Example M5: Testing and Code Review

1. Change History

Change Date Modified Sections Rationale

Nothing to show

2. Back-end Test Specification: APIs

2.1 Test Locations

Interface	Describe Group Location, No Mocks	Describe Group Location, With Mocks	Mocked Components
POST /users/register	backend/tests/userNM.test.js#L35	backend/tests/mocked/userM.test.js#L65	Database (MySQL)
GET /users/:id	backend/tests/userNM.test.js#L80	backend/tests/mocked/userM.test.js#L100	Database (MySQL)
PUT /users/:id	backend/tests/userNM.test.js#L120	backend/tests/mocked/userM.test.js#L150	Database (MySQL)
DELETE /users/:id	backend/tests/userNM.test.js#L160	backend/tests/mocked/userM.test.js#L200	Database (MySQL)
GET /recommendations/:userId	backend/tests/recommendationNM.test.js#L35	backend/tests/recommendationM.test.js#L65	User Service (API), Listings Service (API)
POST /price-suggestions	backend/tests/recommendationNM.test.js#L90	backend/tests/recommendationM.test.js#L130	eBay API (SerpAPI)
GET /price- comparison/:itemId	backend/tests/recommendationNM.test.js#L150	backend/tests/recommendationM.test.js#L200	Listings Service (API), eBay API (SerpAPI)
POST /chat/start	<pre>backend/chat- service/test/chat.mock.test.ts#L13</pre>	backend/chat-service/test/chat.test.ts#L17	Database (MySQL)
GET /chat/:chatld	<pre>backend/chat- service/test/chat.mock.test.ts#L47</pre>	backend/chat-service/test/chat.test.ts#L46	Database (MySQL)
GET /chat/user/:userId	<pre>backend/chat- service/test/chat.mock.test.ts#L86</pre>	backend/chat-service/test/chat.test.ts#L73	Database (MySQL)
POST /chat/:chatId/message	<pre>backend/chat- service/test/chat.mock.test.ts#L114</pre>	backend/chat-service/test/chat.test.ts#L88	Database (MySQL)
POST /listings	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.test.ts#L48	<pre>backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.mock.test.ts#L37</pre>	Database (MySQL)
GET /listings	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.test.ts#L84	<pre>backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.mock.test.ts#L74</pre>	Database (MySQL)
GET /listings/:id	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.test.ts#L105	<pre>backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.mock.test.ts#L74</pre>	Database (MySQL)
PUT /listings/:id	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.test.ts#L131-L182	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.mock.test.ts#L144	Database (MySQL)
DELETE /listings/:id	backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.test.ts#L193	<pre>backend/marketplace- service/test/listings.mock.test.ts#L202</pre>	Database (MySQL)

2.2 Test Results

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Line	#s		ļ		ļ	!	
All files	90.9	67.34		90.15			File	j	% Branch 	% Funcs 	% Lines 	Uncovered Line #s
index.js	90.9	67.34 	94.11 - -	90.15	39,149-150,2	07-208,229-230,248-249, 	All files userRoutes.js	91.48 91.48	70 70	100 100	91.48 91.48	
Test Suites: 2 passed, 2 total Tests: 16 passed, 16 total Snapshots: 0 total Time: 1.825 s, estimated 2 s							Snapshots: 0					
File	- 	% Stmts	- % Branc	 h % Fui	 ncs % Line	 s Uncovered Line	 #s					
All files chatRoute	es.ts	100 100	10 10		100 10 100 10 100 10							
Test Suites: 2 passed, 2 total Tests: 13 passed, 13 total Snapshots: 0 total Time: 3.37 s												
 File	 %	Stmts	% Branch	 % Func:	 s % Lines	 Uncovered Line #s	 S					
All files listings.	ts	95.86 95.86	79.16 79.16	96.1! 96.1!			 					
Test Suite Tests: Snapshots: Time:	Gnapshots: 0 total											

Some of the lines are not covered since they are server or database validation check, which cannot be caught by test suites.

3. Back-end Test Specification: Tests of Non-Functional Requirements

Non-Functional Requirement	Location in Git		
User Service Response Time	tests/performance.test.js		
Recommendation Enginep Response Time	test/recommendation-engine-performance.test.is		

Performance testing evaluated the user service's response times and scalability across multiple scenarios. Tests included single requests (GET/POST), concurrent handling (10 simultaneous requests), sustained load (50 sequential requests), and rapid sequential access (20 quick requests). Individual requests occasionally exceeded the 50ms threshold (GET: 62ms, POST: 58ms), while the service maintained excellent performance under load with 5-6ms average response times. The system processed concurrent requests efficiently and demonstrated consistent performance during the 50-request load test, with maximum response time of 9ms. These metrics indicate a well-architected service suitable for scaling in production environments. Testing utilized a mock database implementation to isolate application logic performance.

The performance tests evaluated two aspects of the recommendation service: single request response times and concurrent load handling. Single requests performed well (under 200ms), but the service struggled under load with 50 concurrent requests, showing higher average response times (recommendations: 2019ms, price suggestions: 467ms, price comparison: 666ms) than the target of 300ms. This indicates the service works well for individual users but may need optimization for high-traffic scenarios.

4. Front-end Test Specification

4.1. The location of your front-end test suite

The front-end Espresso test suite files are located at:

- frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/ChatActivityTest.kt
- $\bullet \ \, frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/ItemActivityTest.kt \\$
- frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/MainScreenTest.kt
- $\bullet \ \ frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/PostActivityTest.kt$
- $\bullet \ \, frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/ProfileActivityTest.kt$

4.2. Tests


Use Case: View Product Details

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps			
1. User opens product detail screen	Launch ItemActivity with product details intent			
2. Product title, description, price, and image are displayed	Check title, description, price, image visibility			

Test Logs:

• shouldDisplayProductDetails()




Use Case: Delete Product

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps		
1. User clicks delete button	Simulate click on delete button		
2. If not seller, system denies deletion	Check for content description message "You do not have permission to delete"		
3. If seller, product is deleted, activity closes	Check activity state is DESTROYED after deletion		

Test Logs:

- shouldShowPermissionDeniedWhenNotSeller()
- shouldCloseActivityWhenDeleteSuccess()




Use Case: Initiate Chat from Product

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps		
1. Logged-in user clicks "I Want It"	Simulate logged-in state and click "I Want It" button		
2. ChatActivity is launched	Verify ChatActivity intent triggered		
3a. Not logged-in user clicks "I Want It"	Simulate not logged-in state and click "I Want It" button		
3a1. System shows toast message	Check for toast message "You haven't logged in yet"		

Test Logs:

- shouldNavigateToChatWhenLoggedIn()
- shouldShowToastWhenNotLoggedIn()




Use Case: Home Screen Navigation

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps		
1. App loads main screen	Launch MainActivity		
2. Loading indicator is displayed	Check loading indicator visibility		
3. Bottom navigation bar buttons are displayed	Check buttons: Home, Categories, Messages, User		
4. User clicks pagination buttons	Click "Next Page" and "Last Page" buttons		

Test Logs:

- testLoadingState()
- testBottomNavigationBarDisplay()
- testProductPaginationButtonDisplay()
- testNextPage()
- testLastPage()




Use Case: Post New Product

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps		
1. User opens post activity	Launch PostActivity		
2. All fields and buttons displayed	Check presence of title, description, price, location options, post button, etc.		
3. User leaves fields empty and tries posting	Click post button, check error messages		
4. User progressively fills fields, system validates each	Enter title, description, price one by one, check corresponding validations		

Test Logs:

- testActivityLaunch()
- testFormValidation()




Use Case: View Profile

Expected Behaviors:

Scenario Steps	Test Case Steps		
1. User opens profile screen	Launch ProfileActivity		
2. Profile screen loads successfully	Verify ProfileActivity launched correctly		

Test Logs:

testActivityLaunch()



4.3. Espresso Execution Logs

The automated test execution logs:

```
Section of Free Dearmon, 2 incompatible Dearmon could not be reused, use --status for details

Scarling a Gradic Dearmon, 2 incompatible Dearmon could not be reused, use --status for details

Scarling a Gradic Dearmon, 2 incompatible Dearmon could not be reused, use --status for details

Scarling a Gradic Dearmon, 2 incompatible Dearmon, 2
```

```
d/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/PostActivity.kt:378:22 '@Deprecated(...) @Mullable() fun getFromLocation(p8: Double, p1: Double, p2: Int): (Mu
 file:///c:/UBC/CPEN321/ReX/frontend/HelloFiqma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofiqma/ProfileActivity.kt:59:8 '@Deprecated(...) @SafeParcelable.Class(...) class GoogleSiqnInOptions : AbstractSafeF
 celable, Api.ApiOptions.Optional, ReflectedParcelable' is deprecated. Deprecated in Java.
                                          I/HelloFiqma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofiqma/ProfileActivity.kt:96:13 '@Deprecated(...) @SafeParcelable.Class(...) class GoogleSiqnInOptions : AbstractSafe
                                                                        java/com/example/hellofigma/ProfileActivity.kt:205:45 '@property:Deprecated(...) val Icons.Filled.ExitToApp: ImageVector' is deprecated. U
 arcelable, Api.ApiOptions.Optional, ReflectedParcelable' is deprecated. Deprecated in Java
 file:///C:/UBC/CPEN321/Rex/frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/StorageActivity.kt:144:38 '@Deprecated(...) @Nullable() fun getFromLocation(p0: Double, p1: Double, p2: Int): utableList<Address!>?..List<Address!>?). is deprecated. Deprecated in Java.
  Use the AutoMirrored version at Icons.AutoMirrored.Filled.ArrowBack
                                          d/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/message/ChatActivity.kt:218:57 '@property:Deprecated(...) val Icons.Filled.Send: ImageVector' is deprecated. l
  the AutoMirrored version at Icons.AutoMirrored.Filled.Send.
ated. Use the AutoMirrored version at Icons.AutoMirrored.Filled.ArrowBack.
                                          d/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/viewmodel/ProfileViewModel.kt:10:8 '@Deprecated(...) @SafeParcelable.Class(...) class GoogleSignInAccount : Al
 tractSafeParcelable. ReflectedParcelable' is deprecated. Deprecated in Java
Task :app:kaptGenerateStubsDebugAndroidTestKotlin
  Kapt currently doesn't support language version 2.0+. Falling back to 1.9
 : file:///c:/UBC/CPEM321/Rex/frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/ProfileActivityTest.kt:8:8 '@Deprecated(...) @SafeParcelable.Class(...) class GoogleSignInOptions : AbspaceLable, Api.ApiOptions.Optional, ReflectedParcelable' is deprecated. Deprecated in Java.
                                           l/HelloFigma/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/hellofigma/ProfileActivityTest.kt:31:41 '@Deprecated(...) @SafeParcelable.Class(...) class GoogleSignInOptions : /
 Task :app:connectedDebugAndroidTest
tarting 15 tests on Medium_Phone_API_31(AVD) - 12
Medium_Phone_API_31(AVO) - 12 Tests 1/15 completed. (0 skipped) (0 failed)
Medium_Phone_API_31(AVO) - 12 Tests 4/15 completed. (0 skipped) (0 failed)
Medium_Phone_API_31(AVO) - 12 Tests 9/15 completed. (0 skipped) (0 failed)
Medium_Phone_API_31(AVO) - 12 Tests 13/15 completed. (0 skipped) (0 failed)
inished 15 tests on Medium_Phone_API_31(AVD) - 12
ou can use '--warning-mode all' to show the individual deprecation warnings and determine if they come from your own scripts or plugins
   more on this, please refer to https://docs.gradle.org/8.9/userguide/command_line_interface.html#sec:command_line_warnings in the Gradle documentation
4 actionable tasks: 26 executed, 58 up-to-date
S C:\UBC\CPEN321\ReX\frontend\HelloFigma>
```

5. Automated Code Review Results

5.1. Commit Hash Where Codacy Ran

84ad512ad0aa18d8728b10a310c2102c5dd9b6e4

5.2. Unfixed Issues per Codacy Category



5.3. Unfixed Issues per Codacy Code Pattern

All issues 8

Code patterns
One method should have one respons... 6
Others 2

5.4. Justifications for Unfixed Issues

Code Pattern: Method too long / Function too long

- 1. The function onCreate is too long (161). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/PostActivity.kt
 - Justification: The onCreate function in PostActivity is responsible for setting up the entire activity UI components, permission handling, click
 listeners, and initial data bindings. Breaking it up further would fragment related UI logic and reduce code readability. Given Android's standard practice
 for activity setup in onCreate, we consider this acceptable.
- 2. The function ChatScreen is too long (94). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/message/ChatActivity.kt
 - Justification: ChatScreen is a Jetpack Compose function responsible for rendering complex UI with multiple conditional UI elements and listeners.
 Breaking it down further would lead to many small, tightly coupled composables, harming readability and increasing unnecessary overhead. Thus, keeping it intact preserves UI flow clarity.
- 3. The function MainCard is too long (128). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/MainScreen.kt
 - Justification: MainCard defines the primary card UI in the home screen, including layout, styling, and event handlers. Due to Compose's declarative
 nature, breaking it apart negatively impacts the card's consistency and readability. The length stems from Compose's DSL, not poor logic separation.
- 4. The function onCreate is too long (106). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/CategoryActivity.kt
 - Justification: Similar to the previous onCreate, it initializes UI components, adapters, listeners, and binds the category data. Splitting into smaller methods would introduce unnecessary indirection and harm maintainability.
- 5. The function getChatList is too long (69). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/message/repository/ChatRepository.kt
 - Justification: getChatList encapsulates data fetching, processing, and state management logic essential for chat UI. Breaking it into smaller methods reduces cohesion and increases overhead due to tightly coupled logic.
- 6. The function on Create is too long (92). The maximum length is 60.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/ItemActivity.kt
 - Justification: It handles view initialization, event listeners, and binding product data. Splitting this standard onCreate setup would unnecessarily fragment tightly related initialization code.

Code Pattern: Too many parameters

- 1. The function ChatScreen has too many parameters. The current threshold is set to 6.
 - $\bullet \ \ \textbf{Location in Git:} frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/helloFigma/message/ChatActivity.kt \\$
 - Justification: ChatScreen requires multiple parameters to display accurate chat content (userId, otherUserId, otherUserName, ViewModel, callback). Reducing the parameter count is not feasible without sacrificing the composable's clarity or functionality. Using a data class to wrap parameters would add unnecessary complexity.

Code Pattern: Cyclomatic complexity

- 1. Method MainCard has a cyclomatic complexity of 19 (limit is 8).
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/MainScreen.kt
 - Justification: The complexity arises from UI conditionals (e.g., user state, product availability). Reducing conditionals would require splitting UI logic unnaturally across files, reducing maintainability and readability.

Code Pattern: Expression with labels

- 1. Expression with labels increase complexity and affect maintainability.
 - Location in Git: frontend/HelloFigma/app/src/main/java/com/example/hellofigma/PostActivity.kt
 - Justification: Labels (return@set0nClickListener) are standard in Kotlin Android development to manage nested lambdas, especially within UI event listeners. Removing them would lead to unclear control flow, contrary to Kotlin's design idioms.

Code Pattern: typescript eslint: No var requires

1. Require statement not part of import statement.

- Location in Git: backend/user-service/server.js and backend/user-service/userRoutes.js and backend/user-service/userRoutes.js
- Justification: Codacy is enforcing the typescript eslint: No var requires rule while it is actually this rule has been deprecated in favour of the @typescript-eslint/no-require-imports rule.