Math 557 Sep 12

Consistency and Completeness

Key Concepts

• Consistency:

A theory T is **consistent** if there does not exist a formula ψ such that $T \vdash \psi$ and $T \vdash \neg \psi$.

• Completeness:

A theory T is **complete** if it is consistent and for every sentence σ , $T \vdash \sigma$ or $T \vdash \neg \sigma$.

- In a complete theory, every statement is determined, in the sense that is either true or false in the theory.
- An important example of a complete theory is the theory of a fixed structure \mathcal{M} ,

$$Th(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \sigma \colon \mathcal{M} \models \sigma \}$$

• If, on the other hand, we are given a complete theory T, does T have a model? This is the subject of the **Completeness Theorem**. It actually shows that any *consistent* theory has a model.

Problems

Exercise 0.1 (Carry-over from Sep 10).

Prove the Soundness Theorem, i.e. show that

$$T \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow T \models \varphi$$

Exercise 0.2.

Why is the theory of a structure, $Th(\mathcal{M})$, indeed a complete theory?

Exercise 0.3.

Show that a theory T is inconsistent iff for every formula ψ , $T \vdash \psi$

Exercise 0.4

For each of the following classes \mathbf{K} of structures, determine whether they are *axiomatizable*, i.e. whether there exists a theory T (in the language of the structures) such that

$$\mathbf{K} = \{\mathcal{M} \colon \mathcal{M} \models T\}$$

(In this case K is also called *elementary*.)

If K is axiomatizable, discuss whether it is actually *finitely axiomatizable* (i.e. can T be chosen finite). And is T complete?

- $\mathbf{K} = \text{Abelian groups}$
- $\mathbf{K} = \text{infinite sets}$
- $\mathbf{K} = \text{fields of characteristic } p \ (p \text{ prime})$
- $\mathbf{K} = \text{bipartite graphs}$
- $\mathbf{K} = \text{torsion groups } (all \ elements \ have \ finite \ order)$
- \mathbf{K} = algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0

(Note: We do not yet have the tools to rigorously answer all these questions, but try an "educated" guess.)

Exercise 0.5.

Suppose that $T \cup \{\neg \varphi\}$ is inconsistent. Show that $T \vdash \varphi$.

(This is a technical formulation of the legitimacy of proofs by contradiction.)