



Database Systems (CSF212) Lecture – 10



Query Language

Tuple Relational Calculus

- A nonprocedural query language, where each query is of the form
 - $\{t \mid P(t)\}$, where t is the set of all tuples for which predicate P is true
- t is a tuple variable, t[A] denotes the value of tuple t on attribute A
- $t \in r$ denotes that tuple t is in relation r
- P is a formula similar to that of the predicate calculus

Predicate Formula

- 1.Set of attributes and constants
- 2.Set of comparison operators: (e.g., <, \le , =, \neq , >, \ge)
- 3.Set of connectives: and ($^{\wedge}$), or (v), not ($^{\neg}$)
- 4.Implication (\Rightarrow): $x \Rightarrow y$, if x if true, then y is true

$$X \Rightarrow Y \equiv \neg X \lor Y$$

- 5. Set of quantifiers:
 - $\exists t \in r(Q(t)) \equiv$ "there exists" a tuple in t in relation r

such that predicate *Q(t)*

is true

Banking Example

- branch (branch-name, branch-city, assets)
- customer (customer-name, customerstreet, customer-city)
- account (account-number, branch-name, balance)
- loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount)
- depositor (customer-name, accountnumber)
- borrower (customer-name, loan-number)

loan (loan-number, branch-name,
amount)
Q: Find the loan-number, branch-name, and
amount for loans of over \$1200
R = {t | t ∈ loan ^ t [amount] > 1200}

Q. Find the loan number for each loan of an amount greater than \$1200

R= $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{loan} (t[loan-number}] = s[loan-number] \land s[loan-number]$

Q. Find the loan number and branch-name for each loan of an amount greater than \$1200

```
R= \{t \mid \exists s \in \text{loan} (t[loan-number}] = s[loan-number] ^ t[loan-number] ^ t[loan-number] ^ s[loan-number] ^ s[loan-number] > 1200)}
```

depositor (customer-name, account-number)

Q. Find the hames of all customers having a loan, and the hames of all customers having a loan,

```
\{t \mid \exists s \in borrower(\ t[customer-name] = s[customer-r] \}
\exists u \in depositor(\ t[customer-name] = u[customer-name] \}
```

Q. Find the names of all customers who have a loan and an account at the bank

loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount)

borrower (customer-name, loangumber) and customers having a loan at the "Perryridge" branch

```
R = \{t \mid \exists s \in borrower(t[customer-name] = s[customer-name] \land \exists u \in loan(u[branch-name] = "Perryridge" \ u[loan-number] = s[loan-number])\}
```

```
loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount)
depositor (customer-name, account-
number)
```

Dorrower (customer-name, loan-number)

Q. Find the names of all customers who have a loan at the Perryridge branch, but no account at any branch of the bank

```
R = \{t \mid \exists s \in borrower(t[customer-name] = s[customer-name] ^ <math>\exists u \in loan (u[branch-name] = "Perryridge"^ u[loan-number] = s[loan-number])) ^ not <math>\exists v \in depositor (v[customer-name] = t[customer-name]) \}
```

```
customer (customer-name, customer-street,
customer-city)
```

loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount)
borrower (customer-name, loan-number)

Q. Find the names of all customers having a loan from the Perryridge branch, and the cities they live in

```
branch (branch-name, branch-city, assets)
customer (customer-name, customer-street,
customer-city)
account (account-number, branch-name,
balance)
depositer (customer-name, branch-name,
account at all branches located in Brooklyn:
```

```
R = \{t \mid \exists c \in \text{customer} \ (t[\text{customer.name}] = c[\text{customer-name}]) \land \forall s \in branch(s[\text{branch-city}] = "Brooklyn" <math>\Rightarrow
\exists u \in account \ (s[\text{branch-name}] = u[\text{branch-name}] = u[\text{branch-name}] = v[\text{branch-name}] = v[\text
```

Safety of Expressions

- It is possible to write tuple calculus expressions that generate infinite relations
- dom(P): Cross product of the domains of all the relations used in formula P
- For example, $\{t \mid \neg t \in loan\}$ results in an infinite relation. dom(P) = $\{integer\ X\ string\ X\ float\}$, which is infinite
- Safe expression: An expression {t | P(t)} in the tuple relational calculus is safe if every component of t appears in one of the relations, tuples, or constants that appear in P

Domain Relational Calculus

- A nonprocedural query language equivalent in power to the tuple relational calculus
- Each query is an expression of the form:

$$\{ \langle X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \rangle \mid P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \}$$

- $-x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ represent domain variables
- P represents a formula similar to that of the predicate calculus

loan (loan-number, branch-name,
amount)

Oper (customer-name, loan-Find the loan-number, branch-name, and number) for loans of over \$1200

```
\{< I, b, a > | < I, b, a > \in loan \land a > 1200\}
```

Q. Find the names of all customers who have a loan of over \$1200

```
\{ \langle c \rangle \mid \exists l, b, a \ (\langle c, l \rangle \in borrower^{\land} \langle l, b, a \rangle \in loan^{\land} a > 1200 \}
```

```
loan (loan-number, branch-name,
amount)
```

borrower (customer-name, loan-

```
Q. Fint mean her of all customers who have a loan from
```

```
Perryridge branch and the loan amount: \{< c, a > | \exists l (< c, l > \in borrower^{} \exists b (< l, b, a > \in loan^{}
```

```
b = \text{``Perryridge''})}
or
 \{ < c, a > | \exists / (< c, l > \in borrower^* < l, \text{``Perryridge''}, a > \in loan) \}
```

loan (loan-number, branch-name, amount) borrower (customer-name, loannumber) depositor (customer-name, account-Q14778 The names of all customers having a lateroum to gaste of unt-huth betry that a retry ridge branch: balance) $\{<c>\mid\exists \mid (\{<c,l>\in borrower^\exists b,a(<l,$ $b, a > \in loan \land b = "Perryridge")) \lor \exists a < c,$ $a > \in depositor \land \exists b, n < a, b, n > \in$

account b = "Perryridge"))}

Safety of Expressions

IDK THIS

A DRC formula $\{ \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle \mid P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \}$ is safe if all of the following hold:

- -All values that appear in tuples of the expression are values from dom(P) (that is, the values appear either in P or in a tuple of a relation mentioned in P).
- -For every "there exists" subformula of the form $\exists x (P_1(x))$, the subformula is true if and only if there is a value of x in $dom(P_1)$ such that $P_1(x)$ is true.
- -For every "for all" subformula of the form $\forall_x (P_1(x))$, the subformula is true if and only if $P_1(x)$ is true for all values x from $dom(P_1)$.

