

Lesson 2

Computability

Math 574 - Topics in Logic
Penn State, Spring 2014

Jan Reimann

2-5

The Halting Problem

Uncomputable functions

Q: Does there exist $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
which is not computable?

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which is not
decidable?

A: YES, by a counting argument

Observation: There are only countably many
— Turing machines.

TM: finite list of instructions

• each instruction is a 5-tuple

$(p, \underline{x}, y, \underline{d}, q)$

$\uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow$
 $Q \quad \in A \quad L, R \quad Q$

$\uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow$
finite

of TM's countable

VS

of functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
(as the # of sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$)

is uncountable

$$f(x) = 2x$$

$f(x)$ = the x -th digit of π

recursive functions

An undecidable set

- Numbering TM's: M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots
code instructions

$$\bar{I} = (p, x, y, \underline{d}, q)$$

as natural numbers

$$Q \subseteq \{0, \dots, m\} \quad x, y \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$d: L=0, R=1, S=2$$

$$\# \bar{I} = 3^{p+1} \cdot 5^{x+1} \cdot 7^{y+1} \cdot 11^{d+1} \cdot 13^{q+1}$$

$$\# \underline{I} = 3^{p+1} \cdot 5^{x+1} \cdot 7^{y+1} \cdot 11^{d+1} \cdot 13^{q+1}$$

By uniqueness of prime decomposition,
each I is assigned a unique code $\# \underline{I} \in \mathbb{N}$

TM: finite list of instructions

$$M = (\underline{I}_1, \dots, \underline{I}_k)$$

$$\# M = 2^{\# \underline{I}_1} \cdot 3^{\# \underline{I}_2} \cdots p_k^{\# \underline{I}_k}$$

\uparrow k -th prime

$$\#M = 2^{\#I_1} \cdot 3^{\#I_2} \cdots p_k^{\#I_k}$$

\uparrow Gödel number of M
 or index of M

\uparrow k -th prime

$$\# : TM \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

not onto
 but we can modify it to make
 it onto

The Universal TM

Gödel numbering (GN) of TMs
is effective.

$$\text{Let } \langle x, y \rangle = \frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2} + y$$

Bijection: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

pairing function

THM: There exists a TM U s.t. on input $\langle e, w \rangle$,

universal TM $\rightarrow U(\langle e, w \rangle) \approx M_e(w)$

\uparrow
 U halts on w

$\iff M_e$ halts on w .

Notation:

$M_e(w) \downarrow$

M_e halts on input w

$M_e(w) \uparrow$

M_e does not halt on w

and if it halts, outputs
the same number that
 M_e outputs

The Halting Problem

Q: Can we effectively decide whether
 $M_e(w) \downarrow$ or \uparrow ?

Does there ex. a TM M s.t.

$$M(\langle e, w \rangle) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M_e(w) \downarrow \\ 0 & \text{if } M_e(w) \uparrow \end{cases}$$

In other words, is the set

Halting
problem

$\longrightarrow K = \{ \langle e, w \rangle : M_e(w) \downarrow \}$
decidable?