```
// part1
// a)
local SumListS SumList Out1 Out2 in
  fun {SumList L} // Declarative recursive
    case L
      of nil then 0
      [] '|'(1:H 2:T) then (H + {SumList T})
    end
  end
  fun {SumListS L} // Stateful iterative
    local Helper C Out in
      newCell 0 C
      fun {Helper L C}
        case L
           of nil then @C
           [] '|'(1:H 2:T) then
           C := (@C+H)
           {Helper T C}
        end
      end
      Out = {Helper L C}
      Out
    end
  end
  Out1 = {SumList [1 2 3 4]}
  Out2 = {SumListS [1 2 3 4]}
  skip Browse Out1
  skip Browse Out2
end
```

```
local FoldLS FoldL Out1 Out2 in
  fun {FoldL F Z L}
                        // Declarative recursive
    case L
      of nil then Z
      [] '|'(1:H 2:T) then {FoldL F {F Z H} T}
    end
  end
  fun {FoldLS F Z L}
                        // Stateful iterative
    local Helper C Out in
      newCell Z C
      fun{Helper F C L}
         case L
           of nil then @C
           [] '|'(1:H 2:T) then
           C := \{F @C H\}
           {Helper F C T}
         end
      end
      Out = {Helper F C L}
      Out
    end
  end
  Out1 = \{FoldL fun \{ X Y \} (X+Y) end 3 [1 2 3 4] \}
  Out2 = {FoldLS fun {$ X Y} (X+Y) end 3 [1 2 3 4]}
  skip Browse Out1
  skip Browse Out2
end
```

```
// b)
// I see in the declarative version, function SumList and FoldL were called mutiple times before get the
output, and these functions changed
// everytime they were called.
// For the stateful version, function SumListS and FoldLS were called only once before get the output,
and these funtions stay the same
// everytime they were called.
//part2
fun {Generate}
  local C Gen in
    newCell 0 C
    Gen = fun \{\$\}
    @C
    end
    C:=(@C+1)
    Gen
  end
end
//part3
// a)
fun {NewQueue S}
  local Front Back Size Pu Po IsE Av in
    newCell 0 Size
    newCell nil Front
```

newCell nil Back

```
Pu = proc \{ \} N \}
  if (@Size == 0) then
    Front := (N | @Front)
    Back := (N | @Back)
    Size := (@Size + 1)
  else
    if {LT @Size S} then
      Back := (N | @Back)
      Size := (@Size + 1)
    else
      (H|T) = @Front
      (H1|T1) = @Back in
      Front := (H1|T)
      Back := (N|T1)
    end
  end
end
Po = fun {$}
  if {GT @Size 1} then
    (H|T) = @Front
    (H1|T1) = @Back in
    Front := (H1|T)
    Back := T1
    Size := (@Size - 1)
    Н
  else
    if (@Size == 1) then
      (H|T) = @Front in
      Size := (@Size -1)
```

```
Front := nil

Back := nil

H

end

end

end

ISE = fun {$}

(@Size == 0)

end

Av = fun {$}

(S-@Size)

end

ops(push:Pu pop:Po isEmpty:IsE avail:Av)

end

end
```

```
// test
  S = {NewQueue 2}
  ops (push:Pu pop:Po isEmpty:IsE avail:Av) = S
  B1 = \{IsE\}
  A1 = \{Av\}
  {Pu 1}
  {Pu 2}
  A2 = \{Av\}
  {Pu 3}
  B2 = \{IsE\}
  V1 = \{Po\}
  V2 = \{Po\}
  V3 = \{Po\}
  Out = [V1 V2 V3 B1 B2 A1 A2]
  skip Browse Out // Out: [ 2 3 Unbound true() false() 2 0 ]
// b)
// It is secure because all variables inside the data structure are declared locally, and not returned in the
output.
// and the client only can see the operators of the data structure, not the codes. So, they only can
operate the data structure
// but not change the code of the data structure.
// c)
// Compare both declarative ADT on page 431 and the secure ADT relating to memory usage, declarative
ADT uses
// (0.02 secs, 14,745,480 bytes) while secure ADT is using (0.02 secs, 16,393,656 bytes). Therefore,
secure ADT
// takes more memory usage then the declarative ADT does.
```