Started on	Friday, 24 March 2023, 12:27 AM
State	Finished
Completed on	Friday, 31 March 2023, 11:26 PM
Time taken	7 days 22 hours
Grade	44.00 out of 50.00 (88 %)

Print friendly format

Question 1	
Complete	
Mark 6.00 out of 6.00	

1.(a) Determine whether the argument is valid, and give a reason why.

If my lawyer didn't uphold the strict confidentiality rules of the client-lawyer privilege, then I wouldn't trust her. But I trust my lawyer, so she must be upholding those confidentiality rules.

Select one:

	The argument is valid	l, the premises are true and	the conclusion is too.
--	-----------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

- The argument is valid, if their lawyer didn't uphold the confidentiality rules, then one of the premises would have to be false.
- The argument is valid, their lawyer is upholding those confidentiality rules.
- The argument is invalid, they can't rule out the possibility that their lawyer is flouting the confidentiality rules.
- The argument is invalid, they might trust their lawyer for some other reason.
- The argument is invalid, if the premises were true, the conclusion would have to be too.

Question **2**

Complete

Mark 4.00 out of 4.00

1(b) Choose the generalised form that represents the argument.

A = My lawyer didn't uphold the strict confidentiality rules of the client-lawyer privilege

B = I don't trust my lawyer.

Select one:

1. If A then B.

2. Not B.

Therefore,

C: Not A.

1. If A then B.

2. A.

Therefore,

- -

C: B.

1. If Not A then Not B.
2. Not B.
Therefore,
C: Not A.
1. If A then B.
2. Not A.
Therefore,
C: Not B.
Information
Click on the 'Next page' button to continue to the next question.
Question 3
Complete Mark 0.00 out of 6.00
2(a) Determine whether the argument is valid, and give a reason why. If publishing government secrets posed no risk to national security, then of course it would be ethically permissible for journalists to do so. It must not be ethically permissible, then, because it does pose a risk to national security.
Select one:
The argument is invalid; even if the premises were true, the conclusion could be false because publishing government secrets might be ethically permissible for some other reason.
The argument is valid; the conclusion is true because it is ethically permissible for journalists to publish government secrets if doing so would pose no risk to national security.
The argument is valid; it's not possible for publishing government secrets to be ethically permissible if the premises are true.
 The argument is invalid; it is never ethically permissible for journalists to publish government secrets even if doing so would pose no risk to national security.
The argument is invalid; there might be additional reasons why publishing government secrets is not ethically permissible.
The argument is valid; the premises are true so the conclusion is true.
Question 4

https://lms.monash.edu/mod/quiz/review.php?attempt=27439950&cmid=11192536

Complete

Mark 4.00 out of 4.00

2(b) Choose the generalised form that represents the argument.

A = publishing government secrets poses a risk to national security

B = it is ethically permissible for journalists to publish government secrets

SA	lect	Λn	Δ.
OC.	CCL	OII	v.

- 1. If A then B
 - 2. A

Therefore,

C. B

- 1. If B then not A
 - 2. A

Therefore,

C. Not B

- 1. If not A then B
 - 2. Not B

Therefore,

C. A

- 1. If not A then B
 - 2. A

Therefore,

C. Not B

Information

Click on the 'Next page' button to continue to the next question.

Question 5

Complete

Mark 6.00 out of 6.00

3(a) Determine whether the argument is valid, and give a reason why.

Tourism either brings prosperity to regional areas or ruins their local culture. Tourism has not brought prosperity to regional areas. So, it will ruin the local culture.

Select one:

- The argument is valid, but tourism might not ruin the culture of regional areas, even if the premises are true.
- The argument is invalid, tourism has brought prosperity to regional areas.

The argument is invalid, tourism might not bring prosperity to regional areas <i>nor</i> ruin their local culture.
The argument is valid, it follows a valid form.
The argument is invalid, even if the premises were true, the conclusion could still be false.
The argument is valid, the conclusion is true.
Question 6 Complete
Mark 4.00 out of 4.00
3(b) Choose the generalised form that represents the argument.
A = Tourism brings prosperity to regional areas.
B = Tourism ruins the local culture of regional areas.
Select one:
1. A and B
2. Not A
Therefore
C: B
1. If A then B
2. Not B
Therefore
C: A
1. Either A or B
2. Not A
Therefore
C: B
1. Either A or B
2. Not B
Therefore
C: A
Information

Click on the 'Next page' button to continue to the next question.

Question 7
Complete
Mark 6.00 out of 6.00
4(a) Determine whether the argument is valid, and give a reason why.
All metals conduct electricity. So rubber mustn't conduct electricity because it is not a metal.
Select one:
The argument is invalid; some non-metals conduct electricity, so the first premise is false.
The argument is valid; the premises are relevant to the conclusion.
The argument is valid; rubber is not a metal and it is true that it does not conduct electricity.
The argument is invalid; some metals do not conduct electricity.
The argument is valid; If the premises were true, it couldn't be the case that rubber conducts electricity.
The digament to valid, if the premises were true, it couldn't be the case that rubber conducts electrony.
The argument is invalid; the first premise doesn't say that only metals conduct electricity, so the premises don't guarantee the truth
of the conclusion.
Question 8
Complete
Mark 4.00 out of 4.00
4(b) Choose the generalised form that represents the argument.
A = metals
B = things which conduct electricity

x = rubber

Select one:

1. All B are A

2. x is not A

Therefore,

C. x is not B

- 1. All A are B
 - 2. x is not B

Therefore,

C. x is not A

1. All A are B

2. X IS 1101 A
Therefore,
C. x is not B
1 If A than D
1. If A then B
2. If x then not A
Therefore,
C. not B
Information
Click on the 'Next page' button to continue to the next question.
Question 9
Complete
Mark 6.00 out of 6.00
5(a) Determine whether the argument is valid, and give a reason why.
As experts have long argued, personal information will be hacked if companies do not employ strict cybersecurity measures. The recent
hacks of personal information clearly show that companies have failed to employ such measures.
Select one:
The argument is valid, it has the valid form of modus tollens.
The argument is invalid. Companies might not be hacked, even if their cybersecurity is lax.
The argument is invalid, the premises are not true so the conclusion must be false.
The argument is invalid, the premises are not true so the conclusion must be raise.
The argument is valid, the premises are all true and so is the conclusion.
The argument is invalid. Personal information might be hacked for some other reason (even if companies employ strict cyber
security measures).
The argument is valid the premises can't be false and the conclusion true
The argument is valid, the premises can't be false and the conclusion true.
Question 10
Complete
Mark 4.00 out of 4.00

5(b) Choose the generalised form that represents the argument.

A = Companies employ strict cybersecurity measures

B = Personal information is hacked

Select one:

1. If Not A then B.

2. B.
Therefore,
C: Not A.
1. If B then not A.
2. B.
Therefore,
C: not A.
1. If not A then not B.
2. not B.
Therefore,
C: not A.
1. If A then B.

Information

2. B.Therefore,C: A.

To save your attempt, press "Finish Attempt..." below. This is not the same as submitting your assignment, and you can still go back through and change your answers up to the submission deadline.

To actually **submit** your assignment, click "Submit all and Finish" on the next page.

■ Assessment Task 1

Jump to...

Assessment Task 3 ▶