockusch and Shore) to obtain a whole class of examples.

#### Proof:

- Tree representation  $\mathcal{O} = \{e :$  the eth computable tree  $\mathsf{T}_e \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  is well-founded}.
- Suppose  $\circ$  is 3-random for some  $\mu$ .
- We want to use domination properties of random reals.

### The Class NCR

#### Examples of higher order

- Well-known (Kurtz and others): If X is n-random for  $\mu$ , n > 1, then every function  $f \leq_T X$  is dominated by a function computable in  $\mu'$ .
- Therefore,  $\mu'$  computes a uniform family  $\{g_e\}$  of functions dominating the leftmost infinite path of  $T_e$ .
- Infer: For every e, the following are equivalent.
  - (i) T<sub>e</sub> is well-founded.
  - (ii) The subtree of  $T_e$  to the left of  $g_e$  is finite.
- The latter condition is  $\Pi_1^0(\mu')$ , hence 0 is  $\Pi_2^0(\mu)$ .
- But this is impossible if 0 is 3-random for  $\mu$ .

# NCR<sub>n</sub> is Countable

Main Features of the Proof

- Produce an upper cone in the Turing degrees of reals that are random for a continuous measure.
  - Borel-Turing determinacy
- Generalize the Posner-Robinson-Theorem to cases of higher complexity.
  - Kumabe-Slaman forcing

# Borel Determinacy

Consider the following game: Let  $A \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

- Player I plays X(0).
- Player II plays X(1).
- I plays X(2).
- II plays *X*(3).

•

The outcome of the play a sequence  $X \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

- Player I wins if  $X \in A$ .
- Player II wins if  $X \notin A$ .

# Borel Determinacy

# Theorem (Martin)

If A is Borel, then one of the players has a winning strategy.

### An application is Borel Turing Determinacy:

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is Borel and invariant under Turing equivalence, then either  $\mathcal{A}$  or its complement contains an upper cone in the Turing degrees.

# An Upper Cone of Random Sequences

## An upper cone of continuously random sequences

- Show that the complement of NCR<sub>n</sub> contains a Turing invariant and cofinal (in the Turing degrees) Borel set.
- We can use the set of all X that are Turing equivalent to some  $Z \oplus R$ , where R is (n + 1)-random relative to a given Z.
- These X will be n-random relative to some continuous measure and are T-above Z.
- Use Borel Turing determinacy to infer that the complement of  $NCR_n$  contains a cone.
- The base of the cone is given by the Turing degree of a winning strategy in the corresponding game.

# Location inside the Constructible Hierarchy

- The direct nature of Martin's proof implies that the winning strategy for that game belongs to the smallest  $L_{\beta}$  such that  $L_{\beta}$  is a model of ZFC.
- The more complicated the game is in the Borel hierarchy, the more iterates of the power set of the continuum are used in producing the winning strategy trees, trees of trees, etc.
- More precisely, the winning strategy (for Borel complexity
  n) is contained in

$$L_{\beta_n} \models \mathsf{ZFC}_n^-$$

where  $\mathsf{ZFC}_n^-$  is Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory without the Power Set Axiom + "there exist n many iterates of the power set of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ".

# Relativization via Forcing

## Posner-Robinson-style relativization

• Given  $X \notin L_{\beta_n}$ , using forcing we construct a set G such that  $L_{\beta_n}[G] \models \mathsf{ZFC}_n^-$  and

$$Y \in L_{\beta_n}[G] \cap 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$
 implies  $Y \leq_T X \oplus G$ 

(independently by Woodin).

• If X is not in  $L_{\beta_n}$ , it will belong to every cone with base in the accordant  $L_{\beta_n}[G]$ , in particular, it will belong to the cone avoiding  $NCR_n$ .

# Metamathematics Necessary?

### Question

Do we really need the existence of iterates of the power set of the reals to prove the countability of  $NCR_n$ , a set of reals?

We make fundamental use of Borel determinacy; this suggests to analyze the metamathematics in this context.

# Borel Determinacy and Iterates of the Power Set

## Necessity of power sets – Friedman's result

Friedman showed

$$ZFC^{-} \nvdash \Sigma_{5}^{0}$$
-determinacy.

(Martin improved this to  $\Sigma_4^0$ .)

• The proof works by showing that there is a model of ZFC for which  $\Sigma_4^0$ -determinacy does not hold. This model is  $L_{\beta_0}$ .

Based on this, Friedman showed that in order to prove Borel determinacy, one has to assume the existence of infinitely many iterates of the power set.

We can proof a similar result concerning the countability of  $NCR_n$ .

### Theorem

For every k,

 $\mathsf{ZFC}_k^- \nvdash$  "For every n,  $\mathsf{NCR}_n$  is countable".

# $NCR_n$ is not countable in $L_{\beta_0}$

- Show that there is an n such that  $NCR_n$  is cofinal in the Turing degrees of  $L_{\beta_0}$ . (The approach does not change essentially for higher k.)
- The non-random witnesses will be the reals which code the full inductive constructions of the initial segments of  $L_{\beta_0}$ .

## Randomness does not accelerate defining reals

Suppose that  $n \geq 2$ ,  $Y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and X is n-random for  $\mu$ . Then, for i < n,

$$Y \leq_T X \oplus \mu$$
 and  $Y \leq_T \mu^{(i)}$  implies  $Y \leq_T \mu$ .

## Example

For all k,  $0^{(k)}$  is not 3-random for any  $\mu$ .

### Proof.

- Suppose  $0^{(k)}$  is 3-random relative to  $\mu$ .
- 0' is computably enumerable relative to  $\mu$  and computable in the supposedly 3-random  $0^{(k)}$ . Hence, 0' is computable in  $\mu$  and so 0" is computablely enumerable relative to  $\mu$ .
- Use induction to conclude  $0^{(k)}$  is computable in  $\mu$ , a contradiction.

As with arithmetic definability, for  $n \geq 5$ , n-random reals cannot accelerate the calculation of well-foundedness.

#### Lemma

Suppose that X is 5-random relative to  $\mu$ ,  $\prec$  is a linear ordering computable in  $\mu$ , and I is the largest initial segment of  $\prec$  which is well-founded. If I is computable in  $X \oplus \mu$ , then I is computable in  $\mu$ .

# $L_{\alpha}$ 's and their master codes

### Master codes

- $L_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta_0$ , is a countable structure obtained by iterating first order definability over smaller  $L_{\gamma}$ 's and taking unions.
- Jensen's master codes are sequences  $M_{\alpha} \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cap L_{\beta_0}$ , for  $\alpha < \beta_0$ , that represent these countable structures.
- $M_{\alpha}$  is obtained from smaller  $M_{\gamma}$ 's by iterating the Turing jump and taking arithmetically definable limits.
- Every  $X \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \cap L_{\beta_0}$  is computable in some  $M_{\alpha}$ .

## Master codes are not random

An inductive argument similar to the example  $0^{(k)} \in NCR_3$ , using the non-helpfulness lemmas, can be applied transfinitely to these master-codes.

#### Theorem

There is an n such that for all limit  $\alpha$ , if  $\alpha < \beta_0$ , then there is no continuous measure  $\mu$  such that  $M_{\alpha}$  is n-random relative to  $\mu$ .