# Principles of Mathematical Analysis Notes

Tommy O'Shaughnnesy

July 17, 2017

## 1 Chapter 1 Exercises

### 1.1

*Proof.* To prove (a) by contradiction, let  $r+x=\frac{p}{q}$  for some  $p,q\in\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $x=\frac{p-rq}{q}$  which contradicts  $x\in\mathbb{I}$ . Thus,  $r+x\in\mathbb{I}$ . Similarly, to prove (b) by contradiction, let  $rx=\frac{p}{q}$ . It follows that  $x=\frac{p}{qr}$  which contradicts  $x\in\mathbb{I}$ . Thus,  $rx\notin\mathbb{Q}$ .

### 1.2

*Proof.* To prove this by contradiction, assume  $\frac{p^2}{q^2} = 12$ . It follows that

$$p^2 = 12q^2 = 2^2 \cdot 3^1 \cdot q^2.$$

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic,  $p^2$  must factor into a product of primes of even multiplicity. By the same argument,  $q^2$  must factor into a product of an even multiplicity of 3, contradicting the unique factorization of p. Therefore, the assumption is false and  $\sqrt{12} \in \mathbb{I}$ .

## 1.3

*Proof.* To prove (a), by (M5)

$$\frac{1}{x}xy = \frac{1}{x}xz = y = z.$$

*Proof.* To prove (b), by (M4)

$$x1 = x = xy$$
.

By (a), 
$$1 = y$$
.

Proof. To prove (c), by (M5)

$$x\frac{1}{x} = 1 = xy.$$

By (a), 
$$y = \frac{1}{x}$$
.

*Proof.* To prove (d), by (M5)

$$\frac{1}{x}1/(1/x) = 1 = \frac{1}{x}x.$$

By (a), 
$$1/(1/x) = x$$
.

### 1.4

*Proof.* By the definition of lower bound,  $\alpha \leq x$  for every  $x \in E$ . By the definition of upper bound,  $x \leq \beta$ . Combining inequalities,  $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$ , which implies  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

### 1.5

*Proof.* Since A is bounded below, let  $\alpha = \inf A$ . By the definition of greatest lower bound,  $x \geq \alpha$  for all  $x \in A$ . It follows that  $-x \leq -\alpha$  for all  $-x \in A$ . Let -x = y for some  $y \in -A$ . Therefore,  $y \leq -\inf A = \sup -A$ , for all  $y \in -A$ . It follows that

$$(-1) - \inf A = (-1) \sup -A = \inf A = - \sup -A.$$

## 2 Addition in the Real Number Field

Proof. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be cuts, such that  $\alpha \subset \beta$ . Let  $r \in \alpha$  and  $s \in \beta$ . The cut defined by  $\alpha + \beta$  is thus the set of all r + s. Since  $\alpha \in \beta$ , by (II),  $r - s \in \alpha$ . Since r = r - s + s, we can say  $(r - s) + (s) \in \alpha + \beta$  and therefore  $r \in \alpha + \beta$ .

Proof. To verify that  $\alpha + \beta$  satisfies (II), for some  $r' \in \alpha$  such that r < r' and  $s' \in \beta$  such that s < s'. It follows that  $r + s < r' + s' \in \alpha + \beta$ .