

Software Design Specification

UI/UX Automation Using LLM

Project Code:

Internal Advisor:

Dr. Fahad Maqbool

External Advisor:

Project Manager:

Dr. Muhammad Ilyas

Project Team:

Muhammad Dawood (BSCS51F21S089)

Muhammad Rashid (BSCS51F21S084)

Ghulam Rasool (BSCS51F21S080)

Submission Date:

01/02/2025

Project Manager's Signature

Document Information

Category	Information
Customer	UI/UX Designers, Design Teams, and Developers.
Project	UI/UX Automation Using LLM
Document	Software Design Specification
Document Version	1.0
Identifier	PGBH01-2003-DS
Status	Draft
Author(s)	Muhammad Dawood, Muhammad Rashid, Ghulam Rasool
Approver(s)	Dr. Muhammad Ilyas
Issue Date	November 26, 2024
Document Location	
Distribution	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Dr. Fahad Maqbool2. Dr. Muhammad Ilyas3. University of Sargodha

Definition of Terms, Acronyms and Abbreviations

Term	Description
LLM	Large Language Model, used for generating designs and contextual suggestions.
GraphRAG	Graph-based Retrieval-Augmented Generation for contextual responses.
OWL	Web Ontology Language for semantic consistency.
Neo4j	Graph database used for storing and querying UI/UX design knowledge.
UI	User Interface.
UX	User Experience.
Figma	Collaborative design tool for exporting high-fidelity designs.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
1.1 Purpose of Document4	
1.2 Project Overview	4
1.3 Scope	4
2. Design Considerations	4
2.1 Assumptions and Dependencies	4
2.2 Risks and Volatile Areas	5
3. System Architecture.....	5
3.1 System Level Architecture	5
3.2 Sub-System / Component / Module Level Architecture	6
3.3 Sub-Component / Sub-Module Level Architecture (1...n).....	6
4. Design Strategies	6
4.1 Strategy 1...n.....	6
5. Detailed System Design.....	6
6. References	7
7. Appendices.....	8

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Document

This document specifies the software design for the **UI/UX Automation Using LLM** project. It establishes a blueprint for the system's development, ensuring adherence to the defined requirements and delivering a scalable and efficient product. The audience includes stakeholders, system architects, developers, and QA teams.

1.2 Project Overview

The project leverages **Large Language Models (LLMs)** to automate UI/UX workflows, enabling seamless wireframe generation, validation, and export. Integration with Neo4j for knowledge graphs and OWL for ontologies ensures adherence to UI/UX standards.

Key Features:

- Automated wireframe generation from natural language descriptions.
- Context-aware design validation using OWL-defined principles.
- Export functionality to Figma for high-fidelity design outputs.
- AI-driven design suggestions based on industry trends.

1.3 Scope

In-Scope:

- Automating design workflows for UI/UX designers.
- Providing intuitive feedback for improvement.
- Knowledge graph management and updates for scalable usage.

Out-of-Scope:

- Prototypes with interactive elements.
- Domain-specific UI/UX needs outside the ontology's scope.

2. Design Considerations

2.1 Assumptions and Dependencies

Assumptions:

- Users are proficient in basic UI/UX principles and tools like Figma.
- Internet connectivity will be reliable for LLM and knowledge graph queries.
- APIs (OpenAI, Figma) remain stable and accessible throughout development.

Dependencies:

- **LLM APIs:** Dependence on services like OpenAI for processing natural language.
- **Neo4j Database:** Ensures efficient knowledge storage and querying.
- **Cloud Infrastructure:** Hosting for scalability and consistent performance.
- **Ontology Updates:** Regular maintenance required for industry-relevant suggestions.

2.2 Risks and Volatile Areas

- **Evolving Standards:** Changing UI/UX trends may necessitate updates to ontologies and suggestions.
- **API Downtime:** Dependence on third-party APIs may lead to disruptions.
- **Scalability Concerns:** High user demand could affect system performance if not optimized.
- **Data Security:** Protecting sensitive design data and adhering to regulations like GDPR is critical.

3. System Architecture**3.1 System Level Architecture**

The system is organized into three primary layers:

1. Presentation Layer:

- User-facing interface for accessing features.
- Includes functionalities like design input, wireframe generation, and validation feedback.

2. Business Logic Layer:

- Handles core workflows including GraphRAG-based design generation.
- Interacts with the ontology for design validation.

3. Data Layer:

- Manages Neo4j-based knowledge graphs and data persistence.

3.2 Sub-System / Component / Module Level Architecture

Wireframe Generation Subsystem:

- Converts textual design requirements into structured wireframes using LLM and GraphRAG.

Design Validation Subsystem:

- Validates wireframes against UI/UX principles.
- Suggests improvements for usability and alignment.

Feedback Subsystem:

- Provides actionable design insights based on contextual queries.

Export Subsystem:

- Enables seamless export of designs to Figma.

3.3 Sub-Component / Sub-Module Level Architecture (1...n)

- **Wireframe Generator:** Handles LLM queries and graph-based suggestions.
- **Validator:** Ensures compliance with UI/UX principles.
- **Knowledge Graph Manager:** Updates and retrieves data from Neo4j.
- **Exporter:** *Converts wireframes into Figma-compatible formats.*

4. Design Strategies

4.1 Strategy 1...n

- **Scalability:** Modular components for future enhancements.
- **Data Reuse:** Centralized knowledge base with reusable components.
- **Cross-Platform Accessibility:** Responsive design for web and mobile interfaces.
- **Performance Optimization:** Asynchronous processing for efficient LLM queries.
- **Security Measures:** *End-to-end encryption and role-based access.*

5. Detailed System Design

5.1 Class Diagram:

- **Classes:** User, Wireframe, Validator, KnowledgeGraph, Exporter.
- **Interactions:** User generates wireframe → Validator ensures compliance → Exporter formats output.

5.2 Sequence Diagram:

- Wireframe Creation Workflow.
- Export to Figma.

5.3 State Transition Diagram:

- Wireframe States: Input → Generated → Validated → Exported.

5.4 Logical Data Model (E/R Diagram):

- Entities: User, Wireframe, Ontology.
- Relationships: User generates wireframes; wireframes validated by ontology rules.

5.5 Physical Data Model:

- Tables for Users, Wireframes, Ontology Rules.

5.6 GUI Designs:

- Mockups for main screens including input forms, validation results, and export options.

6. References

Ref. No.	Document Title	Date of Release/ Publication	Document Source
RAG2023	GraphRAG Explained: Enhancing RAG with Knowledge Graphs	2023	Medium (https://medium.com)
OWL2004	OWL Reference	Feb 10, 2004	W3C (https://www.w3.org/TR/owl-ref/)
AIUX2023	Artificial Intelligence (AI) for User Experience (UX) Design	Aug 2023	Information Technology and People Journal

7. Appendices

Appendix

A: Glossary

Appendix B: Workflow Diagrams