# Math 557 Sep 19

# Henkin Theories

## **Key Concepts**

• An  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory T is a **Henkin theory** if for every sentence of the form  $\exists x \psi$  there exists a constant  $\mathcal{L}$ -term t such that

$$T \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \exists x \psi \rightarrow \psi_{t/x}$$

- Questions:
  - What are Henkin theories needed for?
  - Do they exist?
  - Can we extend a given consistent theory to a Henkin theory that is still consistent?

#### **Problems**

#### Exercise 0.1.

As before, let  $A_T$  be the term model of T. Pinpoint exactly where we might run into difficulties when we are trying to prove

$$\mathcal{A}_T \models \exists x \psi \iff T \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \exists x \psi$$

### Exercise 0.2 (Interlude).

What if in  $\exists x \psi$  the variable x is not free? Does that make a difference regarding being a Henkin theory?

#### Exercise 0.3.

Can you find examples of a Henkin theories (without needing to extend the lenaguage)?

The basic construction step for extending an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory T to a Henkin theory is for every sentence of the form

- add a new constant symbol  $c_{\sigma}$  to  $\mathcal{L}$
- add the formula  $\exists x\psi \rightarrow \psi_{c_{\pi}/x}$  to T.

#### Exercise 0.4.

Why could the addition of a constant theoretically lead to T being inconsistent, even though T itself remains unchanged?

And why does T actually remain consistent?

The Henkin extension  $T_H$  of T is obtained by an iterative process:

Single iteration step:

- $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c_{\sigma} \colon \sigma \mathcal{L}\text{-sentence of the form } \exists x \psi\}$
- $\Gamma = \{\exists x \psi(x) \to \psi_{c_{\sigma}/x} \colon \sigma \mathcal{L}\text{-sentence of the form } \exists x \psi\}$   $T' = T \cup \Gamma \text{ (an } \mathcal{L}'\text{-theory)}$

#### Exercise 0.5.

Why is T' above not necessarily a Henkin theory?

Iteration process:

• 
$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}, T_0 = T$$

$$\bullet \quad \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}'_n, \ T_{n+1} = T'_n$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}_n', \ T_{n+1} = T_n' \\ \bullet & \mathcal{L}_H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_n, \ T_H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n \end{array}$$

### Exercise 0.6.

Why is  $T_H$  a Henkin theory?

 $T_H$  is no longer a purely symbolic extension of T (in the sense that we simply extend the language), since we add new sentences to T (the sentences in  $\Gamma$  for each iteration step).

# ! Take-home Problem

Show that for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi$ ,

$$T_H \vdash_{\mathcal{L}_H} \varphi \iff T \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$$

(You can find some hints in the video lecture.)