
Goals for today

- The little button that wouldn't :(
 - the volatile keyword
- Pointer operations => ARM addressing modes
- Implementation of C function calls
- Management of runtime stack, register use











button.c

The little button that wouldn't



Want cool diagrams like this? Check out <u>fritzing.org</u>



```
// This program waits until a button is pressed (GPIO 10)
// and turns on GPIO 20, then waits until the button is
//released and turns off GPIO 20
unsigned int * const FSEL1 = (unsigned int *)0x20200004;
unsigned int * const FSEL2 = (unsigned int *)0x20200008;
unsigned int * const SETO = (unsigned int *)0x2020001C;
unsigned int * const CLRO = (unsigned int *)0x20200028;
unsigned int * const LEVO = (unsigned int *)0x20200034;
void main(void)
    *FSEL1 = 0; // configure GPIO 10 as input
    *FSEL2 = 1; // configure GPIO 20 as output
    while (1) {
        // wait until GPIO 10 is low (button press)
       while ((*LEV0 & (1 << 10)) != 0);
        // set GPIO 20 high
        *SET0 = 1 << 20;
        // wait until GPIO 10 is high (button release)
        while ((*LEV0 & (1 << 10)) == 0);
        // clear GPIO 20
        *CLR0 = 1 << 20;
```

```
// This program waits until a button is pressed (GPIO 10)
// and turns on GPIO 20, then waits until the button is
//released and turns off GPIO 20
unsigned int * const FSEL1 = (unsigned int *)0x20200004;
unsigned int * const FSEL2 = (unsigned int *)0x20200008;
unsigned int * const SETO = (unsigned int *)0x2020001C;
unsigned int * const CLRO = (unsigned int *)0x20200028;
unsigned int * const LEV0 = (unsigned int *)0x20200034;
void main(void)
    *FSEL1 = 0; // configure GPIO 10 as input
    *FSEL2 = 1; // configure GPIO 20 as output
    while (1) {
        // wait until GPIO 10 is low (button press)
        while ((*LEV0 & (1 << 10)) != 0);
        // set GPIO 20 high
        *SET0 = 1 << 20;
        // wait until GPIO 10 is high (button release)
        while ((*LEV0 & (1 << 10)) == 0);
        // clear GPIO 20
        *CLR0 = 1 << 20;
```

Compiling with -02:

```
Disassembly of section .text.startup:
00000000 <main>:
  0:
         ldr r3, [pc, #28] ; 24 <main+0x24>
         ldr r0, [r3, #52]; 0x34
   4:
        mov r1, #0
   8:
        mov r2, #1
        tst r0, #1024; 0x400
 10:
                 r3, {r1, r2}
 14:
         stmib
        bne 20 <main+0x20>
 18:
             1c <main+0x1c>
 1c:
         b
             20 <main+0x20>
 20:
         b
 24:
                  0x20200000
         .word
```

```
// This program waits until a button is pressed (GPIO 10)
// and turns on GPIO 20.
static unsigned int *FSEL1 = (unsigned int *)0x20200004;
static unsigned int *FSEL2 = (unsigned int *)0x20200008;
static unsigned int *SETO = (unsigned int *)0x2020001c;
static unsigned int *LEV0 = (unsigned int *)0x20200034;
void main(void)
    unsigned int bit 10 = 1 \ll 10;
    unsigned int bit 20 = 1 \ll 20;
    *FSEL1 = 0; // bit 10 is input pin
    *FSEL2 = 1; // bit 20 is output pin
     // Wait until GPIO 10 is low (button press)
     while ((*LEVO & bit_10) != 0);
     // Set GPIO 20 high (LED on)
     *SET0 = bit 20;
```

Compiling with -02:

```
Disas sembly of section .text.startup:
00000000 <main>:
         e59f3020 ldr r3, [pc, #32] ; 28 <main+0x28>
   0:
         e5930034 ldr r0, [r3, #52]; 0x34
   4:
         e3a02001 mov r2, #1
   8:
         e3100b01 tst r0, #1024; 0x400
   C:
         e3a01000 mov r1, #0
  10:
         e9830006 stmib r3, {r1, r2}
  14:
         03a02601 moveq
                            r2, #1048576
  18:
                                          ; 0x100000
  1c:
         0583201c streq
                            r2, [r3, #28]
         012fffle bxeq lr
  20:
  24:
         eaffffe b
                       24 < main + 0x24 >
  28:
         20200000 .word
                            0x20200000
```

```
// This program waits until a button is pressed (GPIO 10)
// and turns on GPIO 20.
static unsigned int *FSEL1 =
                            (unsigned int *)0x20200004;
static unsigned int *FSEL2 = (unsigned int *)0x20200008;
static unsigned int *SET0 = (unsigned int *)0x2020001c;
static unsigned int *LEV0 =
                              (unsigned int *)0x20200034;
void main(void)
    unsigned int bit 10 = 1 << 10;
    unsigned int bit 20 = 1 \ll 20;
    *FSEL1 = 0; // bit 10 is input pin
    *FSEL2 = 1; // bit 20 is output pin
     // Wait until GPIO 10 is low (button press)
     while ((*LEV0 & bit_10) != 0);
     // Set GPIO 20 high (LED on)
     *SET0 = bit 20;
```

Compiling with -02:

What happened to the loop? Why are things out of order?

```
Disas sembly of section .text.startup:
00000000 <main>:
         e59f3020 ldr r3, [pc, #32] ; 28 <main+0x28>
   0:
         e5930034 ldr r0, [r3, #52]; 0x34
   4:
   8:
         e3a02001 mov r2, #1
         e3100b01 tst r0, #1024; 0x400
   C:
         e3a01000 mov r1, #0
  10:
                            r3, {r1, r2}
  14:
         e9830006 stmib
         03a02601 moveq
                            r2, #1048576
  18:
                                           ; 0x100000
         0583201c streq
  1c:
                            r2, [r3, #28]
  20:
         012fffle bxeq lr
  24:
         eaffffe b
                        24 < main + 0x24 >
  28:
         20200000 .word
                            0x20200000
```

Peripheral Registers

These registers are mapped into the address space of the processor (memory-mapped IO).

These registers may behave differently than memory.

For example: Writing a I into a bit in a SET register causes I to be output; writing a 0 into a bit in SET register does not affect the output value. Writing a I to the CLR register, sets the output to 0; write a 0 to a clear register has no effect. Neither SET or CLR can be read. To read the current value use the LEV (level) register.

volatile

For an ordinary variable, the compiler can use its knowledge of when it is read/written to optimize accesses as long as it keeps the same externally visible behavior.

However, for a variable that can be read/written externally (by another process, by peripheral), these optimizations will not be valid.

The **volatile** qualifier applied to a variable informs the compiler that it cannot remove, coalesce, cache, or reorder references. The generated assembly must faithfully execute each access to the variable as given in the C code.

Because we have GPIO pins on the Raspberry Pi, we need to give hints to the C compiler to not optimize out pin reads — they can change externally to the program!

So, we use the volatile keyword in front of hardware addresses to do this:

```
volatile unsigned int * const FSEL1 = (unsigned int *)0x20200004;
volatile unsigned int * const FSEL2 = (unsigned int *)0x20200008;
volatile unsigned int * const SET0 = (unsigned int *)0x2020001C;
volatile unsigned int * const CLR0 = (unsigned int *)0x20200028;
volatile unsigned int * const LEV0 = (unsigned int *)0x20200034;
```

There are other times to use volatile, too — delays have a similar problem:

```
#define DELAY 500000000
int main()
{
    for (int i=0; i < DELAY; i++);
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
$ objdump -d testLoop.o

testLoop.o: file format elf32-littlearm

Disassembly of section .text.startup:

00000000 <main>:
    0: e3a00000 movr0, #0
    4: e12fffle bx lr
```

There are other times to use volatile, too — delays have a similar problem:

```
#define DELAY 500000000
int main()
{
    for (int i=0; i < DELAY; i++);
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
$ objdump -d testLoop.o

testLoop.o: file format elf32-littlearm

Disassembly of section .text.startup:

00000000 <main>:
    0: e3a00000 movr0, #0
    4: e12fffle bx lr
```

No loop — it has been optimized out!

There are other times to use volatile, too — delays have a similar problem:

```
#define DELAY 500000000
int main()
{
    for (volatile int i=0; i < DELAY; i++);
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

```
Disassembly of section .text.startup:
00000000 <main>:
   0: e24dd008
                       sp, sp, #8
                 sub
   4: e3a03000
                 mov r3, #0
   8: e58d3004
                 str r3, [sp, #4]
  c: e59d3004
                      r3, [sp, #4]
  10: e59f2028
                      r2, [pc, #40]
                                         ; 40 < main + 0x40 >
                 ldr
  14: e1530002
                      r3, r2
                 cmp
  18: ca000005
                 bqt 34 < main + 0x34 >
  1c: e59d3004
                 ldr r3, [sp, #4]
  20: e2833001
                 add r3, r3, #1
  24: e58d3004
                 str r3, [sp, #4]
  28: e59d3004
                      r3, [sp, #4]
                 ldr
  2c: e1530002
                      r3, r2
                 cmp
  30: dafffff9
                      1c <main+0x1c>
  34: e3a00000
                       r0, #0
                 mov
  38: e28dd008
                 add
                       sp, sp, #8
  3c: e12fff1e
                       lr
  40: 1dcd64ff
                  .word 0x1dcd64ff
```

The loop remains when we use volatile.

What is 'bare metal'?

The default build process for C assumes a hosted environment. It provides standard libraries, all the stuff that happens before main.

To build bare-metal, our makefile disables these defaults; we must supply our own versions when needed.

```
int getRandomNumber()
{
    return 4; // chosen by fair dice roll.
    // guaranteed to be random.
}
```

Makefile settings

Compile freestanding

CFLAGS =-ffreestanding

Link without standard libs and start files

LDFLAGS = -nostdlib

Link with gcc to support division (violates

LDLIBS = -lgcc

Must supply own replacement for libs/start


That's where the fun is...!

Pointers: more gain than pain!

"The fault, dear Brutus, is not in our stars But in ourselves, that we are underlings." Julius Caesar (I, ii, 140-141)

Refer to data by address or relative position is very useful!

- Sharing instead of copying
- Access to fields of a struct
- Array elements accessed by index
- Construct linked structures (lists, trees, graphs)



```
loop:
ldr r0, SET0
str r1, [r0]
```

```
mov r2, #DELAY
wait1:
subs r2, #1
bne wait1
```

ldr r0, CLR0
str r1, [r0]

```
mov r2, #DELAY
wait2:
subs r2, #1
bne wait2
```

Sure seems same code, would be nice to unify...

```
loop:
    ldr r0, SET0
    str r1, [r0]

b delay
```

ldr r0, CLR0 str r1, [r0]

b delay

b loop

```
delay:
   mov r2, #DELAY
   wait:
      subs r2, #1
      bne wait

// but... where to go now?
```

loop: ldr r0, SET0 str r1, [r0]

mov r14, pc b delay

ldr r0, CLR0
str r1, [r0]

mov r14, pc b delay

b loop

ARM quirk: when executing instruction at address N, pc is tracking N+8 due to pipelining fetch-decode-execute

```
delay:

mov r2, #DELAY

wait:

subs r2, #1

bne wait

mov pc, r14
```

We've just invented our own link register!

```
loop:
   ldr r0, SET0
   str r1, [r0]
   mov r0, #DELAY
   mov r14, pc
   b delay
   ldr r0, CLR0
   str r1, [r0]
```

```
mov r0, #DELAY >> 2
mov r14, pc
b delay
```

```
b loop
```

```
delay:
wait:
    subs r0, #1
    bne wait
    mov pc, r14
```

We've just invented our own parameter passing!

Anatomy of C function call

```
int factorial(int n)
  int result = 1;
  for (int i = n; i > 1; i--)
     result *= i;
                             Call and return
  return result;
                             Pass arguments
                             Local variables
                             Return value
                             Scratch/work space
```

Complication: nested function calls, recursion

Application binary interface

ABI specifies how code interoperates:

- Mechanism for call/return
- How parameters passed
- How return value communicated
- Use of registers (ownership/preservation)
- Stack management (up/down, alignment)

arm-none-eabi is ARM embedded ABI ("none" refers to no hosting OS)

Mechanics of call/return

Caller puts up to 4 arguments in r0, r1, r2, r3 Call instruction is b1 (branch and link)

```
mov r0, #100
mov r1, #7
bl sum // will set lr = pc-4
```

Callee puts return value in r0
Return instruction is bx (branch exchange)

```
add r0, r0, r1
bx lr // pc = lr
```

btw: 1r is alias for r14, pc is alias for r15

Caller and Callee

caller: function doing the calling

callee: function being called

main is <u>caller</u> of range range is <u>callee</u> of main range is <u>caller</u> of abs

```
void main(void) {
   range(13, 99);
}
int range(int a, int b) {
   return abs(a-b);
}
int abs(int v) {
   return v < 0 ? -v : v;
}</pre>
```

Register Ownership

r0-r3 are callee-owned registers

- Callee can freely use/modify these registers
- Caller cedes to callee, has no expectation of register contents after call

r4-r13 are caller-owned registers

- Caller retains ownership, expects register contents to be same after call as it was before call
- Callee cannot use/modify these registers unless takes steps to preserve/restore values

Discuss

- 1. If the callee needs scratch space for an intermediate value, which type of register should it choose?
- 2. What must a callee do when it wants to use a caller-owed register?
- 3. What is the advantage in having some registers callee-owned and others callerowned? Why not treat all same?
- 4. How can we implement nested calls when we only have a single shared Ir register?

The stack to the rescue!

Reserve section of memory to store data for executing functions

Stack frame allocated per function invocation Can store local variables, scratch values, saved registers


- LIFO: push adds value on top of stack, pop removes lastmost value
- r13 (alias sp) points to lastmost value pushed
- stack grows down
 - newer values at lower addresses
 - push subtracts from sp
 - pop adds to sp
- push/pop aliases for load/store multiple with writeback

```
// start.s
mov sp, #0x8000000
                                                    gpio
                                                            0x20200000
bl main
void main(void)
                                                            00000000
                                           sp →
                                                     main
                                           sp →
    delta(3, 7);
                                                    delta
                                          spp \rightarrow 
 int delta(int a, int b)
                                                     sqr
                                           sp →
   int diff = sqr(a) - sqr(b);
   return diff;
                                           pc
 int sqr(int v)
                                                     code
                                           pc
pc
                                                            0x8000
   return v * v;
                            Diagram not to scale
                                                            0x0
```

Stack operations

```
// push to saved reg val on stack
// *--sp = r0
// decrement sp before store
// equivalent: str r0, [sp, #-4]!
push {r0}
// pop to restore reg val from stack
// r0 = *sp++
// increment sp after load
// equivalent: ldr r0, [sp], #4
pop {r0}
```

"Full Descending" stack



ARM ABI requires sp 8-byte aligned, always push/pop 2, 4, 6,... (e.g. even) number of registers

Gdb debugger

Debugger is incredibly useful

Allows you to run your program in a monitored context Can set breakpoints, examine state, change values, reroute control, and more

Running bare metal, we have no on-Pi debugger 😥



But, gdb has simulation mode where it pretends to be an ARM processor, running on your laptop 🙌

Pretty good approximation (not perfect, e.g. no peripherals)

Let's try it now!

Run under debugger and observe stack in action


```
$ arm-none-eabi-gdb program.elf
(gdb) target sim
(gdb) load
```

Read our guide to gdb simulation http://cs107e.github.io/guides/gdb/

sp in constant motion

Could access values on stack using sp-relative addressing, but

sp is constantly changing!



Add frame pointer

Dedicate fp register to be used as fixed anchor

Assign on entry to new function to point to top of stack frame

fp doesn't change, can access data at fixed offset relative to fp

caller's frame locals/ scratch sp

APCS "full frame"

APCS = ARM Procedure Call Standard

Conventions for frame pointer and frame layout

Enable reliable stack introspection

CFLAGS to enable: -mapcs-frame

r11 used as fp

Adds a prolog/epilog to each function that sets up/tears down the standard frame and manages fp

Trace APCS full frame

Prolog

push fp, sp*, lr, pc set fp to first word of stack frame

Body

fp stays anchored access data on stack fp-relative offsets won't vary even if sp changing

Epilog

pop fp, sp*, lr, pc*

frame

locals/ scratch/call other fns

caller's

pc

1r


sp

fp

* I am fudging a bit about use of push and pop The sp register cannot be directly pushed/popped, instead moved through r12 **pc** cannot be popped at end, is manually removed from stack

Frame pointers form linked chain

Can start at currently executing call (sqr) and back up to caller (delta), from there to its caller (main), who ends the chain



```
// start.s

// add init fp = NULL
// to terminate end of chain

mov sp, #0x8000000
mov fp, #0
bl main
```

APCS Pros/Cons

- + Anchored fp, offsets are constant
- + Standard frame layout enables runtime introspection
- + Backtrace for debugging
- + Unwind stack on exception

- High overhead cost, every function call affected
- Extra ~5 instructions to setup/tear down frame each call
- 4 registers push/pop => extra 16 bytes per frame
- fp monopolizes use of one of our precious registers