

MAT1830 - Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science
Tutorial Sheet #2 Solutions

1. (a)

b	p	$\neg b$	$\neg p$	$\neg b \rightarrow \neg p$	$b \vee p$	$(\neg b \rightarrow \neg p) \wedge (b \vee p)$	$(\neg b \rightarrow \neg p) \wedge (b \vee p) \wedge \neg b$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	F	F

(b) A contradiction (because it's column in the truth table is all Fs).

(c) Heaps of possible answers. For example, $p \rightarrow p$ is a tautology and $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \wedge \neg q$ is a contradiction.

2. (a) $\neg b \rightarrow \neg p$ (if no broccoli then no potatoes)
 $b \vee p$ (eat at least one of broccoli or potatoes)
 $\neg b$ (no broccoli)

(b) It's impossible to follow these rules.

3. (a) "Her car isn't blue and her car it isn't red." ($\neg(\text{blue} \vee \text{red}) \equiv \neg\text{blue} \wedge \neg\text{red}$)
(b) "The integer I am thinking of is even or not prime." ($\neg(\text{odd} \wedge \text{prime}) \equiv \neg\text{odd} \vee \neg\text{prime}$)
(c) "If we can't do anything we want with your data, then you don't use our app."
(use app \rightarrow control data $\equiv \neg\text{control data} \rightarrow \neg\text{use app}$)

4. Yes.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \neg p \vee (\neg q \rightarrow \neg r) &\equiv \neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r) && \text{(by the implication law)} \\
 &\equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg(q \vee \neg r)) && \text{(by DeMorgan's laws)} \\
 &\equiv \neg(p \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)) && \text{(by DeMorgan's laws)}
 \end{aligned}$$

5. (a) Could be true or false (for example, it's true when p, q, r, s are all F, and it's false when p, q are F and r, s are T).
- (b) Definitely false. This is the negation of the original statement (after applying DeMorgan's law to $\neg(r \wedge s)$).
- (c) Definitely true. This is the contrapositive of the original statement (after applying DeMorgan's law to both sides).
- (d) Definitely true. The original statement being true means that if either p or q are T, then both r and s must be T. So certainly if p is T then r will be T.
- (e) Definitely false. The original statement being true means that if either p or q are T, then both r and s must be T. So certainly if q is T then both r and s will be T. This means that $q \wedge (\neg r \vee \neg s)$ cannot be true.